



## **The Pennsylvania State University**

# LionTech Rocket Labs

## 2018 - 2019 Solium Project

## Flight Readiness Review Report

046 Hammond Building, University Park, PA 16802 March 4<sup>th</sup>, 2019

## **Table of Contents**

| 1. Summary of Preliminary Design Report | . 1 |
|---|-----|
| 1.1 Team Summary                        | . 1 |
| Team Name and Mailing Address           | . 1 |
| Adult Educator/Club Advisor             | . 1 |
| NAR Contact/Mentor                      | . 1 |
| 1.2 Launch Vehicle Summary              | . 1 |
| Size and Mass and Rail Size             | . 1 |
| Launch Day Motor and Target Altitude    | . 2 |
| Recovery System                         | . 2 |
| 1.3 Payload Summary                     | . 2 |
| Payload Title                           | . 2 |
| Summary of Payload Experiment           | . 2 |
| Milestone Review Flysheet               | . 3 |
| 2. Changes Made Since Proposal          | . 7 |
| 2.1 Changes Made to Project Plan        | . 7 |
| 2.2 Changes Made to Vehicle Criteria    | . 7 |
| Launch Vehicle                          | . 7 |
| Recovery System                         | . 7 |
| 2.3 Changes Made to Payload Criteria    | . 7 |
| External Loading of Black Powder        | . 7 |
| Rover Motor Choice                      | . 8 |
| Motor Mount                             | . 8 |
| Wheel and Axle Mount Connection         | . 8 |
| 3. Vehicle Criteria                     | . 9 |
| 3.1 Design and Construction of Vehicle  | . 9 |
| Airframe                                | . 9 |
| Final Selection                         | 10  |
| Carbon Fiber Vacuum Bagging Process     | 11  |
| Bulkheads                               | 14  |
| Couplers                                | 16  |
| Motor Retention System                  | 16  |
| Fins                                    | 17  |
| Fin Brackets                            | 18  |

| Fin Retention                            |    |
|--|----|
| Camera System                            |    |
| Separation Points                        |    |
| Nose Cone                                |    |
| Ballast Container                        |    |
| 3.2 Recovery Subsystem                   |    |
| Avionics Bay                             |    |
| Avionics Bay Door                        |    |
| Bulkheads                                |    |
| Avionics Board                           |    |
| Batteries                                |    |
| Switches                                 |    |
| Altimeter                                |    |
| Initiators                               |    |
| Blast Caps                               |    |
| Recovery Harness                         |    |
| Fire Blanket                             |    |
| Shock Cords                              |    |
| Parachutes                               |    |
| GPS System                               |    |
| Separation Charges                       |    |
| 3.3 Mission Performance Predictions      |    |
| Final Flight Vehicle                     |    |
| Verification of OpenRocket               |    |
| Avionics and Recovery Modeling           |    |
| Changes to Model                         |    |
| Parachute Selection                      |    |
| Descent Time                             |    |
| Kinetic Energy                           | 44 |
| Drift Distance                           |    |
| 3.4 Vehicle Demonstration Flight Results |    |
| Launch Day Conditions                    | 46 |
| Predicted and Actual Ascent Results      | 47 |
| Predicted Descent                        |    |

| Actual Descent   |  |
|--|--|
| Deployment   |  |
| Comparison between Predicted and Actual Flight for Descent |  |
| Subscale and Fullscale Test Flight Similarities            |  |
| Drag Coefficient   |  |
| Payload Vehicle Demonstration Flight Results               |  |
| 4. Safety  |  |
| 4.1 Safety Officer Responsibilities                        |  |
| Statement of Work Requirements                             |  |
| Safety Requirements Verification                           |  |
| 4.2 Safety Statement                                       |  |
| 4.3 NAR and TRA Regulations                                |  |
| NAR Safety Code  |  |
| 4.4 Lab Safety   |  |
| Safety Training  |  |
| Safety and Emergency Equipment                             |  |
| 4.5 Local/State/Federal Law Compliances                    |  |
| 4.6 Motor Safety   |  |
| Motor CATO Awareness and Prevention                        |  |
| 4.7 Hazard Analysis  |  |
| Risk Assessment Matrix                                     |  |
| Overall Team Risk Assessment                               |  |
| Lab and Learning Factory Risk Assessment                   |  |
| Structures Risk Assessment                                 |  |
| Propulsion Risk Assessment                                 |  |
| Avionics and Recovery Risk Assessment                      |  |
| Payload Risk Assessment                                    |  |
| Hazards to the Environment Risk Assessment                 |  |
| Environmental Hazards to Rocket Risk Assessment            |  |
| Launch Procedures  |  |
| Safety Data Sheets (SDS)                                   |  |
| 4.8 Safety Risk Assessment                                 |  |
| 4.9 Failure Modes and Analysis (FMEA)                      |  |
| 4.10 Launch Dav Procedures                                 |  |

|    | Motor Preparation                        | . 128 |
|----|--|-------|
|    | Pre-Assembly                             | . 128 |
|    | Case Assembly                            | . 128 |
|    | Vehicle Assembly                         | . 129 |
|    | Nose cone                                | . 130 |
|    | Payload Section                          | . 130 |
|    | Drogue Section                           | . 130 |
|    | Avionics Bay                             | . 131 |
|    | Payload Assembly                         | . 132 |
|    | Ejection Charges                         | . 133 |
|    | Avionics Board Assembly                  | . 134 |
|    | Main Section                             | . 134 |
|    | Booster Section                          | . 135 |
|    | Transportation to Launcher               | . 135 |
|    | Setup on the Pad                         | . 136 |
|    | Initiator Installation                   | . 137 |
|    | Post-Flight Deployment Operation         | . 138 |
|    | Post Flight Avionics Inspection          | . 139 |
| 5. | Payload Criteria                         | . 141 |
|    | 5.1 Payload Design and Testing           | . 141 |
|    | Changes from CDR                         | . 141 |
|    | 5.2 Unique Features of the Payload       | . 142 |
|    | Rotating Payload Bay                     | . 142 |
|    | 3D Printed Electronics Shelf             | . 143 |
|    | External Switch and Payload Door         | . 143 |
|    | 3D Printed Rover                         | . 144 |
|    | Solenoid Locking and Retaining Mechanism | . 145 |
|    | Ground Station Communication System      | . 145 |
|    | 5.3 Flight Reliability Confidence        | . 146 |
|    | 5.4 Payload Demonstration of Flight      | . 147 |
|    | 5.5 Construction                         | . 147 |
| 6. | Project Plan                             | . 149 |
|    | 6.1 Structures Testing                   | . 149 |
|    | 6.2 Avionics and Recovery Testing        | . 151 |

| Avionics Board Altimeter Test         |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Parachute Test                        |  |
| 6.3 Payload Testing                   |  |
| Initiator Circuit                     |  |
| Communication Systems                 |  |
| Rotating Payload Bay                  |  |
| Retention Mechanism                   |  |
| Motor Testing for the Rover           |  |
| Nose Cone Separation                  |  |
| 6.4 Requirements Verification         |  |
| General Requirements                  |  |
| Vehicle Requirements                  |  |
| Recovery System Requirements          |  |
| Payload                               |  |
| Safety                                |  |
| 6.5 Team Derived Requirements         |  |
| Vehicle                               |  |
| Recovery                              |  |
| Payload                               |  |
| Safety                                |  |
| 6.6 Gantt Charts                      |  |
| LionTech Rocket Labs Gantt Chart      |  |
| Structures and Propulsion Gantt Chart |  |
| Avionics and Recovery Gantt Chart     |  |
| Payload Gantt Chart                   |  |
| 6.7 Budget                            |  |
| 6.8 Funding                           |  |
| 7. Appendix A: MSDS Sheets            |  |
| Epoxy Hardener SDS                    |  |
| Black Powder SDS                      |  |
| Carbon Fiber SDS                      |  |
| Fiberglass SDS                        |  |
| Isopropyl Alcohol SDS                 |  |
| J-B Kwik SDS                          |  |

| J-B Steel Reinforced Epoxy Resin SDS                          |     |
|---|-----|
| Synthetic Hi-Temp Grease, No.2 SDS                            |     |
| Spray Paint SDS   |     |
| Talcum Powder Resin SDS                                       |     |
| Acetone SDS   |     |
| Water Seal SDS  |     |
| Paint Thinner SDS   |     |
| Fiberglass Resin SDS  |     |
| Pyro-Paint SDS  |     |
| WD-40 SDS   |     |
| Great Stuff Gaps and Cracks SDS                               |     |
| Gloss Protective Enamel SDS                                   |     |
| Cleaner Degreaser Disinfectant SDS                            |     |
| Adhesive Spray SDS  |     |
| All Purpose Putty SDS   |     |
| 8. Appendix B: Recovery Decent Profile Calculator             |     |
| 9. Appendix C: Verification of OpenRocket Flight Calculations | 227 |
| 10. Appendix D: Tensile Test Procedure                        |     |
| Carbon Fiber Airframe Testing Procedure                       |     |
| Assembly  |     |

## List of Acronyms

| A&R  | Avionics and Recovery                          |
|------|--|
| ABS  | Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene                |
| AV   | Avionics                                       |
| CDR  | Critical Design Review                         |
| CFD  | Computational Fluid Dynamics                   |
| EIT  | Electronic and Information Technology          |
| FAA  | Federal Aviation Administration                |
| FEA  | Finite Element Analysis                        |
| EHS  | Environmental Health and Safety                |
| EUC  | Engineering Undergraduate                      |
| GPS  | Global Positioning System                      |
| HPCL | High Pressure Combustion Lab                   |
| LTRL | LionTech Rocket Labs                           |
| MDRA | Maryland Delaware Rocketry Association         |
| MSDS | Material Safety Data Sheet                     |
| NAR  | National Association of Rocketry               |
| NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration  |
| NFPA | National Fire Protection Association           |
| PETG | Polyethylene Terephthalate Glycol              |
| PLA  | Polylactic Acid                                |
| PPE  | Personal Protective Equipment                  |
| PSC  | Pittsburgh Space Command                       |
| PSU  | The Pennsylvania State University              |
| PVA  | Poly-Vinyl Alcohol                             |
| RSO  | Range Safety Officer                           |
| SDS  | Safety Datasheet                               |
| SLI  | Student Launch Initiative                      |
| STEM | Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics |
| STTR | Small Business Technology Transfer             |
| TRA  | Tripoli Rocket Association                     |
| UPAC | University Park Allocation Committee           |
| USD  | United States Dollar                           |
| USLI | University Student Launch Initiative           |

## List of Tables

| Table 1. Airframe Material Selection Matrix                            | 9     |
|--|-------|
| Table 2. Material Strength and Stiffness Comparison                    | 9     |
| Table 3. Material Cost Comparison                                      | 10    |
| Table 4. Density Discrepancy between OpenRocket and Supplier           | 10    |
| Table 5. Bulkhead Material Selection Matrix                            | 15    |
| Table 6. Nose Cone Selection Matrix                                    | 23    |
| Table 7. Black Powder Calculation                                      | 34    |
| Table 8. Shear Pin Calculation   | 35    |
| Table 9. Component Weights   | 36    |
| Table 10. OpenRocket and MATLAB Stability, Characteristics, and Apogee | 41    |
| Table 11. Kinetic Energy by Section                                    | 45    |
| Table 12. MATLAB Drift Distance Calculations                           | 46    |
| Table 13. NAR Safety Code  | 53    |
| Table 14. Preferred launch sites for the 2018-2019 competition         | 79    |
| Table 15. Likelihood Value Criteria                                    | 81    |
| Table 16. Severity Value Criteria                                      | 82    |
| Table 17. Risk Assessment Matrix                                       | 83    |
| Table 18. Lab and Learning Factory Risk Assessment                     | 86    |
| Table 19. Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment                | 90    |
| Table 20. Environmental Hazards to the Rocket Risk Assessment          | 92    |
| Table 21. Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment                | 96    |
| Table 22. Structures Risk Assessment                                   | . 100 |
| Table 23. Propulsion Risk Assessment                                   | . 108 |
| Table 24. Avionics and Recovery  | . 110 |
| Table 25. Payload Risk Assessment                                      | . 125 |
| Table 26. Expected Outflow for 2018-2019                               | . 189 |
| Table 27. Overall Outflow  | . 193 |
| Table 28. Expected Inflow for 2018-2019                                | . 194 |

## **List of Figures**

| Figure 1. Side View of the Fullscale Flight Vehicle  | 1   |
|--|-----|
| Figure 2. Assembled Flight Vehicle before Fullscale Test Flight                                  | . 1 |
| Figure 3. Cutting Carbon Fiber Plies   | 11  |
| Figure 4. Blue tube mandrel wrapped in packing tape prior to carbon fiber wrapping               | 11  |
| Figure 5. Carbon fiber plies wrapped around mandrel  | 12  |
| Figure 6. Wrapping the carbon fiber with nylon release and breather cloth layers and sealing the | e   |
| nylon bagging with sealant tape  | 12  |
| Figure 7. Vacuum pump configuration while putting the vacuum on the tube                         | 13  |
| Figure 8. Booster Section Body Tube  | 14  |
| Figure 9. Bulkhead Assembly  | 15  |
| Figure 10. Centering Ring Design   | 16  |
| Figure 11. Side View and 3D Image of the Motor Retention System                                  | 17  |
| Figure 12. Constructed Fullscale Fin   | 17  |
| Figure 13. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (1)   | 19  |
| Figure 14. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (2)   | 19  |
| Figure 15. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (3)   | 20  |
| Figure 16. Assembled camera system on launch vehicle before fullscale test flight                | 21  |
| Figure 17. Raspberry Pi and Battery for the Camera System  | 22  |
| Figure 18. Picture of Nose Cone  | 24  |
| Figure 19. SolidWorks Model of the Ballast Box (left) and Its Cap (right)                        | 25  |
| Figure 20. Constructed Ballast Box Screwed into the Nose Cone Bulkhead                           | 25  |
| Figure 21. SolidWorks Model of the Flight Vehicle  | 25  |
| Figure 22. Fully constructed launch vehicle on the launch pad before vehicle demonstration       |     |
| flight   | 26  |
| Figure 23. Colonnaded avionics bay SolidWorks model (left) and constructed assembly (right)      | 27  |
| Figure 24. Faraday cage slots in SolidWorks model (left) and constructed avionics bay (right).   | 28  |
| Figure 25. Avionics Bay Door   | 28  |
| Figure 26. Avionics bulkhead in SolidWorks model (left) and constructed avionics bay (right)     | 29  |
| Figure 27. Avionics board face down (left) and face up (right)                                   | 29  |
| Figure 28. SolidWorks model of avionics board face down (left) and face up (right)               | 30  |
| Figure 29. Wiring Schematic of Altimeters  | 30  |
| Figure 30. Recovery Harness  | 31  |
| Figure 31. Deployment Events   | 32  |
| Figure 32. GPS Mount in Nose Cone Shoulder   | 33  |
| Figure 33. GPS in 3D Printed Bracket   | 33  |
| Figure 34. Fullscale OpenRocket Model  | 35  |
| Figure 35. Competition Launch Simulation: Flight Profile   | 36  |
| Figure 36. Competition Launch Simulation: Stability Profile                                      | 37  |
| Figure 37. Competition Launch Simulation: Thrust and Mass versus Time                            | 38  |
| Figure 38. Adjusting MATLAB Descent Velocity   | 42  |
| Figure 39. Adjusted MATLAB Drift during Descent  | 43  |
| Figure 40. MATLAB Altitude and Velocity vs Time  | 44  |
| Figure 41. OpenRocket Velocity versus Time   | 44  |
| Figure 42. Kinetic Energy of Booster Section   | 45  |
|  | -   |

| Figure 43. MATLAB Calculated Drift during Descent  | 46    |
|--|-------|
| Figure 44. Fully constructed launch vehicle in ascent during vehicle demonstration flight  | 47    |
| Figure 45. Vehicle Demonstration Flight Simulation: Flight Profile                         | 47    |
| Figure 46. Vehicle Demonstration Flight OpenRocket: Stability Profile                      | 48    |
| Figure 47. MATLAB Drift during Descent   | 49    |
| Figure 48. MATLAB Predicted Descent Velocity   | 49    |
| Figure 49. Vehicle Demonstration Flight Altimeter Data                                     | 50    |
| Figure 50. Drift Distance for Vehicle Demonstration Flight                                 | 50    |
| Figure 51. Parachute descent under drogue (left) and main (main) during vehicle demonstrat | ion   |
| flight   | 51    |
| Figure 52. Payload Black Powder Charge Assembly with Door                                  | . 141 |
| Figure 53. New Motor Mounts for High Torque DC Motors                                      | . 142 |
| Figure 54. Axle Mount Attachment for High Torque Motors                                    | . 142 |
| Figure 55. Rotating Payload Bay Top View   | . 143 |
| Figure 56. Electronics for Payload Deployment  | . 143 |
| Figure 57. External Access to the Payload Bay Switch                                       | . 144 |
| Figure 58. Assembled Rover Back View   | . 145 |
| Figure 59. Solenoid Locking Mechanism for Rover Retainment                                 | . 145 |
| Figure 60. Ground Station Control for Payload System                                       | . 146 |
| Figure 61. Expected Outflow  | . 193 |
| Figure 62. Expected Inflow   | . 195 |

### 1. Summary of Preliminary Design Report

#### 1.1 Team Summary

Team Name and Mailing Address Lion Tech Rocket Labs: 236 S Barnard St, Unit 3, State College, PA 16801

Adult Educator/Club Advisor Dr. David Spencer – <u>dbs9@psu.edu</u> (814) 865-4537

NAR Contact/Mentor Justin Hess NAR L2 Certification - #102887 – jthess418@gmail.com

#### **1.2 Launch Vehicle Summary**

#### Size and Mass and Rail Size

The flight vehicle is designed to carry a rover payload along with the necessary flight systems for telemetry acquisition and a successful recovery. The flight vehicle's target apogee is 5,280 feet. A diameter of 6 inches was chosen to give adequate space for the rover, its retention system, and its deployment system. The length of the flight vehicle is 120 inches to provide enough space for the payload and the necessary avionics and flight systems. The flight vehicle's wet mass weight is 36.7 lbs, and will launch on a 10-foot tall, 15-15 rail. A model of the final flight vehicle with internal components visible can be seen below in Figure 1 and Figure 2 shows a picture of the fully assembled flight vehicle before the fullscale test flight.



Apogee: \$380 ft Max. velocity: 699 ft/s (Mach 0.63) Max. acceleration: 331 ft/s<sup>2</sup>

Figure 1. Side View of the Fullscale Flight Vehicle



Figure 2. Assembled Flight Vehicle before Fullscale Test Flight

#### Launch Day Motor and Target Altitude

The motor selection is based on the mission performance criteria outlined in the 2019 NASA Student Launch Handbook and utilizes OpenRocket to simulate flight characteristics. Through this motor selection process, the Cesaroni L1355 was selected as the vehicle's motor and will take the launch vehicle to the target apogee of 5,280 feet.

#### **Recovery System**

The rocket has a dual-deployment parachute recovery system where the primary altimeter deploys drogue parachute at apogee and the main parachute at 600 feet above ground level (AGL). The redundant altimeter has a two-second delay for drogue and deploys at 500 feet AGL for main. The drogue parachute is an 18" Fruity Chutes Classical Ultra and the main parachute is a 96" Fruity Chutes Iris Ultra. The avionics bay has a removable avionics board consisting of two independent Stratologger CF altimeters with corresponding independent power sources switches, initiators and black powder charges.

#### **1.3 Payload Summary**

#### Payload Title

Deployable Rover/Soil Sample Recovery System

#### Summary of Payload Experiment

The payload challenge chosen for this year's student launch competition is to build a rover that will be autonomously deployed from the launch vehicle to recover a 10 milliliter soil sample. The design features a rotating payload bay mechanism that will be used to align the rover to an upright position after the launch vehicle has landed and a 3D printed rover that has been optimized for spatial efficiency. The rover will be retained during flight with a solenoid locking mechanism that features a 3D printed lock. The rover will exit the rocket after a nose cone ejection using a black powder detonation to drive for 1 minute and recover the soil sample.

#### Milestone Review Flysheet

#### Milestone Review Flysheet 2018-2019

Milestone

CDR

| Vehicle Properties                  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Total Length (in)                   | 120                                    |
| Diameter (in)                       | 6                                      |
| Gross Lift Off Weigh (lb)           | 36.6                                   |
| Airframe Material(s)                | Carbon Fiber, Fiberglass,<br>Blue Tube |
| Fin Material and Thickness (in)     | Fiberglass, 3/16"                      |
| Coupler Length/Shoulder Length (in) | 12/6                                   |

LionTech Rocket Labs

| Motor Properties            |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Motor Brand/Designation     | Cesaroni L1355                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Max/Average Thrust (lb)     | 393 / 306                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Total Impulse (lbf-s)       | 905   |  |  |  |  |
| Mass Before/After Burn (Ib) | 10.9 / 4.2                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Liftoff Thrust (lb)         | 360   |  |  |  |  |
| Votor Retention Method      | Plywood centering rings,<br>steel-infused epoxy |  |  |  |  |

| Stability Anal                         | ysis        |
|--|-------------|
| Center of Pressure (in. from nose)     | 94.3        |
| Center of Gravity (in. from nose)      | 76.4        |
| Static Stability Margin (on pad)       | 2.96        |
| Static Stability Margin (at rail exit) | 2.05        |
| Thrust-to-Weight Ratio                 | 7.77        |
| Rail Size/Type and Length (in)         | 15-15 / 120 |
| Rail Exit Velocity (ft/s)              | 75.5        |

| Ascent Analy                      | sis  |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Maximum Velocity (ft/s)           | 698  |
| Maximum Mach Number               | 0.62 |
| Maximum Acceleration (ft/s^2)     | 331  |
| Target Apogee (ft)                | 5280 |
| Predicted Apogee (From Sim.) (ft) | 5289 |

| Recovery System Properties - Overall |        |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Total Descent Time (s)               | 83.6   |  |  |  |
| Total Drift in 20 mph winds (ft)     | 2421.4 |  |  |  |

| Recovery System Properties - Energetics |                 |     |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Ejection System Energetics (ex          | 4F Black Powder |     |  |  |  |
| Energetics Mass -                       | Primary         | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Drogue Chute (grams)                    | Backup          | 2   |  |  |  |
| Energetics Mass -                       | Primary         | 2   |  |  |  |
| Main Chute (grams)                      | Backup          | 3   |  |  |  |
| Energetics Mass - Other                 | Primary         |     |  |  |  |
| (grams) - If Applicable                 | Backup          |     |  |  |  |

| Recovery System Properties - Recovery Electronics          |                           |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Primary Altimeter Ma                                       | ke/Model                  | Perfect Flight StrologgerCF                        |  |  |  |
| Secondary Altimeter M                                      | ake/Model                 | Perfect Flight StrologgerCF                        |  |  |  |
| Other Altimeters (if a                                     | pplicable)                | NA   |  |  |  |
| Rocket Locator (Make/Model)                                |                           | Americaloc GL300W                                  |  |  |  |
| Additional Locators (if applicable)                        |                           | NA   |  |  |  |
| Transmitting Frequence<br>(all - vehicle and pa            | ties (MHz)<br>ayload)     | UMTS: 850/1900/2100<br>GSM/GPRS: 850/900/1800/1900 |  |  |  |
| Describe Redundancy<br>Plan (batteries,<br>switches, etc.) | 9V battery, toggle switch |  |  |  |  |
| Pad Stay Time (Launch<br>Configuration)                    | 2 hours                   |  |  |  |  |

| Recovery System Properties - Drogue Parachute  |                              |                          |                                |                 |  |  |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Man  | ufacturer/M                  | iodel                    | Fruity Chutes, Classical Ultra |                 |  |  |
| Size or  | Diameter (i                  | n or ft)                 |                                | 18 in           |  |  |
| Main Altime  | eter Deployn                 | nent Setting             |                                | Apogee          |  |  |
| Backup Altir   | neter Deployr                | nent Setting             | Аро                            | gee + 2 seconds |  |  |
| Velocity   | elocity at Deployment (ft/s) |                          |                                | 91              |  |  |
| Terminal Velocity (ft/s)   |                              |                          | 87.3                           |                 |  |  |
| Recovery Harness Material, Size, and<br>Type (examples - 1/2 in. tubular Nylon<br>or 1 in flat Keylar stran) |                              | 1/2 in kevlar flat strap |                                |                 |  |  |
| Recover  | y Harness Le                 | ngth (ft)                | 24                             |                 |  |  |
| Harness/<br>Inter  | /Airframe<br>faces           |                          | el U-Bolt                      |                 |  |  |
| Kinetic  | Section 1                    | Section 2                | Section 3                      | Section 4       |  |  |
| Energy of<br>Each Section<br>(ft-lbs)  | 1179.2                       | 916.05                   | 1362.68                        | NA              |  |  |

| Recovery System Properties - Main Parachute  |                    |                          |                           |      |  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|--|
| Man  | ufacturer/M        | Iodel                    | Fruity Chutes, Iris Ultra |      |  |
| Size or Diameter (in)  |                    |                          | 96                        |      |  |
| Main Altimet   | ter Deployme       | nt Setting (ft)          |                           | 600  |  |
| Backup Altimeter Deployment Setting (ft  |                    |                          |                           | 500  |  |
| Velocity at Deployment (ft/s)  |                    |                          |                           | 87.3 |  |
| Terminal Velocity (ft/s)   |                    |                          | 18.02                     |      |  |
| Recovery Harness Material, Size, and<br>Type (examples - 1/2 in. tubular Nylon<br>or 1 in flat Keylar stran) |                    | 1/2 in kevlar flat strap |                           |      |  |
| Recover  | y Harness Le       | ength (ft)               | 27                        |      |  |
| Harness/<br>Inter  | 'Airframe<br>faces |                          | el U-Bolt                 |      |  |
| Kinetic  | Section 1          | Section 2                | Section 3 Section 4       |      |  |
| Energy of<br>Each Section<br>(ft.lbs)  | 50.50              | 39.96                    | 59.06                     | NA   |  |

| Milestone Review Flysheet 2018-2019   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Institution                           | LionTech Rocket Labs Milestone CDR   |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Payload  |  |  |  |  |
| Payload 1<br>(official<br>payload)    | Overview The payload is a rover that will be autonomously deployed from the launch vehicle after landing by a ground station control system. The rocket will be separated using an initiator and a black powder charge. The rover will be retained with a fail proof solenoid lock that has been verified to work during the vehicle demonstration flight. The rover will then travel at least 10 feet from the launch vehicle and recover a soil sample of 10 milliliters.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Overview   |  |  |  |  |
| Payload 2<br>(non-scored<br>payload)  | N/A  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Test Plans, Status, and Results  |  |  |  |  |
| Ejection<br>Charge Tests              | All ejection charges for main deployment, drogue deployment, and payload deployment will be tested on the ground prior to flight to ensure that systems are<br>functioning properly. Extensive calculations have been performed that provide great confidence that all separations will occur as planned.  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub scale<br>Test Flights             | The subscale test flight was successful since the rocket was launched and recovered according to our expectations while not causing any significant safety<br>concerns.The only anomaly observed during flight was the rocket "wobbling" as it left the launch rail. We believe this was due to sections of body tube that were not<br>flush with each other while fully assembled. This left significant amounts of room for the rocket to "bend" and "flex" while it was not supported in flight. This<br>anomaly did not compromise the safety of our flight or even the principles of our rocket design, but manufacuring processes will be adjusted in the future to avoid<br>this problem. |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle<br>Demon strati<br>on Flights | The team successfully designed, constructed, launched, and recovered their 2018-2019 competition year rocket on February 9th. Launch day conditions were<br>acceptable with little cloud cover, moderate winds of approximately 15 mph at the time of launch, and a temperature of 30 degrees <sup>op</sup> . The rocket was launched<br>from a launch rail at an angle of approximately 5 degrees and at 60 feet above sea level. The rocket had an apogee of 5,361 feet and took 84 seconds to land from<br>apogee. All recovery systems perforemd exactly as expected, the launch vehicle experienced no structural damage, and the payload was successfully retained during<br>flight.       |  |  |  |  |
| Payload<br>Demon strati<br>on Flights | N/A  |  |  |  |  |

#### Milestone Review Flysheet 2018-2019

| Institution                   | LionTech Ro      | cket Labs   | Milestone                             | CDR |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----|
|                               |                  | т   | Fransmitter #1                        |     |
| Location of transmi           | itter:           |   | At the ground station with the team   |     |
| Purpose of transmit           | itter:           | To send signals to the rocket and the rover                 |                                       |     |
| Brand                         |                  | LoRa RF Output Power (mW)                                   |                                       |     |
| Model                         |                  | RFM95   | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) | 915 |
| Handshake or frequency hopp   | ping? (explain)  | N/A   |                                       |     |
| Distance to closest e-match o | r altimeter (in) | At the ground station away from the ematches and altimeters |                                       |     |
| Description of shieldin       | ng plan:         | Aluminum foil lining the inside of the rotating bay         |                                       |     |

| Transmitter #2                                |  |                                       |               |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Location of transmitter:                      | Nose Cone  |                                       |               |  |  |
| Purpose of transmitter:                       | GPS Locator  |                                       |               |  |  |
| Brand   | Ameriloc RF Output Power (mW) GSM 850 and EGSM 900 |                                       |               |  |  |
| Model   | GL300W   | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) | 850/1900/2100 |  |  |
| Handshake or frequency hopping? (explain)     | Hopping  |                                       |               |  |  |
| Distance to closest e-match or altimeter (in) | 1) 24 in to wired e match and Arduino Mega         |                                       |               |  |  |
| Description of shielding plan:                | Surrounded by Carbon- Fiber body tube              |                                       |               |  |  |
|   |  |                                       |               |  |  |

| Transmitter #3                                |  |                                       |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location of transmitter:                      |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Purpose of transmitter:                       |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Brand   |  | RF Output Power (mW)                  |  |  |  |
| Model   |  | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) |  |  |  |
| Handshake or frequency hopping? (explain)     |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Distance to closest e-match or altimeter (in) |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Description of shielding plan:                |  |                                       |  |  |  |

| Transmitter #4                                |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location of transmitter:                      |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purpose of transmitter:                       |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand   |  | RF Output Power (mW)                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model   |  | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Handshake or frequency hopping? (explain)     |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance to closest e-match or altimeter (in) |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description of shielding plan:                |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |

| Milestone Review Flysheet 2018-2019          |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Institution Lion                             | Tech Rocket Labs | Milestone                             | CDR |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transm           | itter #5                              |     |  |  |  |  |
| Location of transmitter:                     |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Purpose of transmitter:                      |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Brand  |                  | RF Output Power (mW)                  |     |  |  |  |  |
| Model  |                  | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) |     |  |  |  |  |
| Handshake or frequency hopping? (explain     | )                |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Parallelies of shielding slass               | 7                |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Description of shielding plan:               |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transm           | litter #6                             |     |  |  |  |  |
| Location of transmitter:                     |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Purpose of transmitter:                      |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Brand  |                  | RF Output Power (mW)                  |     |  |  |  |  |
| Model  |                  | Specific Frequency used by team (MHz) |     |  |  |  |  |
| Handshake or frequency hopping? (explain     | )                |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Distance to closest e-match or altimeter (in | )                |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| Description of shielding plan:               |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Additional       | Comments                              |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
| -  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                                       |     |  |  |  |  |

### 2. Changes Made Since Proposal

#### 2.1 Changes Made to Project Plan

Other than the revisions specified by the subsystems, no changes have been made to the project plan.

#### 2.2 Changes Made to Vehicle Criteria

#### Launch Vehicle

Since CDR, there have been minimal changes to the launch vehicle. To better accommodate the four-grain casing of the rocket motor, the motor tube was constructed to be 2" longer towards the aft end. This design change does not drastically change the stability, center of mass or center of pressure characteristics of the launch vehicle. This change was motivated by the team switching the motor from a three-grain motor to a four-grain motor between PDR and CDR. The motor tube was extended two inches to accommodate the longer motor casing as a result. Instead of lengthening the booster body tube by two inches to match the motor casing, the motor tube simply extends two inches past the aft end of the booster body tube. This means that the motor tube now terminates even with the aft-most point of the fins instead of the aft end of the booster body tube. Additionally, the fin bracket design has been improved since CDR due to the results observed during the fullscale test flight. Small cracks were observed at a sharp edge so fillets have been added to the design to minimize this stress concentration. The material has also been changed to a more ductile thermoplastic to better withstand impact upon landing. Deeper analysis and further discussion of this change and the fullscale test flight are detailed later in the report.

#### Recovery System

The drogue parachute is now an 18" Fruity Chutes Classical Ultra which is larger than the 12" Fruity Chutes Classical Ultra detailed in CDR. This was an action item from CDR and the change was made to slow the rapid descent rate of the rocket down to a more controlled fall. The drift calculations show that the rocket will still land within the required landing zone.

The main parachute is now a 96" Fruity Chutes Iris Ultra which is larger than the 84" Fruity Chutes Iris Ultra detailed in CDR. This change was made to give the team a larger buffer on the kinetic energy requirements. The drift calculations show that the rocket will still land within the required landing zone.

The avionics board battery retainment mechanism is now a 3D printed plate that screws onto the avionics board. In CDR, the batteries were retained by a clip that was 3D printed onto the board. These clips were damaged or broken in a few of the test prints and were deemed not reliable enough. Additionally, this design resulted in batteries becoming stuck in the clip on occasions. The new panel still allows the same access to the batteries and their clips.

#### 2.3 Changes Made to Payload Criteria

#### External Loading of Black Powder

Because of safety concerns during the assembly of the payload section on launch day, the deployment charge set up was modified so that black powder could be loaded through an external door. This change allows for the payload team to safely load the black powder and reduce the amount of time the payload section is armed during assembly.

#### **Rover Motor Choice**

After construction and testing of the rover, it was determined that the motors that were selected for CDR did not provide enough torque to allow the rover to climb over minor obstacles. New motors were selected that are similar in size and provide higher torque. Because the new motors are higher torque, the rover will operate using front wheel drive only and the back wheels will freely rotate.

#### Motor Mount

Because the motors had to be changed, the mounts that hold the motors had to be changed. The new mounts are 3D printed to hold two motors for front wheel drive. Additional wire clips were added to the motor mounts to hold the wires more securely.

#### Wheel and Axle Mount Connection

Because the rover now has two single shaft motors for the front 2 wheels, this increases the amount of horizontal space that the motors take up inside the payload bay. A small adjustment was made to the connection point between the wheel and the axle mount to increase spatial efficiency.

## 3. Vehicle Criteria

#### **3.1 Design and Construction of Vehicle**

#### Airframe

The airframe material for the flight vehicle is carbon fiber, constructed through the process of vacuum bagging. The material selection matrix for choosing this material is shown below in Table 1.

|                      |        | Carb<br>(Shri | on Fiber<br>nk Tape) | Carb<br>(Va<br>Ba | on Fiber<br>acuum<br>gging) | Glass Fiber |                   | Blue Tube |                   |
|----------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Attributes           | Weight | Score         | Weighted<br>Score    | Score             | Weighted<br>Score           | Score       | Weighted<br>Score | Score     | Weighted<br>Score |
| Strength             | 0.15   | 3             | 0.45                 | 5                 | 0.75                        | 4           | 0.60              | 1         | 0.15              |
| Cost                 | 0.10   | 3             | 0.30                 | 1                 | 0.20                        | 2           | 0.20              | 5         | 0.50              |
| Workability          | 0.10   | 2             | 0.20                 | 1                 | 0.60                        | 3           | 0.30              | 5         | 0.50              |
| Material<br>Weight   | 0.15   | 3             | 0.45                 | 3                 | 0.45                        | 1           | 0.15              | 4         | 0.60              |
| Educational<br>Value | 0.25   | 5             | 1.25                 | 5                 | 1.25                        | 2           | 0.50              | 1         | 0.25              |
| Safety               | 0.25   | 2             | 0.50                 | 3                 | 0.75                        | 1           | 0.50              | 5         | 1.25              |
| Total                | 1.00   |               | 2.95                 |                   | 3.40                        |             | 2.35              |           | 3.25              |
| Rank                 |        |               | 3                    |                   | 1                           |             | 4                 |           | 2                 |

#### **Table 1. Airframe Material Selection Matrix**

The scores for categories in Table 1 are justified in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4.

The tensile strength ratings given to the different materials can be found below in Table 2. **Table 2. Material Strength and Stiffness Comparison** 

| Material     | Tensile Strength [ksi] | Modulus [Msi] |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Carbon Fiber | 610-635                | 33-38         |
| Glass Fiber  | 500-650                | 10.5-12.4     |
| Blue Tube    | 15-25                  | 0.58          |

The cost for the materials measured by cost per linear foot can be found below in Table 3.

#### Table 3. Material Cost Comparison

| Material:                   | Cost/Foot [in \$]: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Carbon Fiber (Shrink Tape)  | 52.36              |
| Carbon Fiber Vacuum Bagging | 61.56              |
| Glass fiber                 | 55                 |
| Blue Tube                   | 18                 |

When scoring weight for the potential materials, it was discovered that there are some discrepancies between the density given from the supplier's website and the OpenRocket database. Table 4 details the differences in densities between OpenRocket's database and the supplier's information.

|              | <b>OpenRocket Density</b> $(\frac{oz}{in^3})$ | <b>Supplier Density</b> $(\frac{oz}{in^3})$ |
|--------------|---|---|
| Glass Fiber  | 1.07  | 1.18  |
| Blue Tube    | 0.75  | 0.54  |
| Carbon Fiber | 1.03  | 0.919                                       |

#### Table 4. Density Discrepancy between OpenRocket and Supplier

For carbon fiber the team believes that this discrepancy is caused by the specific fabric utilized. The process at which the tubes are cured will also have an effect on the density of carbon fiber. After the carbon fiber body tubes were completed, the density was measured to be  $0.605 \frac{oz}{in^3}$ . This density is significantly smaller than expected but structural testing has not yet shown that the carbon fiber has a lower tensile strength than expected.

The team decided to include an educational value category this year. One of the project's objectives is to involve students in engineering projects and to bestow upon them knowledge that will be valuable in their future careers. Since the aerospace industry is placing a greater emphasis on composite materials, the team has decided it would be beneficial to research new ways to incorporate them in the project. Since carbon fiber is utilized heavily throughout the aerospace industry, it has the highest score in this category.

#### **Final Selection**

After all the scores were assigned and weighted, vacuum bagged carbon fiber had the highest score and was selected as the team's airframe material for the 2018-2019 competition year as a result. Calculations detailed in the testing plan section detail justification for either six or seven layers of carbon fiber that are necessary to withstand nominal flight forces. The fullscale launch vehicle utilized six layers of carbon fiber due to the reduced cost of using six layers compared to

seven layers. The structural integrity of six layers of carbon fiber was verified during fullscale test flight where the carbon fiber airframe was not structurally compromised in any way.

#### Carbon Fiber Vacuum Bagging Process

Vacuum bagging was the chosen technique for making the carbon fiber airframe because it has many benefits that are not found in similar methods of carbon fiber tube fabrication. Vacuum bagged carbon fiber parts have a more uniform distribution of epoxy and resin during curing which yields a higher fiber content. This creates a part that is stronger and stiffer than a part the team can currently create with the shrink tape method.

In the process of vacuum bagging, plies of 3K-Plain Weave carbon fiber were cut to the dimensions of the needed airframe body tube as shown in Figure 3. Blue tube was wrapped in packing tape to help keep epoxy from sticking to the mandrel. Next, PVA (poly-vinyl alcohol) was applied on the packing tape to help detach the carbon fiber body tube from the mandrel. Three layers of PVA were applied to the mandrel to ensure a better release of the body tube, as shown in Figure 4. The plies of carbon fiber are then coated with System 2000 Epoxy Resin with 2060 Hardener and laid around a cylindrical mandrel to form carbon fiber tubes. Figure 5 shows the plies laid up on the mandrel. Afterwards, a layer of nylon release film and breather cloth are wrapped around the carbon fiber layers which are sealed with a final layer of nylon bagging film and sealant tape as shown in Figure 6. A pump with a nozzle is inserted through a cut hole in the nylon bagging film and sealed as seen in Figure 7. When the pump is turned on, the air inside the bag is sucked out by the pump which compresses the carbon fiber layers and removes excess epoxy from the carbon fiber. The vacuum is left on the carbon fiber for at least 24 hours at 12 psi to ensure a full cure while in the vacuum. After the tube has fully cured, the outer layers are removed and the carbon fiber tube is taken off of the mandrel.



**Figure 3. Cutting Carbon Fiber Plies** 



Figure 4. Blue tube mandrel wrapped in packing tape prior to carbon fiber wrapping



Figure 5. Carbon fiber plies wrapped around mandrel



Figure 6. Wrapping the carbon fiber with nylon release and breather cloth layers and sealing the nylon bagging with sealant tape



Figure 7. Vacuum pump configuration while putting the vacuum on the tube

During the testing phase of vacuum bagging, one of the main problems faced by the team was the process of getting the cured carbon fiber tube off of the mandrel. Originally the plan was to use a blue tube mandrel wrapped in packing tape, coated in a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) mold release film, and wrapped again in nylon release film. After the cure, the carbon fiber tube would then slide off the blue tube due to this combination of release film layers. After wrapping test pieces, it was found that it took an immense amount of force to be able to slide the cured carbon fiber off of the mandrels to the point where removing the carbon fiber from the mandrel was impossible. An aluminum mandrel was used instead with the same release films. The team predicted the PVA mold release film would coat better on this mandrel which would help with the smooth removal of the body tube from the mandrel. Unfortunately, these tests also resulted in the body tube being stuck to the mandrel. Body tubes needed to be cut in half in order to be removed from the mandrel. In the final airframe tube construction design, a blue tube mandrel was wrapped with packing tape and was immediately followed by the carbon fiber layer. After completely curing, the full body tube and mandrel would then soak in warm water for about 30 minutes. This resulted in the blue tube becoming weak enough that it could be stripped away, leaving only the carbon fiber body tube. The water would have no effect on cured carbon fiber material properties for the short soaking period.

While this process did eventually deliver acceptable results, it is far from an optimal solution. Mandrels of this size with the tolerances required are relatively expensive, so finding a solution which does not destroy the mandrel after each layup will be essential going forward. The team plans on doing research and testing after the competition launch for future projects using this layup process. Figure 8 depicts a fully constructed booster section body tube. Towards the aft end of the carbon fiber body tube, the motor casing can be seen.



Figure 8. Booster Section Body Tube

Figure 8 also shows two fins mounted in their respective fin brackets, along with a slot where the third fin bracket will be placed.

#### Bulkheads

Bulkheads are used on the ends of each coupler to help contain systems such as the avionics bay, and to act as attachment points for the parachutes. Each attachment point uses a coupler bulkhead and a body tube coupler attached together with an eye-bolt resulting in a combined thickness of  $\frac{1}{2}$ ". This bulkhead design is shown below in Figure 9.



The ¼ inch-thick plywood bulkheads were chosen based on the weighted design matrix shown in Table 5 and were purchased from Apogee Rockets. Attachment point bulkheads featured two bulkheads connected together. One of these bulkheads lay on the outer edge of the coupler and the other bulkhead was sanded down to fit tightly within the bulkhead itself. The bulkheads are then attached together by the U-bolt attachment point and epoxied into the coupler using steel-infused JB-Weld. The two bulkheads together help withstand parachute deployment forces, and allow for more epoxy to be used to join the bulkheads and coupler. All bulkheads performed as expected without failure during fullscale test flight.

|             |        | Plywood |                   | G10 Glass Fiber |                   | PLA   |                   |
|-------------|--------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Attributes  | Weight | Score   | Weighted<br>Score | Score           | Weighted<br>Score | Score | Weighted<br>Score |
| Strength    | 0.35   | 3       | 1.05              | 5               | 1.75              | 4     | 1.40              |
| Cost        | 0.25   | 4       | 1.00              | 2               | 0.50              | 4     | 1.00              |
| Mass        | 0.25   | 4       | 1.00              | 2               | 0.50              | 4     | 1.00              |
| Workability | 0.15   | 5       | 0.75              | 3               | 0.45              | 2     | 0.30              |
| Total       | 1.00   |         | 3.80              |                 | 3.20              |       | 3.70              |
| Rank        |        |         | 1                 |                 | 3                 |       | 2                 |

Table 5. Bulkhead Material Selection Matrix

#### Couplers

All couplers used in the launch vehicle were twice the diameter of the rocket in length as required by one of the team's derived requirements. Three couplers were used in total; two of the couplers are blue tube and the other coupler is fiberglass. The couplers connecting the payload to the drogue body tube and the coupler connecting the main to the drogue body tube are made out of blue tube because of its low cost and sufficient strength. The coupler connecting the main and booster section is made out of fiberglass to prevent potential zippering during main parachute deployment as observed on previous team projects. The actual diameters of the couplers were larger than the manufacturer's listed diameter and were sanded down to fit snugly in their respective body tubes. All couplers currently fit in their body tubes with the desired friction. Evenly spaced screw and shear pin holes were drilled to fasten body tubes to their respective couplers. These screw holes were drilled with sufficient arc-length between the screw holes and the end of body tube and coupler so stress concentrations would be minimized.

#### Motor Retention System

The motor retention system consists of a motor tube that is epoxied to three plywood centering rings with a plywood bulkhead motor block. The centering ring design is shown below in Figure 10.



Figure 10. Centering Ring Design

The motor tube is fiberglass and is 26 inches long with an inner diameter of 3 inches to snugly fit the 75mm motor casing. The motor tube extends 2 inches past the aft end of the booster body tube and is even with the farthest-aft extent of the fins. Each centering ring is <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> inch-thick plywood and are placed at 3 inches, 13 inches, and 23 inches away from the aft end of the motor

tube. The motor block is also <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> inch-thick plywood and is placed at the end of the motor tube, 24 inches from the aft end of the flight vehicle. The motor retention system is shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Side View and 3D Image of the Motor Retention System

Previous testing on the structural strength of the bulkheads, centering rings, and epoxy show a greater combined strength in the current system than the given maximum thrust of the motor on the system. The motor retention system was constructed by measuring out the placement of each of the centering rings on the motor tube. Centering rings were joined to the motor tube with generous amounts of steel-infused JB-Weld creating fillets at the joints. The centering rings were then joined to the carbon fiber body tube, also with generous amounts of steel-infused J-B Weld. The constructed motor retention system for this year's competition launch vehicle was verified through a successful fullscale test flight.

#### Fins

The fins were designed to increase the stability of the launch vehicle by moving the center of pressure towards the aft end of the launch vehicle. The launch vehicle uses three fins constructed from G10 Fiberglass due to the material's high tensile strength and high flexural modulus. A picture of a constructed fullscale fin is located in Figure 12. The chosen G10 Fiberglass thickness is  $\frac{3}{16}$ " to find a balance between the performance of the launch vehicle and the safety margins. Simulations conducted using AeroFinSim confirm that plywood does not have the necessary tensile strength, but that G10 Fiberglass can withstand the forces expected to be seen during flight and any potential fin flutter effects.



Figure 12. Constructed Fullscale Fin

The fins were cut with a Dremel tool from a  $\frac{3}{16}$ , sheet of G10 Fiberglass. After being cut, they were temporarily joined together with the outside edges lined up as accurately as possible. The fins were then all sanded down at the same time to exactly the same dimensions to ensure the stability of the rocket during flight. After construction the fins are placed into the 3D printed fin brackets, holes are screwed in the brackets and the fins simultaneously, and then the fins and brackets are bolted together.

The fins functioned exactly as intended during the fullscale test flight; they experienced no flutter and remained intact upon landing.

#### Fin Brackets

The material choice for the 3D printed fin brackets has changed since CDR. The proposed material chosen was Polycarbonate due to its superior strength and high glass transition temperature. However, during landing of the launch vehicle, the team noticed a slight crack in the fin bracket that absorbed most of the landing impact force. This was caused by a shear force exerted on the single fin during landing impact. After an in depth review of the material, the team discovered that Polycarbonate is more brittle than PLA. It will be able to absorb a larger tensile force, but will fail more abruptly without any signs of yielding. After researching various materials, the team decided to print the fin brackets out of PETG. It has a modulus of elasticity similar to PLA and a glass transition temperature similar to ABS. The team will reprint the fin brackets using this material. Fillets have also been added to stress concentration areas to reduce future fin bracket failure. The slit thickness of the fin brackets were increased slightly to remain flush with the booster section body tube.

#### **Fin Retention**

The team has decided to utilize 3D printed fin brackets to retain the fins during flight. This design feature was introduced two years ago and has been improved upon this year. The goal of the design is to easily remove and replace the fin brackets without replacing the fins. Because the fins are often the most common point of a structural failure on even nominal landings, this design specifically satisfies Requirement 2.10. No epoxy or permanent fastening methods are utilized with this fin retention system. The design flown on the fullscale test flight can be seen in Figure 13, Figure 14, and Figure 15.



Figure 13. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (1)



Figure 14. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (2)



Figure 15. Fullscale Test Flight Fin Bracket (3)

There are holes to employ a screw and bolt retention system. This will allow team members to quickly replace a piece if it were to fail before or after launch. The fin brackets will lay on both the interior and the exterior of the body tube to provide extra structural support. The body tube will be cut from the aft edge to allow for the fin brackets to be inserted and laid flush with the aft end of the body tube. Eight screws will be placed in each fin bracket to keep them attached to the airframe during the entire flight. The fins will also be fastened with nuts and bolts through the top section of the brackets.

As detailed earlier in this section, the fin brackets have been redesigned to include a fillet and a different construction material after observing the results of the fullscale test flight. This new design is not different in any dimension from the brackets flown on the fullscale test flight except for the inclusion of the stress-reducing fillet.

#### Camera System

As part of the Team Derived Requirements, a down body camera has been included to supply visual data of flight performance and monitor fin flutter. The exterior portion of the camera is a Raspberry Pi Camera Module v2 with a width of 1 in and length of 1 in. The design has again been improved from last year's much bulkier design due to using a different camera system. Figure 16 shows the more spatially efficient design for this year's competition.



Figure 16. Assembled camera system on launch vehicle before fullscale test flight

To securely seat the camera on the exterior of the rocket, a 3D printed cover was designed to tightly hold the camera to the body while also providing aerodynamic efficiency. This cover is printed in PLA material due to its lightweight characteristics and non-structural role.

The Raspberry Pi running the down body camera is located at the forward end of the booster body tube. The Raspberry Pi is mounted to a 3D printed plate via screws and powered by a 4400 mAh lithium-ion-polymer battery. The battery is securely contained on the other side of the 3D printer plate and visually marked to identify it as a chemical battery. This 3D printed assembly, shown in Figure 17, is screwed into a centering ring which is epoxied into the forward end of the booster body tube. The system is powered on by a toggle switch that can accessed while the rocket is vertical and undergoing preflight activation on the launch pad. This system performed exactly as intended during the fullscale test flight and provided the team with valuable data on separation events, descent, and how landing affected the fins.



Figure 17. Raspberry Pi and Battery for the Camera System

#### Separation Points

The current sectioning of the launch vehicle has been chosen so that each subsystem has their own section to work on during launch day. This allows the subsystems to operate independently of one another which reduces the amount of time needed to assemble the launch vehicle.

The launch vehicle will use three separation points: two for parachute deployment and one for rover deployment. The separation point for the drogue parachute is located between the drogue body tube and the payload body tube. The separation point for the main parachute will be placed between the main parachute body tube and the booster body tube. The location of the two separations points for parachute deployment were chosen so that only one avionics bay will be needed for the launch vehicle. Additionally, in this configuration, the parachutes will be ejected out of their respective body tubes rather than ejected into the body tubes. Ejecting the parachutes into the body tube and not properly deploy.

The separation point for rover deployment is located between the nose cone and the payload body tube. This separation point was chosen to ensure that the rover would not get caught in the parachute or part of the shock cord. The rover deployment will separate the entire nose cone from the body tube, so the team has decided upon doing a ground-separation to minimize its flight risk.

All flight-critical separation points functioned exactly as intended during the fullscale test flight and the ground test prior to that launch. Only the payload to nose cone separation point was not tested during the fullscale test flight. This was due to an operational issue with payload hardware and not a failure of the separation mechanism.

#### Nose Cone

The nose cone of the flight vehicle is designed to minimize aerodynamic drag on the flight vehicle while being structurally stable and as light as possible. When choosing materials for the nose cone, choices were limited to already manufactured nose cones that fit the current dimensions of the flight vehicle. After researching many nose cone manufacturers such as Apogee Components and Madcow Rocketry, glass fiber nose cones were the only option that fit the diameter specifications for the launch vehicle.

The selection matrix table, Table 6, is shown below.

|              |        | C     | give 4:1          | Von Karman 5.5:1 |                   | Conical 5:1 |                   |
|--------------|--------|-------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Attributes   | Weight | Score | Weighted<br>Score | Score            | Weighted<br>Score | Score       | Weighted<br>Score |
| Availability | 0.15   | 5     | 0.75              | 5                | 0.75              | 5           | 0.75              |
| Cost         | 0.25   | 3     | 0.75              | 3                | 0.75              | 3           | 0.75              |
| Drag         | 0.25   | 3     | 0.75              | 5                | 1.25              | 2           | 0.5               |
| Mass         | 0.35   | 4     | 1.4               | 2                | 0.7               | 4           | 1.4               |
| Total        | 1.00   |       | 3.65              |                  | 3.45              |             | 3.4               |
| Rank         |        |       | 1                 |                  | 2                 |             | 3                 |

#### Table 6. Nose Cone Selection Matrix

Based on the selection matrix presented above, an Ogive 4:1 nose cone was chosen for the launch vehicle. This nose cone was purchased from Madcow Rocketry and has a weight of 55 oz. Figure 18 pictures the nose cone purchased from Madcow Rocketry.



Figure 18. Picture of Nose Cone

The nose cone withstood all aerodynamic stresses and impact forces during fullscale flight.

#### Ballast Container

The team 3D printed a ballast box to contain the ballast necessary to get the launch vehicle to the target apogee of 5,280 feet. This container will be screwed into the nose cone shoulder bulkhead with four corner screws to keep it structurally retained during flight. This design is very similar to the contained retaining the GPS in the flight vehicle. Figure 19 pictures the SolidWorks model of the ballast container on the left and its cap on the right. Figure 20 pictures the final 3D printed ballast container in the nose cone shoulder that was used for the vehicle demonstration flight and that will be used on competition flight.



Figure 19. SolidWorks Model of the Ballast Box (left) and Its Cap (right)



Figure 20. Constructed ballast box screwed into the nose cone bulkhead

Figure 21 shows a SolidWorks CAD model of the flight vehicle in its fully-assembled, launch day configuration. The body tubes are wireframe to show internal components such as couplers and electronics. The parachutes and shock cord have been omitted from this model due to their difficulty to model. The fully constructed launch vehicle can be seen on the launch pad before its vehicle demonstration flight in Figure 22.




Figure 22. Fully constructed launch vehicle on the launch pad before vehicle demonstration flight

# 3.2 Recovery Subsystem

The recovery system components include the avionics board, avionics bay structure, all-threads, parachutes and harnesses, GPS, charge wells, ejection charges, electromagnetic shielding, and the shear pins at the separation points of the rocket. The avionics board contains two independent sets of altimeters, charges, mechanical switches, initiators, and 9V batteries for power sources. By designing an avionics bay that contains a secondary recovery system, the team has ensured redundancy in the systems that guarantee parachute deployment at the selected altitudes even with a failure of one system.

Shown below in Figure 23 on the left is the model of the avionics bay and attached bulkheads that will be contained inside the avionics coupler. The finished avionics bay after it was constructed is shown below in Figure 23 on the right hand side. The recovery harness will attach on to the U-Bolt and is packed into the flight vehicle outside of the avionics coupler bulkheads.



Figure 23. Colonnaded avionics bay SolidWorks model (left) and constructed assembly (right)

The following list refers to the numbering schema in Figure 23.

- 1. Avionics Board
- 2. Mechanical Switch
- 3. StratologgerCF Altimeter
- 4. Avionics Bulkhead
- 5. U-Bolt
- 6. Charger Well
- 7. All-Thread Rod
- 8. Initiator Wire Pass-Through Hole
- 9. Faraday Cage Channel
- 10. Avionics Bay

#### Avionics Bay

The avionics bay is 3D printed using PLA and epoxied to the inside of the avionics coupler. The bulkheads on either side of the bay channel the stresses during flight between them, and allow the avionics boards on the sliders inside to remain unstressed during flight. The avionics bay has a slot in the top that allows the bulkhead's inner panel to sit inside of outer wall. The avionics bay contains a channel that runs throughout the structure that allows the faraday cage to be one seamless piece.



Figure 24. Faraday cage slots in SolidWorks model (left) and constructed avionics bay (right)

In Figure 24, the SolidWorks model for the slots is shown on the left and the faraday cage installed inside the slots in the avionics bay is shown on the right. The faraday cage is used to shield the electronic components of the avionics board from any potential electromagnetic interference. Aluminum foil was chosen to create the faraday cage due to its electrical conductivity and capability of absorbing and reflecting electromagnetic signals. The faraday cage was created by inserting three layers of the foil into a slit between the inner and outer walls of the avionics bay. The aluminum foil was also epoxied to the interiors of the bulkheads using J-B Weld to ensure the avionics board is completely surrounded by the faraday cage.

#### Avionics Bay Door

The avionics bay door and the avionics coupler are shown below in Figure 25. The avionics bay door is designed to allow the avionics board to be easily accessed at any time once the rocket has already been assembled. The door is secured to the rocket with four screws in its corners. These four screws penetrate through the avionics bay coupler and thread into the avionics bay, securing all three components together. There is a hole located in the center of the door that allows the pressure of the avionics bay to equalize with the atmospheric pressure. The avionics door has been designed to satisfy several team requirements relating to quick assembly and safe avionics arming.



Figure 25. Avionics Bay Door

#### Bulkheads

In Figure 26, the SolidWorks and constructed bulkhead are pictured. The drogue and main bulkheads are identical in their design and construction.



Figure 26. Avionics bulkhead in SolidWorks model (left) and constructed avionics bay (right)

The wooden bulkheads for the avionics bay were constructed by using steel-infused JB-Weld to epoxy together a coupler bulkhead and a coupler bulkhead that was sanded down to fit inside the 3D printed avionics bay. Two holes were drilled for the placement of the two all-thread rods which are secured in place using a washer and nut on both the inside and outside of the bulkheads. The U-bolts are also attached to the bulkheads using washers and nuts on the inside and just two nuts on the outside of the bulkhead. To complete the faraday cage, three layers of thin foil where epoxied to the inner surface of both bulkheads so that they contact the foil in the slots when they are placed on the avionics bay. This setup ensures that no signals can interfere with the altimeters, and that neither bulkhead has any freedom to move relative to the other.

#### **Avionics Board**

The avionics board contains two completely independent altimeter systems. The finished flight tested design is shown in Figure 27. The SolidWorks model of the avionics board and attached components is pictured in Figure 28.



Figure 27. Avionics board face down (left) and face up (right)



Figure 28. SolidWorks model of avionics board face down (left) and face up (right)

The wiring schematic for the avionics board is shown below in Figure 29, this wiring layout is entirely contained on the avionics board. All of the electrical components of the avionics board are wired together using standard 16 gauge electrical wire.



Figure 29. Wiring Schematic of Altimeters

# Batteries

On the left side of Figure 28, the battery clip holder and the batteries inside of their slots can be seen. The two Duracell 9 volt batteries each slide into battery slots on the avionics board and a battery holder panel is screwed onto the back using four corner screws to secure them in place. To prevent the batteries from falling out there is a clip on the panel that hangs down holding the batteries in their slots.

# Switches

The toggle switches are each placed into two tabs with holes in them on the avionics board. The switches are then screwed on with nuts and labels are placed on the avionics board to indicate the on position. The attached wires that connect to the altimeters are soldered on to the two leads.

# Altimeter

The altimeters can be seen on the right hand side of Figure 27 and Figure 28. The altimeters are located on the opposite side of the avionics board as the batteries are, and each altimeter is secured to the avionics board with four corner screws. Each Stratologger CF altimeter located on

the avionics board is wired to a 9 volt battery and a toggle switch through the ports labeled power, switch, main and drogue. The altimeters are also each separately connected to two initiators which will ignite the ejection charges for both the main and drogue parachutes. The redundant altimeter will be on a delay to ensure that both ejection charges do not detonate at the same time to avoid overpressurization of the body tubes. The redundant altimeter has a twosecond delay for drogue and deploys at 500 feet above ground level for main.

#### Initiators

In Figure 29, the initiators are the lines running from the Stratologgers to the ejection charges. The primary altimeter has the primary main and primary drogue initiator wired to it, and the redundant altimeter has the main redundant and drogue redundant initiator wired to it. All four wires are identical and are thus labeled following the launch procedures to avoid confusion on launch day. The initiators are threaded through small holes drilled in the bulkheads to allow them to reach from the avionics board to the charge wells outside the avionics bay. Once the initiators are threaded through the holes are covered on the outside of the bulkheads with putty and further sealed with tape to mitigate blowback. This prevents any hot gases from the ejection charges to enter the avionics bay, and prevents the ejection charge explosion from pressurizing the avionics bay as well.

#### Blast Caps

There are two blast caps located on the exterior side of each avionics bay bulkhead that are used to contain the black powder that is used for main and drogue parachute deployment. The blast caps are loaded on launch day following the launch procedures. They are made out of PVC pipes with an approximate length of 1" and a diameter of 1". Each blast cap was epoxied to the bulkheads using a generous amount of J-B Weld to ensure structural integrity. Both materials have been shown to work effectively on previous rockets and in the team's vehicle demonstration flight.

#### **Recovery Harness**

The recovery harness used for both main and drogue is shown in Figure 30. The quicklink labeled 1 attaches to the payload bulkhead in the drogue section, and attaches to the booster section bulkhead in the main section. The quicklink labeled 2 attaches the parachute to the fire blanket and the shock cord. The quicklink labeled 3 is attached to the bulkhead in the avionics section.



Figure 30. Recovery Harness

### Fire Blanket

The Nomex fire blanket on each shock cord is of sufficient size to completely cover the parachute. This completes the team requirements of preventing the parachute from snagging inside the body tube and from being burned or torn during separation events.

### Shock Cords

The shock cords used are made out of a  $\frac{1}{2}$ " width flat strap Kevlar cord that was chosen because of its strength and durability. For the main parachute, the shock cord has a length of 27 feet and has the main parachute connected to it  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the way towards the avionics coupler. The drogue parachute shock cord has a length of 24 feet, and will also have the parachute connected to it  $\frac{2}{3}$ of the way towards the avionics coupler. The shock cords will be attached to the U-bolt attachments at the end of each body tube section with  $\frac{3}{8}$ " steel quick links. These lengths for the shock cords were chosen to ensure the body tubes will not collide with each other once both the main and drogue parachutes have deployed.



Figure 31. Deployment Events

# Parachutes

The parachutes were chosen for their coefficient of drag, and size. Drogue parachute is approximately 18 inches in diameter and main is approximately 96 inches in diameter. The parachutes are folded and wrapped within the fire blanket so they can exit the rocket while mitigating the chances of ripping, getting caught on screws, or potentially igniting. The parachutes are packed following the launch procedures. A depiction of the separation events is shown above in Figure 31.

# GPS System

The GPS the team is using is the Americaloc GW300 which is pictured in Figure 32 within its mount on the right hand side. This transmitter uses an AT&T brand cell phone SIM card to relay its position and it operates at 850 MHz. Since this GPS unit actively sends out its position, all the electronics in the rocket will have shielding to prevent interference. The GPS will be contained within a 3D-printed bracket and screwed into the nose cone bulkhead using four corned screws. This setup inside of the nose cone shoulder is pictured in Figure 32. The 3D-printed bracket with the GPS in it is shown in Figure 33. A fully charged GPS will last two weeks before running out of battery which allows the team to turn on the GPS and then seal it inside the nose cone hours

before launch. The GPS has a remote connection such that the team is continuously receiving data from the GPS.



Figure 32. GPS Mount in Nose Cone Shoulder



Figure 33. GPS in 3D Printed Bracket

# Separation Charges

After selecting an ejection charge and a containment method for the ejection charge, the team was able to calculate the required black powder charge. Table 7 lists the masses of black powder

the team will use for drogue and main parachute ejections. These amounts were chosen based on previous year's knowledge of what amount of black powder is able to reliably and safely separate the rocket.

|                            | Full scale<br>Drogue | Full scale<br>Main | Full scale<br>Drogue<br>Redundant | Fullscale<br>Main<br>Redundant |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4F Black Powder<br>(grams) | 1.5                  | 2                  | 2                                 | 3                              |
| Body tube diameter         | 6"                   | 6"                 | 6"                                | 6"                             |
| Body tube length           | 16"                  | 16"                | 16"                               | 16"                            |

# Table 7. Black Powder Calculation

Using the dimensions of the drogue parachute bay and main parachute bay the team is able to calculate the number of shear pins that a given mass of black powder will break. The calculation for the volume of the chamber that is pressurized by the explosion is shown in the Equation 1.

$$V = \frac{\pi D^2 L}{4} \tag{1}$$

The volume is then substituted into Equation 1 for V where N is the mass of black powder in grams from Table 7. D is the body tube diameter from Table 7 and L is the body tube length from Table 7. P is the pressure in psi that will result from the black powder detonation in the chamber. Equation 2 assumes that the pressure inside will have equalized with the atmosphere prior to detonation and also contains the conversions from pounds to grams and the gas constant.

$$P = \frac{\left(N * \left(\frac{1lbf}{454grams}\right) * 266^{in\,lbf}/_{lbm} * 3370^{\circ}R\right)}{V}$$
(2)

Equation 3 solves for the force required to break the shear pins in lbs. P is the chamber pressure calculated from Equation 2 and D is the chamber diameter.

$$F = \frac{\pi}{4} P D^2 \tag{3}$$

The team is using brand 2-56 shear pins for all separation points on the flight vehicle. These shear pins fail at an average shear force of 25 lbs which has been confirmed in past flights, ground tests, and is listed on the shear pins manufacturer's site. Once the total force is known, it is divided by 25 and then rounded down for the maximum number of shear pins that amount of

black powder will break. The number of 2-56 shear pins the team calculated is listed in the second row of Table 8. A factor of safety listed in row two of Table 8 was then applied to each of the results to account for any unknown factors. The last row in Table 8 has listed the number of shear pins the team plans on using on the flight vehicle for drogue and main separation points. The redundant charges fire into the same chamber as the main charges so they must have the same number of shear pins. These shear pin calculations were verified through successful separation events in the vehicle demonstration flight.

### Table 8. Shear Pin Calculation

|                                       | Drogue<br>Primary | Main Primary | Drogue<br>Redundant | Main<br>Redundant |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Calculated number of 2-56 shear pins  | 5                 | 6            | 6                   | 10                |
| Factor of Safety                      | 1.5               | 1.25         | 2                   | 2                 |
| Actual number of 2-56 shear pins used | 3                 | 5            | 3                   | 5                 |

# **3.3 Mission Performance Predictions**

#### Final Flight Vehicle

An OpenRocket model has been created to model the as-built flight vehicle. The model's center of pressure (CP) is located 94.34 inches aft of the tip of the nose cone, and the measured center of gravity (CG) is located 76.625 inches aft of the tip of the nose cone. The final flight vehicle has a diameter of 6 inches, with a static stability margin of 2.96 calibers. The OpenRocket model is shown in Figure 34, with breakdown of the component weights, sans motor, used within the model shown in Table 9. The target apogee of exactly 5,280 feet will be achieved through altering the rocket's mass very slightly via incorporated ballast, along with minor adjustments to the angle of the launch rail.

Fullscale Length 120 in, max. diameter 6 in Mass with motors 585 oz



Apogee: 5380 ft Max. velocity: 699 ft/s (Mach 0.63) Max. acceleration: 331 ft/s<sup>2</sup>

Figure 34. Fullscale OpenRocket Model

CP94.335 i

**Table 9. Component Weights** 

| Component                  | Weight (oz) |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Nose Cone                  | 65.0        |
| Payload Section            | 72.1        |
| Payload-Main Coupler       | 9.5         |
| <b>Drogue Section</b>      | 29.9        |
| Drogue-Main Coupler        | 55.7        |
| Main Section               | 43.2        |
| Main-Booster Coupler       | 13.0        |
| Booster Section (No Motor) | 124.0       |

To characterize the flight of the launch vehicle during the competition launch, simulations have been conducted via both methods with expected launch day conditions as parameters. The OpenRocket simulation was run with wind speeds averaging at 5 mph, turbulence intensity at 10%, ambient temperature at 65 degrees Fahrenheit, pressure at 1 atmosphere, and the launch site located at Bragg Farm north of Huntsville. The competition launch simulation flight profile and stability profile are shown below in Figure 35 and Figure 36, respectively.



Figure 35. Competition Launch Simulation: Flight Profile

It is shown in Figure 35 that a maximum velocity of 698 ft/s is reached just before motor burnout at 2.9 seconds and an altitude of approximately 3,400 ft. This maximum velocity is well within the imposed limit of Mach 1 and occurs a safe distance from the launch pad. The rocket's velocity off a 10 ft rail is 75.5 ft/s, which is well above the imposed minimum of 55 ft/s and above the team's mission success criteria of 65 ft/s. The flight profile also indicates an apogee of exactly 5,289 feet, well within the margin of variance in the impulse of the motor and deviation in winds at altitude.



Figure 36. Competition Launch Simulation: Stability Profile

As in indicated in Figure 36, the stability off the launch rail is 2.05 calibers. This is above the team's mission success criteria and is indicative of a very stable flight, even in the low-velocity / low-altitude regime. After clearing the rail, the stability quickly climbs to about 3.3 calibers before slowly increasing to 4.2 calibers at burnout.

The thrust curve and the mass have both been plotted in Figure 37. This figure shows that the Cesaroni L1355 motor has the necessary characteristics to achieve a safe thrust-to-weight ratio and the minimum rail velocity for stable flight as the rocket leaves the pad.



Figure 37. Competition Launch Simulation: Thrust and Mass versus Time

This flight vehicle's ability to withstand these profiles was verified during the fullscale test flight. The structural integrity of the vehicle was verified via post-flight inspection of every component. The only signs of fatigue or fracture were the small cracks that developed on the impacted fin bracket upon landing. These cracks did not cause a total failure of the fin bracket and the flight vehicle could have been prepared to relaunch that same day. The flight characteristics of the vehicle were proven through observational evidence and flight telemetry analysis indicating that our minimum off-the-rail velocity was achieved.

#### Verification of OpenRocket

To verify the OpenRocket simulation results, the center of pressure, center of gravity, and flight apogee were calculated using LTRL's own MATLAB script.

To calculate the center of pressure, the following calculations were conducted. First, the center of pressure of the nosecone,  $X_n$ , was calculated using Equation 4.

$$X_n = 0.466 * L_n \tag{4}$$

 $X_n$  is the location of the center of pressure for the fins as measured from the tip,  $L_n$  is the length of the nose cone which is multiplied by a constant given for Ogive nose cones. The center of pressure of the fins was then calculated using Equation 5.

$$X_{f} = X_{b} + \frac{X_{r} * (C_{r} + 2 * C_{t})}{3 * (C_{r} + C_{t})} + \frac{1}{6} * \left(C_{r} + C_{t} - \frac{C_{r} * C_{t}}{C_{r} + C_{t}}\right)$$
(5)

 $X_f$  is the location of the center of pressure of the fins as measured from the tip,  $X_b$  is the length from the tip to the fin root chord,  $X_r$  is the length from the fin root leading edge to the fin tip leading edge,  $C_r$  is the fin root chord length, and  $C_t$  is the fin tip chord length. The coefficient for the center of pressure of the fins,  $C_{nf}$ , was calculated using Equation 6.

$$C_{nf} = 1 + \frac{R}{S+R} * \frac{4N\left(\frac{S}{D}\right)^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2 * Lf}{C_r + C_t}\right)^2}}$$
(6)

Where R is the radius of the rocket body, S is the semi span of the fins, N is the number of fins, and  $L_f$  is the length of fin mid-chord line. The center of pressure as measured from the tip, X, was calculated using Equation 7.

$$X = \frac{C_{nn} * X_n + C_{nf} * X_f}{C_{nn} + C_{nf}}$$
(7)

Where  $C_{nn}$  is the coefficient for the center of pressure for the nose cone. The center of pressure was calculated to be 94.46 inches aft of the tip.

To calculate the center of gravity, cg, Equation 8 was used.

$$cg = \frac{d_n * m_n + d_p * m_{payload} + d_m * m_m + d_d * m_d + d_b * m_b}{M}$$
(8)

Where  $d_n$  is the distance from the center of mass of the nose cone to the tip,  $m_n$  is the mass of the nose cone,  $d_p$  is the distance of the center of mass of the payload section to the tip,  $m_{payload}$  is the mass of the payload section,  $d_m$  is the distance of the center of mass of the main parachute section to the tip,  $m_m$  is the mass of the main parachute section,  $d_d$  is the distance of the center of mass of the distance of the center of the distance of the center of the tip,  $m_d$  is the mass of the distance of the distance of the center of the tip,  $m_d$  is the mass of the distance of the center of the tip,  $m_d$  is the mass of the distance of the center of the c

The center of gravity was calculated to be 76.40 in. aft of the tip.

To calculate the flight apogee, the altitude at which the motor burnout occurs must first be calculated. To calculate the burnout altitude, first the average mass,  $m_a$ , must be calculated. The average mass was calculated using Equation 9.

$$m_a = m_r + m_e - \frac{m_{prop}}{2} \tag{9}$$

Where  $m_r$  is the mass of the rocket without a motor,  $m_e$  is the mass of the motor,  $m_{prop}$  is the mass of the propellant. The aerodynamic drag coefficient, k, was calculated using Equation 10.

$$k = \frac{1}{2} * \rho * C_d * A \tag{10}$$

Where  $\rho$  is the density of air,  $C_d$  is the drag coefficient, and A is the cross-sectional area of the rocket. The burnout velocity,  $q_1$ , was calculated using Equation 11.

$$q_1 = \sqrt{\frac{T - (m_a * g)}{k}} \tag{11}$$

Where T is the average thrust of the motor, ma is the average mass of the rocket, and g is the gravitational constant. The burnout velocity decay coefficient,  $x_1$ , was calculated using Equation 12.

$$x_1 = \frac{2 * k * q_1}{m_a}$$
(12)

The burnout velocity,  $v_1$ , was calculated with Equation 13.

$$v_1 = q 0_1 * \frac{1 - e^{-x_1 * t}}{1 + e^{-x_1 * t}}$$
(13)

Where t is time at motor burnout. Finally, the altitude at which the motor burnout occurs,  $y_1$  was calculated using Equation 14.

$$y_1 = -\frac{m_a}{2*k} * \ln\left(\frac{T - (m_a * g) - (k * v_1^2)}{T - m_a * g}\right)$$
(14)

With the burnout altitude known the total altitude coasted can be calculated. To calculate the cost distance, the coast mass,  $m_c$ , must first be calculated. The coast mass was calculated using Equation 15.

$$m_c = m_r + m_e - m_{prop} \tag{15}$$

Where  $m_r$  is the mass of the rocket,  $m_e$  is the mass of the motor, and  $m_{prop}$  is the mass of the propellant. Next, the coast velocity coefficient,  $q_c$ , was calculated using Equation 16.

$$q_c = \sqrt{\frac{T - m_c * g}{k}} \tag{16}$$

Where T is the average thrust of the motor, g is the gravitational constant, and k is the aerodynamic drag coefficient. The coast velocity decay coefficient,  $x_c$ , was calculated using Equation 17.

$$x_c = \left(\frac{2 * k * q_c}{m_c}\right) \tag{17}$$

The coast velocity,  $v_c$ , was calculated using Equation 18.

$$v_c = q_c * \frac{1 - e^{-x_c * t}}{1 + e^{-x_c * t}}$$
(18)

The coast distance,  $y_c$ , was calculated using Equation 19.

$$y_c = \frac{m_c}{2 * k} * \ln\left(\frac{m_c * g + k * v_c^2}{T - m_c * g}\right)$$
(19)

Lastly, the flight apogee altitude, PA, was calculated using Equation 20.

$$PA = y_1 + y_c \tag{20}$$

Running a simulation with expected competition, the flight apogee altitude was calculated to be 5,412 ft. The code used to calculate these values can be seen in Appendix C: Verification of OpenRocket Flight Calculations.

With the results of both simulation techniques, the team compared the two sets of results. A comparison of the OpenRocket results and the MATLAB results is in Table 10. All margins of error were below 6%.

| Table 10. OpenRocket and MATLAB Stability, Characteristics, and Apoge |
|---|
|---|

|   | OpenRocket | MATLAB | Margin of Error |
|---|------------|--------|-----------------|
| Center of Pressure<br>(inches from tip) | 94.34      | 94.46  | 0.13%           |
| Center of Gravity<br>(inches from tip)  | 76.57      | 76.40  | 0.22%           |
| Static Stability<br>(Calibers)          | 2.96       | 3.01   | 1.61%           |
| Altitude at Apogee<br>(feet)            | 5289       | 5621   | 5.91%           |

The larger discrepancy in the predicted apogee altitudes is likely due to our MATLAB simulations simplistic calculation of altitude. The simulation does not account for any angle in the launch rail, winds horizontal to the flight path, turbulence in the air, or a changing coefficient

of drag due to airspeed. However, OpenRocket has proven to be very accurate in predicting apogee in past competition years and is weighted more heavily for apogee predictions as a result. The apogee reached in the fullscale test flight also supports the accuracy of the OpenRocket projected apogee. Regardless, the team will continue to improve the MATLAB simulation to account for the various factors listed previously.

#### Avionics and Recovery Modeling

The team used a MATLAB script to fully model the launch vehicle's parachute deployment events, descent, and landing. The team used OpenRocket's flight predictions as a second mode of verification to verify MATLAB's result. LTRL's MATLAB rocket descent simulation program runs a recovery model in which the force balance between gravity and drag is integrated over time with separate phases for drogue and main. The model assumes that the parachutes do not deploy and expand instantaneously, but rather assumes the parachutes expand in a linear fashion. In this MATLAB model, the parachute area increases linearly with respect to time until the deployment time is complete.

#### Changes to Model

The  $C_{\text{D}}$  of the rocket body will be increased by 5%, and the  $C_{\text{D}}$  of the main parachute decreased by 5%. This results in the rocket to have a max drift distance of greater than 2,500 ft in 20 mph winds. To solve this problem, the team will look into using a different 18 in parachute that has a lower  $C_{\text{D}}$  to keep the maximum drift distance within 2500 ft. The  $C_{\text{D}}$  of this parachute will be tested by the team to better make this decision before competition launch. The new values for descent velocity were simulated and the descent velocity graph in Figure 38 is shown below. The adjusted drift distance is shown below in Figure 39. This causes the drift distance to be even shorter than was actually observed. This is most likely caused by higher wind speeds when the rocket is higher in altitude. The team will look into adding a function in the script to add faster wind speeds at higher elevations.



Figure 38. Adjusting MATLAB Descent Velocity



Figure 39. Adjusted MATLAB Drift during Descent

### Parachute Selection

The parameters of the parachute's coefficients of drag are based on experimentally derived values from previous launches. The 18" Fruity Chutes Classical Ultra drogue parachute is estimated to have a coefficient of drag of 1.6, and the 96" Fruity Chutes Iris Ultra main parachute is estimated to have a coefficient of drag of 1.8. These numbers are slightly lower than the manufacturer's estimate, this is due to wear and tear on the parachutes and the manufacturer's own overestimation. Using OpenRocket and MATLAB, the team is able to confirm that these parachutes will land the rocket within the landing zone and with a safe amount of kinetic energy.

# Descent Time

The team's MATLAB model calculated that the rocket will take 83.6 seconds to descend from apogee to landing. The predicted descent altitude and velocity by time from the MATLAB model can be seen in Figure 40. OpenRocket predicts the launch vehicle's descent time from apogee to be 88.2 seconds. The predicted descent velocities from Open Rocket can be seen in Figure 41. This verifies the team's MATLAB model prediction that the launch vehicle will fulfill requirement 3.10.



#### **Kinetic Energy**

The MATLAB simulations predicted that the landing velocity of the rocket is 18.02 ft/s. OpenRocket's predicted landing velocity of the rocket is 17.8 ft/s. Kinetic energy of each body tube section was calculated using Equation 21. The kinetic energy of each section of the rocket at landing is given in Table 11. This confirms the requirement that the flight vehicle will land under 75 ft\*lbs.

$$KE = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \tag{21}$$

| Section   | Mass (oz.) | Kinetic Energy at landing<br>(MATLAB) | Kinetic Energy at landing<br>(OpenRocket) |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Nose cone | 160.5      | 50.59 ft*lbs                          | 49.42 ft*lbs                              |
| Avionics  | 123.3      | 38.86 ft*lbs                          | 37.95 ft*lbs                              |
| Booster   | 184.2      | 58.06 ft*lbs                          | 56.71 ft*lbs                              |

Table 11. Kinetic Energy by Section

The booster section is the heaviest section of the rocket and is most likely to impact the ground with an unsafe force. The kinetic energy of the booster section form after apogee to landing is shown in Figure 42. Mitigations for the failures of the main parachute deploying and slowing the rocket down are given in the team's FMEA tables.



Figure 42. Kinetic Energy of Booster Section

# Drift Distance

To ensure safe descent of the rocket within the landing zone, the team calculated drift distances for 5 mph, 10 mph, 15 mph, and 20 mph wind speeds. These calculations assumed there would be no launch angle and that the drogue parachute would deploy directly over the launch site. In Figure 43, the distance the rocket drifts from apogee is shown using MATLAB. OpenRocket drift distance from apogee that the launch vehicle with experience is 2235ft in 20 mph winds. This means OpenRocket predicts a drift distance that is approximately 200 feet shorter than the MATLAB model in 20 mph wind. This is due to OpenRocket not accounting for body tube drag once the drogue parachute has deployed. If OpenRocket accounted for this extra body tube drag, the launch vehicle would drift further.



Figure 43. MATLAB Calculated Drift during Descent

Exact drift distances by MATLAB from apogee to landing for wind velocity are given in Table 12.

 Table 12. MATLAB Drift Distance Calculations

| Wind velocity  | 5 mph     | 10 mph    | 15 mph     | 20 mph    |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Drift distance | 605.35 ft | 1210.7 ft | 1816.05 ft | 2421.4 ft |

# **3.4 Vehicle Demonstration Flight Results**

# Launch Day Conditions

The team successfully designed, constructed, launched, and recovered their 2018-2019 competition year rocket on February 9th. Launch day conditions were acceptable with little cloud cover, moderate winds of approximately 15 mph at the time of launch, and a temperature of 30 degrees°F. The rocket was launched from a launch rail at an angle of approximately 5 degrees and at 60 feet above sea level. The rocket had an apogee of 5,361 feet and took 84 seconds to land from apogee. The launch vehicle can be seen during its ascent in Figure 44.



Figure 44. Fully constructed launch vehicle in ascent during vehicle demonstration flight

# Predicted and Actual Ascent Results

The simulated flight profile with the launch day atmospheric conditions, detailing altitude and vertical velocity versus time, are shown in Figure 45.



Figure 45. Vehicle Demonstration Flight Simulation: Flight Profile

This simulation shows that an apogee of 5,231 feet is reached at 17.5 seconds after ignition. This differs from the actual apogee of 5,361 feet by 2.4%. This difference can be sufficiently accounted for by turbulence at altitude and variance in the motor's total impulse. The launch vehicle contained two ounces of ballast during the vehicle demonstration flight.

This simulation shows that a maximum velocity of 691 ft/s is reached just before motor burnout at approximately 2.9 seconds at an altitude of approximately 1,100 feet. This maximum velocity is well within the imposed limit of Mach 1 and occurs a safe distance from the launch pad. The rocket's velocity off a 10 feet rail is 75.5 ft/s which is well above the imposed minimum of 55 ft/s. To ensure the stability of the flight vehicle off of the rail and throughout flight, a simulated stability profile was created from the OpenRocket model below in Figure 46.



Figure 46. Vehicle Demonstration Flight OpenRocket: Stability Profile

The stability off the launch rail is 1.0 calibers. This relatively low stability is due to the high winds on launch day. Even with the high winds, the rocket still maintained the accepted stability of 1.0 calibers off the rail. After leaving the rail, the stability rapidly increases to approximately 3.2 calibers before slowly climbing to 4.2 calibers at motor burnout. It is apparent that the thrust curve for the Cesaroni L1355 motor has the necessary characteristics to achieve the minimum rail velocity for stable flight as the rocket leaves the pad.

#### Predicted Descent

The team primarily relies on MATLAB to predict the vehicle's descent. The model is updated with all the vehicle dimensions and masses prior to calculating trajectories. The following calculations are assuming drogue deploys directly above the launch rail. The drift distance in

Figure 47 predicted a drift distance of 1873 ft. This calculation was verified through OpenRocket which yielded a drift distance of 1850 ft.



The graph of predicted descent time and velocity for the rocket on launch day is shown below in Figure 48. The predicted descent time was 87.3 s from apogee. The average descent velocity under drogue was predicted to be 85.9 ft/s and 18.7 ft/s under main.



Figure 48. MATLAB Predicted Descent Velocity

#### Actual Descent

Figure 49 is the data gathered from the primary altimeter during flight. The descent speed under drogue was approximately 90 ft/s which was 4.77% faster than predicted. The descent speed under main was 19.5 ft/s which was 4.27% faster than what was predicted. The descent time after apogee was approximately 84.0 s until landing which was 3.78% faster than predicted.



The drift distance from launch to landing is shown below in Figure 50. At 2,195 ft, this drift distance was 18.65% further than expected with the 15 mph winds.



Figure 50. Drift Distance for Vehicle Demonstration Flight

#### Deployment

Marked in Figure 49 are two red lines labeled D and M for drogue and main deployment. The location of these two show that the altimeters reported firing the separation charges at the

expected time. This confirmed that both parachutes deployed and unfolded successfully. Descent under drogue parachute is shown below in Figure 51 on the left, and descent after main has deployed is shown in Figure 51 on the right. The sections did not collide with each other during descent confirming the team's requirement.



Figure 51. Parachute descent under drogue (left) and main (main) during vehicle demonstration flight

# Comparison between Predicted and Actual Flight for Descent

The descent speed under drogue and main were off by less than 5%. The inaccuracy in drogue descent is expected since the team has no way to model the tumbling of the rocket body prior to being flown. This slight over estimation on descent speed caused the descent time to be estimated at only 2 seconds faster than actual descent time.

Subscale fell significantly faster than predicted and after adjusting the drag coefficients, 75% of the error was corrected. Subscale descended significantly faster than the model predicted even when comparing the results with fullscale data prior to it being updated from subscale testing. At lower Reynolds numbers on the subscale components, the drag on the parts is much less. To compensate for this, next year the team will use a different MATLAB script for subscale than fullscale to account for this effect.

The rocket did drift 250 ft further than expected. 50 ft of this 250 ft overestimation can be accounted for by the rocket going 80 ft higher than modeled. The other 200 ft can be accounted for by noticing the higher variance in tumbling, and inferring that the wind speed was 3 mph to 5 mph faster at altitude. Where the rocket would have landed in higher winds matches with where the rocket actually landed.

The rocket landed within the required distance from the launch pad and at a speed that did not produce an impact energy on any individual section greater than 75 ft lbs. This satisfies the NASA requirements for the recovery system.

# Subscale and Fullscale Test Flight Similarities

Both subscale and fullscale flights were successful in their aims to inform the team on the design choices made and validate those choices. Both flights were also accurately simulated before launch with OpenRocket and MATLAB.

There were two major differences between the flights: the launch operations procedures and the wobble seen during subscale. During the subscale flight, assembly procedures were simplified drastically due to the lack of payload hardware present. But for fullscale, the structures team had to work closely with the payload team to ensure that all assembly procedures were carried out in the correct order. The other difference between the flights was the noticeable oscillations seen during the subscale launch. This was due to body tubes that did not sit flush against one another, this failure was specifically mitigated during fullscale construction. The fullscale test flight did not see any oscillations during ascent such as seen during subscale.

During both subscale and fullscale flight the altimeters and ejection system performed exactly as intended an expected. The descent rate during both flights was faster than predicted for drogue and main. The model was significantly more accurate when modelling fullscale descent speed due to the modifications made to the model after subscale flight which increased the accuracy 10%. The drift calculations in both tests were accurate to within 10% and did not change much between flights. One of the reasons for this was due to the wind speeds being almost 15 mph faster during the fullscale launch which increases the drift distance and makes it more likely to be inaccurate.

### Drag Coefficient

To estimate the drag coefficient of our rocket, a MATLAB simulation was conducted. Using the component masses and apogee measured on the day of launch, the drag coefficient was adjusted until the simulated apogee matched the actual apogee to an accuracy of 3.4\*10<sup>4</sup>%. The drag coefficient that produced this result was 0.60. This drag coefficient very closely matches the drag coefficient of 0.58 that the OpenRocket program predicted.

# Payload Vehicle Demonstration Flight Results

Successful flight criteria are determined by the NASA and some of the team derived requirements. Meeting all of these requirements would demonstrate a successful flight. Flight criteria include retaining the rover during flight, deploying the autonomous rover from the launch vehicle, the autonomous rover driving 10 feet, and collecting a 10 mL soil sample. Other qualifications for a successful flight include the successful operation of the communications system and the rover having the correct orientation upon landing.

The rover was successfully retained during flight by the solenoid locking mechanism because it was not displaced during the post flight analysis. However, because the ground station software failed on launch day, there was no way to verify that the solenoid would have unlocked the rover until it was returned to Penn State for further testing. The solenoid locking mechanism did successfully operate post flight when this additional test was conducted.

The ground station failed on launch day because the ground station was not operating on the correct computer. Due to a long wait time between arrival at the launch site and recovery of the rocket, the normal ground station computer's battery died and a different computer was used. The ground station was unable to connect with the rocket and the nose cone was not deployed.

The rotating payload bay was successful because the rover had the correct orientation after landing. All of the electronics on board the rocket were unharmed post flight.

# 4. Safety

LTRL understands that there are many inherent dangers when building, testing, and launching high powered model rockets. In the safety plan below, LTRL outlines the risks and hazards identified throughout the process of constructing, testing, and launching of the rocket, along with the preliminary steps to mitigate them.

# 4.1 Safety Officer Responsibilities

Ben Akhtar is the Safety Officer for LionTech Rocket Labs during the 2018-2019 season. As Safety Officer, he is responsible for the overall safety for the team, students, the public, and any other persons involved or at any LionTech Rocket Lab events. In the 2019 spring semester, Ben Akhtar is studying abroad and will not be able to oversee construction, testing, nor launch day assembly of the rocket and cannot enforce safety procedures as a result. Because of this, Matt Easler, the team's Flight Systems Lead, will act as the interim safety officer during construction, testing, and launch day procedures for duration of the 2019 spring semester.

# Statement of Work Requirements

The statement of work requirements for Safety provided by NASA are shown in Team Derived Requirements.

# Safety Requirements Verification

LTRL has created a set of team derived responsibilities that will increase and ensure further safety throughout the 2018-2019 season. These responsibilities can be found in Requirements Verification.

# 4.2 Safety Statement

LTRL will comply with all National Association of Rocketry (NAR), Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) regulations pertaining to high powered model rocketry. For convenience, and to help ensure the safety of LTRL members and the general public, LTRL will only launch at NAR or Tripoli Rocket Association certified club launches. LTRL and its members will comply with all instructions and guidance issued by the Range Safety Officer (RSO) of these launches. LTRL and its members will also comply with all instructions and guidance issued by the RSOs at the USLI launch in Huntsville.

# 4.3 NAR and TRA Regulations

# NAR Safety Code

Table 13 describes every component of the NAR High Power Rocket Safety Code and how LTRL plans on following with each and every rule or regulation.

| NAR High Power Rocket Safety Code  | LTRL Policy to Follow the Code   |
|--|--|
| 1. <b>Certification.</b> I will only fly high power rockets or possess high power rocket motors that are within the scope of my user certification and required licensing. | Only NAR motor certified team members or<br>Justin, the team's NAR mentor will be<br>allowed to purchase, handle, pack, or deal<br>with the appropriate rocket motors. |
| 2. Materials. I will use only lightweight  | Payload and the Structures subsystems will   |

# Table 13. NAR Safety Code

| materials such as paper, wood, rubber, plastic,<br>fiberglass, or when necessary ductile metal,<br>for the construction of my rocket.  | consider and select materials that follow this<br>guideline while factoring in the weight,<br>strength, durability, and other factors in their<br>selection.   |
|--|--|
| 3. <b>Motors.</b> I will use only certified,<br>commercially made rocket motors, and will<br>not tamper with these motors or use them for<br>any purposes except those recommended by<br>the manufacturer. I will not allow smoking,<br>open flames, nor heat sources within 25 feet<br>of these motors.   | All motors will be purchased from<br>professional, certified sellers such as AMW<br>Pro-X. All motors and black powder are<br>stored in the High Pressure Combustion<br>Laboratory (HPCL), which is equipped with a<br>type 4, indoor, portable BTFE explosives<br>magazine. The lab that holds the motors is<br>locked, and the area where the magazine is<br>located in is only accessible to members with<br>the proper NAR certification. Only<br>appropriate motor certified NAR members<br>shall be allowed to handle the rocket motors. |
| 4. <b>Ignition System.</b> I will launch my rockets<br>with an electrical launch system, and with<br>electrical motor igniters that are installed in<br>the motor only after my rocket is at the launch<br>pad or in a designated prepping area. My<br>launch system will have a safety interlock that<br>is in series with the launch switch that is not<br>installed until my rocket is ready for launch,<br>and will use a launch switch that returns to the<br>"off" position when released. The function of<br>onboard energetics and firing circuits will be<br>inhibited except when my rocket is in the<br>launching position. | To ensure proper safety protocol, the Range<br>Safety Officer will have final say over any<br>possible issues with the ignition system on<br>launch day. Additionally, to ensure that<br>charges do not go off prematurely, the<br>altimeters will not be armed until on the<br>launch pad. Finally, the onboard energetics<br>will not be installed until on the launch site<br>and given the go ahead that our rocket may<br>fly.  |
| 5. <b>Misfires.</b> If my rocket does not launch<br>when I press the button of my electrical<br>launch system, I will remove the launcher's<br>safety interlock or disconnect its battery, and<br>will wait 60 seconds after the last launch<br>attempt before allowing anyone to approach<br>the rocket.  | Only the Range Safety Officer or Safety<br>Officer of LTRL may disconnect the battery<br>or remove the launcher's safety interlock. The<br>Safety Officer will remind all members of<br>LTRL of this on the launch site and ensure all<br>members stand a safe distance away until the<br>rocket has either fired or been completely<br>disconnected for at least 2 minutes.   |
| 6. <b>Launch Safety.</b> I will use a 5-second countdown before launch. I will ensure that a means is available to warn participants and spectators in the event of a problem. I will ensure that no person is closer to the launch  | The Safety Officer will alert the team and the<br>public before countdown begins to ensure<br>proper awareness of the launch and safety<br>risks. LTRL will make sure to follow the<br>Minimum Distance Table at the very least and  |

| pad than allowed by the accompanying<br>Minimum Distance Table. When arming<br>onboard energetics and firing circuits I will<br>ensure that no person is at the pad except<br>safety personnel and those required for arming<br>and disarming operations. I will check the<br>stability of my rocket before flight and will<br>not fly it if it cannot be determined to be<br>stable. When conducting a simultaneous<br>launch of more than one high power rocket I<br>will observe the additional requirements of<br>NFPA 1127.  | follow any other rules given by the Range<br>Safety Officer on the day of the launch.<br>Additionally, the team will be in compliance<br>with all the other stated rules and ensure<br>proper stability of the rocket for safety and<br>proper flight.   |
|---|--|
| 7. Launcher. I will launch my rocket from a stable device that provides rigid guidance until the rocket has attained a speed that ensures a stable flight, and that is pointed to within 20 degrees of vertical. If the wind speed exceeds 5 miles per hour I will use a launcher length that permits the rocket to attain a safe velocity before separation from the launcher. I will use a blast deflector to prevent the motor's exhaust from hitting the ground. I will ensure that dry grass is cleared around each launch pad in accordance with the accompanying Minimum Distance table, and will increase this distance by a factor of 1.5 and clear that area of all combustible material if the rocket motor being launched uses titanium sponge in the propellant. | LTRL and the Safety Officer will ensure to<br>use the rails provided by the NAR at any<br>launches and the competition. Furthermore,<br>LTRL and the Safety Officer will ensure a<br>proper launch angle and that there are no fire<br>hazards below or near the exhaust of the<br>rocket motor. |
| 8. <b>Size.</b> My rocket will not contain any combination of motors that total more than 40,960 N-sec (9208 pound-seconds) of total impulse. My rocket will not weigh more at liftoff than one-third of the certified average thrust of the high power rocket motor(s) intended to be ignited at launch.   | LTRL will not exceed the total impulse when<br>using a rocket motor or motors in their<br>rockets.   |

| 9. <b>Flight Safety.</b> I will not launch my rocket<br>at targets, into clouds, near airplanes, nor on<br>trajectories that take it directly over the heads<br>of spectators or beyond the boundaries of the<br>launch site, and will not put any flammable or<br>explosive payload in my rocket. I will not<br>launch my rockets if wind speeds exceed 20<br>miles per hour. I will comply with Federal<br>Aviation Administration airspace regulations<br>when flying, and will ensure that my rocket<br>will not exceed any applicable altitude limit in<br>effect at that launch site.                           | Weather conditions and wind conditions will<br>be checked before each launch to ensure that<br>LTRL follows these guidelines and if there is<br>a possible safety risk, does not launch their<br>rocket at that time. Additionally, the Safety<br>Officer will ensure throughout the<br>construction of the rover that no flammable<br>objects could exist to create a flight hazard.<br>The team will ensure that all launches have<br>adequate FAA waivers in place for the rocket<br>launch. |
|---|---|
| 10. <b>Launch Site.</b> I will launch my rocket<br>outdoors, in an open area where trees, power<br>lines, occupied buildings, and persons not<br>involved in the launch do not present a<br>hazard, and that is at least as large on its<br>smallest dimension as one-half of the<br>maximum altitude to which rockets are<br>allowed to be flown at that site or 1500 feet,<br>whichever is greater, or 1000 feet for rockets<br>with a combined total impulse of less than 160<br>N-sec, a total liftoff weight of less than 1500<br>grams, and a maximum expected altitude of<br>less than 610 meters (2000 feet). | All launches will be at NAR/TRA events. All<br>launches will be at either Maryland Delaware<br>Rocketry Association (MDRA) or Pittsburgh<br>Space Command (PSC). If any issues arise,<br>the Range Safety Officer will have the final<br>say over any decisions to launch at that site.   |
| 11. <b>Launcher Location.</b> My launcher will be<br>1500 feet from any occupied building or from<br>any public highway on which traffic flow<br>exceeds 10 vehicles per hour, not including<br>traffic flow related to the launch. It will also<br>be no closer than the appropriate Minimum<br>Personnel Distance from the accompanying<br>table from any boundary of the launch site.  | The team will ensure that the NAR sites they<br>launch at comply with this rule and that if<br>there is an issue that the Range Safety Officer<br>alert the team immediately. The Range Safety<br>Officer will have the final say over any<br>decisions to launch at this site.   |

| 12. <b>Recovery System.</b> I will use a recovery system such as a parachute in my rocket so that all parts of my rocket return safely and undamaged and can be flown again, and I will use only flame-resistant or fireproof recovery system wadding in my rocket.                    | The Avionics and Recovery subsystem will<br>design, construct, and test to ensure that all<br>avionics bays are safe for flight use. All<br>rockets will use a dual deployment system<br>with a drogue and main parachute.<br>Additionally, only Kevlar recovery system<br>wadding shall be added to the rocket. The<br>Avionics and Recovery subsystem will also<br>follow the launch day checklist to prevent any<br>issues that may arise before launch. If any<br>issues arise that cannot be fixed properly, the<br>team shall not launch. |
|--|---|
| 13. <b>Recovery Safety.</b> I will not attempt to recover my rocket from power lines, tall trees, or other dangerous places, fly it under conditions where it is likely to recover in spectator areas or outside the launch site, nor attempt to catch it as it approaches the ground. | LTRL will make sure that if necessary, proper<br>professionals are contacted to retrieve the<br>rocket.   |

# 4.4 Lab Safety

Design and construction of both the Subscale and fullscale requires the use of power tools, such as a Dremel, a drill, and a finishing sander. Additionally, it requires the use of potentially harmful chemicals, typically epoxies. These create hazards, which can be mitigated by following proper protocols and rules and wearing proper personal protective equipment (PPE) and exercising extra caution when necessary to ensure the safety of all team members. To create a proper atmosphere, where safety is of the utmost importance, and to educate members about proper chemical safety and disposal, basic laboratory safety, and the proper use of PPE, all team members are required to take safety training that is offered through Penn State's Environmental Health and Safety (EHS). In addition, safety and emergency equipment is available to LTRL members in the lab and at launches.

# Safety Training

All LTRL team members are required to take a four-part Initial Lab Safety and Hazards Awareness training course offered online by Penn State's EHS. The course consists of four training videos: Introduction to Safety, Chemical Safety, Hazardous Waste Management and Disposal, and Emergency Preparedness. Each training video concludes with a quiz. Members must score at least an 80% to pass that portion of the training. LTRL Members who have already completed the initial course in a previous year can take a refresher course instead. The refresher course is also offered online, in a similar training video format. Members must score an 80% to pass the quiz at the end of the video. If they do not score 80% or higher, they must retake the quiz. If they do not pass after two times, they are required to set up an appointment with the Safety Officer and review all the topics covered in the videos and ask any questions they may have. In either case, participating in the four-part training course or the refresher, after passing the quiz, a certificate is generated, which is then submitted and verified by the Safety Officer, allowing that team member to work in the laboratory. The Safety Officer keeps both a physical and electronic database recording all members who have completed their safety training and are allowed to work in the laboratory. The physical storage of the safety certificates is in a binder, located within the laboratory. If a member has yet to complete their training once work beings in the laboratory, the appropriate subsystem lead is notified about which members are not compliant with the Safety Training requirement. Members who have not completed safety training are not allowed to work in the lab.

# Safety and Emergency Equipment

Safety glasses, dust masks, and gloves are available in the LTRL lab. They are also brought to launches and used as necessary. In case of an emergency, a first aid kit is available in the lab and brought to launches. Fire extinguishers, both dry chemical and  $CO_2$  types, are available in the hallway directly outside of the lab. Additionally, there is a bathroom directly down the hallway from the lab in the case a team member needs to wash a chemical off.

# 4.5 Local/State/Federal Law Compliances

The team has closely examined, reviewed, and acknowledged all regulations regarding unmanned rocket launches and motor handling. The following regulations are included in the team's safety manual and available to all members: Federal Aviation Regulations 14 CFR, Subchapter F, Part 101, Subpart C, Code of Federal Regulation 27 Part 55: Commerce in Explosives; and fire prevention, and NFPA 1127 "Code for High Power Rocket Motors"

The team's preferred launch sites are listed below in Table 14.

| Field Location (Group<br>Name)  | Status   | Team Use   |
|---|--|--|
| Grove City, Pittsburgh<br>(Pittsburgh Space<br>Command)                                       | <ol> <li>Waiver up to 8,700 ft</li> <li>Only two hours travel</li> <li>Moderate size</li> <li>Friendly and helpful</li> <li>Available once a month</li> </ol>          | <ol> <li>Ideal site for test launches</li> <li>Best location for travel</li> <li>Ideal for low to moderate wind speeds</li> </ol>  |
| Higgs Farm and Central Sod<br>Farm in Maryland<br>(Maryland Delaware<br>Rocketry Association) | <ol> <li>Waiver up to 16,900 ft</li> <li>4 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> hours travel</li> <li>Large size</li> <li>Typically available more than once per month</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Ideal site for test launches</li> <li>Inconvenient due to<br/>travel</li> <li>Ideal for higher wind<br/>speeds</li> </ol> |

### Table 14. Preferred launch sites for the 2018-2019 competition

All of these launch sites are in compliance with all federal, state, and local regulations as well as any rules and regulations put forth by the NRA. Additionally, both sites are have a high standard of safety. LTRL's main launch site for the 2018-2019 season will be in Grove City, Pennsylvania through Pittsburgh Space Command, which is an NRA affiliated launch site.

# 4.6 Motor Safety

LTRL plans to use an I-class motor for the subscale rocket. Last year a J-class motor was used. Additionally, LTRL used an L-class motor for the fullscale last year and LTRL tentatively plans that a similar class motor will be used for fullscale this year. The rocket motors are purchased, handled, and transported by Justin Hess. Justin Hess holds a NAR Level 2 certification. Any team member who has obtained at minimum a Level 2 certification will also be allowed to assist in this process. Additionally, Matt Easler, the team's Flight Systems lead and Gregory Schweiker, the team's President, currently hold NAR Level 1 certifications and are attempting their Level 2 certification launches during the season. An individual who has obtained at least a Level 2 certification has demonstrated that they understand the safety guidelines regarding motors and the proper procedures for purchasing, handling, and transporting them. Any certified team member that partakes in any of these activities is responsible for the appropriate safety measures. All motors are stored in the High Pressure Combustion Lab (HPCL) when not in use. The HPCL has storage magazines for H/D 1.1 and H/D 1.3 energetic materials and propellants. These magazines are sited, licensed, and operated in compliance with all local, state, and federal regulations. The motors for all launches will be transported by car to the launch site.

# Motor CATO Awareness and Prevention

In order to ensure the team's utmost safety, the team will monitor and reference the Manufacture Notifications and Modification Announcements at <u>http://www.motorcato.org/</u> to ensure that scheduled motors for subscale and fullscale have no warnings issued or a higher risk for a hazard. Additionally, if a catastrophic event at take-off (CATO) occurs during any launch this season, the team will report through the malfunctioning engine statistical survey (MESS) to assist other teams and peoples in tracking the reliability of rocket motors.

# 4.7 Hazard Analysis

# Risk Assessment Matrix

By thoroughly examining every human interaction, environment, rocket system and components, and previous year's hazards, hazards for this season have been identified. These hazards are not the only hazards that may occur during the construction, testing, or launching of the rocket and as new hazards and risks are identified with new rocket components. These hazards will be added to the list of hazards and thoroughly analyzed to properly mitigate their risk. Hazard identification and risk assessment are vital to the safety and success of the team and the safety of the public.

Each currently identified hazard has been thoroughly evaluated through a risk assessment matrix that first identifies the hazard, then lays out the possible causes of the hazard, and the effects of the hazard occurring. Additionally, the risk assessment matrix identifies the likelihood and severity of the said hazard and mitigations of those hazards to demonstrate the pre-mitigation risk and the post-mitigation risk.

To determine the likelihood of every hazard, a score from one to five, with a score one being the highest, was given. To accurately give a likelihood score, the following conditions were considered:

- All team members have undergone proper lab safety training and understand how to properly use the equipment
- All team members understand when they are required to wear PPE and how to properly use the PPE to prevent harm
- All team members understand all rules set forth in the safety manual and any laws and regulations that may be in place relating to the project at hand
- All procedures were correctly followed during testing, launching, and construction of the rocket
- Any equipment was properly inspected before use and if determined inadequate, was properly disposed
- Any component used during testing, launching, or construction of the rocket was properly inspected before and if determined inadequate was either properly disposed of or replaced to ensure a safe build of the rocket for any tests or launches

The criteria for the selection of the likelihood value is outlined below in Table 15.

| Likelihood        |                        |  |  |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Description       | Corresponding<br>Value | Criteria   |  |
| Almost<br>Certain | 1                      | Greater than a 90% chance the hazard will occur    |  |
| Likely            | 2                      | Between a 90% and 50% chance the hazard will occur |  |
| Moderate          | 3                      | Between a 50% and 25% chance the hazard will occur |  |
| Unlikely          | 4                      | Between a 25% and 5% chance the hazard will occur  |  |
| Improbable        | 5                      | Less than a 5% chance the hazard will occur        |  |

# Table 15. Likelihood Value Criteria

A severity value has been assigned from 1 to 4 for all hazards, with a value of 1 being the most severe. To determine the severity value for each hazard, a set of criteria has been established based on injuries, damage to any equipment and/or the rocket, and any possible environmental

damage, which will be compared to the possible outcome of the hazard or issue. This criteria can be found below in Table 16.

| Severity     |                        |   |  |
|--------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Description  | Corresponding<br>Value | Criteria  |  |
| Catastrophic | 1                      | Could result in any number of deaths, irreversible damage to the environment, mission failure, or monetary loss upwards of \$5k.  |  |
| Critical     | 2                      | Could result in severe injuries, many<br>moderate environmental impacts or<br>a severe but reversible<br>environmental impact, partial<br>mission failure, or monetary loss<br>between \$500 and \$5k.      |  |
| Marginal     | 3                      | Could result in minor injuries, a<br>number of minor environmental<br>effects or one moderate one, a<br>complete failure of non-mission<br>essential system, or a monetary loss<br>between \$100 and \$500. |  |
| Negligible   | 4                      | Could result in insignificant injuries,<br>a minor environmental impact, a<br>partial failure of a non-mission<br>essential system, or monetary loss of<br>less than \$100.                                 |  |

# Table 16. Severity Value Criteria

By using the likelihood value and the severity value, an appropriate risk level has been determined and assigned using the risk assessment matrix found in Table 17. The matrix identifies all combinations of severity and likelihood as either, low, moderate, or high risk. An ideal outcome for the team is to have all hazards to be at a low risk by the time the competition launch occurs to ensure the safest environment. Hazards that are above a low risk level and are
not an environmental risk that the team has no control over will be readdressed through a number of different options including redesign, additional safety regulations, analysis and tests, or other measures that may be required. Additionally, through verification systems, the risk may be further mitigated.

|                     | Risk Assessment Matrix |            |            |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Likelihood<br>Value |                        | Severity   | Value      |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | 1-Catastrophic         | 2-Critical | 3-Marginal | 4-Negligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Almost Certain    | 2-High                 | 3-High     | 4-Moderate | 5-Moderate   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Likely            | 3-High                 | 4-Moderate | 5-Moderate | 6-Low        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Moderate          | 4-Moderate             | 5-Moderate | 6-Low      | 7-Low        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Unlikely          | 5-Moderate             | 6-L ow     | 7-Low      | 8-Low        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-Improbable        | 6-Low                  | 7-Low      | 8-Low      | 9-Low        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 17. Risk Assessment Matrix

Preliminary risk assessments have been evaluated for possible hazards that have been identified so far in the design process for the 2018-2019 season. Identifying the hazards this early in the design process allows the team to pay special attention to possible failure mechanisms within at risk components. By redesigning, analyzing and testing, or creating safety procedures, the mechanisms can be reduced or further understood while creating a safer environment for the team at this design stage. The team will work through the design stage and throughout the year to mitigate current hazards and any other hazards that are identified throughout the year.

At this time, some identified risks are unacceptably high. This is because all risks have been identified and addressed through some early concept design work, recommended processes, and hand calculations as testing has not been able to occur yet for the specified risks. As these risks are analyzed and tested, designs will be mitigated and verified as safe or redesigned. Risk levels will only be lowered once physical testing or evidence has proven the safety of the mechanism and the design are verified.

## **Overall Team Risk Assessment**

During the project there are many possible hazards that could hinder the team as a whole, not just for specific subsystems. These all do not relate to the environment.

## Lab and Learning Factory Risk Assessment

During the construction and manufacturing of components for the rocket, there will be many risks associated. All of this construction and manufacturing will be conducted either at the Learning Factory or the LTRL Lab. The hazards assessed from working with machines, tools, or chemicals can be found in Table *18*.

#### Structures Risk Assessment

The hazards found in Table 22**Error! Reference source not found.** are hazards that could be encountered during the launch of the vehicle or the assembly of the vehicle.

#### Propulsion Risk Assessment

Because the team is buying commercially produced motors, this area is of lower risk than if team produced its own motors. There are still risks associated, however. The team plans on allowing only members who have proper motor level certifications to use, handle, purchase, and work with the rocket motors. The team plans on accurately producing a stable rocket that can handle the rocket motor the team chooses. All hazards associated with propulsion are found in

## Table 23.

## Avionics and Recovery Risk Assessment

Because LTRL is required by NASA to use dual deployment, many of the hazards stated would be possible for all of the systems. To be concise, all the stated hazards will only be stated once. The hazards that are associated with avionics and recovery can be found in Table 24.

#### Payload Risk Assessment

Because the team is planning on building a rover this year, there are many associated hazards or possible outcomes that could cause a failure or pose a safety concern. The team plans to ensure that the payload is properly secured, which will require many different components to ensure safe deployment, testing, assembly, and other flight hazards. The hazards that are associated with the payload can be found in

## Table 25.

Hazards to the Environment Risk Assessment

During construction, testing, or launching of the rocket there may be hazardous to the environment. The associated hazards can be found in Table *19*.

Environmental Hazards to Rocket Risk Assessment The hazards found in **Table 20** are risks that the environment could impact the rocket or a component of the rocket. Unfortunately, the team has no control over environmental hazards and cannot reduce the risk of the hazard. Because of this, these hazards can be considered outside of the team's ideal scenario of having all hazards be at a low risk level. To ensure proper safety, if the environment poses a moderate risk to the rocket or a component of the rocket, the launch will be delayed until the Safety Officer lowers the risk level to low and approves the team to consult the Range Safety Officer to see if it is safe to launch.

## Launch Procedures

Throughout the season, the Safety Officer is responsible for writing, maintaining, and ensuring that up to date and proper launch procedures are available at any time. These are critical to team members, the public, the range's personnel, the equipment, and the environment. Checklists will be required for all launches. These checklists can be seen under Launch Day Procedures.

These checklists are divided into checklists for each subsystem for pre-launch preparations, necessary launch day equipment, and launch day. By creating these checklists, each subsystem remains more organized and can quickly and effectively prepare for launch day. For a checklist to be considered complete, the head of the appropriate subsystem must sign off on that checklist after verifying every single item on the checklist has been completed. The Safety Officer will collect and verify the completion of all subsystem checklists. Once all subsystems have completed their appropriate tasks, the final assembly of the launch vehicle may be occur. Once the final assembly is complete, all subsystem leads and executive members, including the Safety Officer, must approve the rocket for launch. Once the rocket is a go for launch, the launch pad checklist can be started. Subsystem leads or executive members will be assigned a specific component of the rocket to track during the flight and recovery of the rocket. If the Safety Officer or Range Safety Officer determine something may be unsafe at any time, then they may call off the launch at any time if they believe the risk level is too high.

## Safety Data Sheets (SDS)

All potentially hazardous materials that the team has stored in the lab or will be used throughout the competition have been identified and appropriate SDS have been found. These SDS can be found in Appendix A: MSDS Sheets. This appendix will include the name and the first page for each SDS along with the corresponding link to that SDS to view the full SDS.

# 4.8 Safety Risk Assessment

 Table 18. Lab and Learning Factory Risk Assessment

| Lab and Learning Factory Risk Assessment   |  |  |                                  |                                |                            |   |                                   |                                 |                             |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Hazard   | Cause  | Effect   | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation  | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification   |  |
| The use of<br>chemical<br>components.  | Chemical fumes<br>being inhaled or<br>splashed onto a<br>person. | Possible mild to<br>severe burns or<br>asthma aggravation<br>due to inhalation of<br>fumes.  | 2                                | 2                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | MSDS data sheets will be<br>available to all members<br>in the lab. Additionally,<br>all team members must<br>understand the risks that<br>the chemical poses. All<br>members will also wear<br>nitrile gloves and have<br>their body covered in<br>clothing. | 4                                 | 2                               | 6-Low                       | All members<br>must agree to<br>wear appropriate<br>clothing in the<br>lab and take<br>proper training<br>before working<br>with any<br>chemical to<br>ensure they<br>understand the<br>risks. |  |
| The use of<br>power tools<br>such as saws,<br>sanders, drills,<br>or blades or<br>other<br>machines. | Not wearing the<br>proper personal<br>protection<br>equipment.   | The team member<br>may have<br>particulates enter<br>their throat or lodge<br>in their skin. | 2                                | 2                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | All team members will be<br>taught which personal<br>protection to use with<br>each tool. Each team<br>member will be tested of<br>their knowledge before<br>being allowed to enter the<br>lab.   | 4                                 | 2                               | 6-Low                       | All team<br>members will<br>only operate<br>power tools in<br>the presence of a<br>lead or executive<br>member to<br>ensure proper   |  |

|  |   |   |   |   |                |   |   |   |       | protocols are followed.  |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| A high voltage<br>shock.   | Improper use of<br>welding leads to<br>a team member<br>being shocked.  | A team member<br>could suffer a severe<br>injury or death.  | 3 | 1 | 4-<br>Moderate | All members must have<br>certified training prior to<br>welding. Two certified<br>team members will be<br>present when welding.<br>One to watch for possible<br>mistakes and one to weld.   | 5 | 1 | 6-Low | All members<br>with welding<br>training shall<br>present them to<br>the Safety<br>Officer before<br>they are allowed<br>to weld. If they<br>do not, they shall<br>not be permitted<br>to use the<br>welding<br>equipment during<br>the season. |
| The use of<br>chemical<br>components.  | Improper use of<br>the chemical<br>components or<br>improper<br>mixing. | The rocket may be<br>damaged or the team<br>member could start a<br>fire harming team<br>members. | 3 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | There will be a fire<br>extinguisher kept in the<br>lab at all times.<br>Additionally, all team<br>members will be<br>instructed to carefully<br>read all instructions<br>before using any<br>chemicals and working<br>with a lead when mixing<br>potentially dangerous<br>chemicals. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | All team<br>members will<br>only be allowed<br>to enter the lab<br>with a lead or<br>executive<br>member. All<br>chemicals will be<br>stored in the lab.   |
| The use of<br>power tools<br>such as saws,<br>sanders, drills,<br>or blades or | Improper use of<br>the tool or lab<br>equipment from<br>poor training.  | Possible burns or<br>cuts to team<br>members. The rocket<br>or tool may also be<br>damaged.       | 3 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | All members using the<br>tool must have knowledge<br>and training with using<br>that tool. If they are using<br>the tool for the first time,  | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | All members<br>with proper<br>training for<br>specific tools<br>shall be kept in a   |

| other<br>machines.   |   |  |   |   |                | they shall be taught<br>properly by a lead or<br>executive member and<br>then watched to make<br>sure they properly follow<br>procedure. Additionally,<br>all members are required<br>to wear safety glasses in<br>the lab. Finally, if<br>applicable, a vacuum will<br>be placed near the point<br>of cutting or drilling to<br>ensure particulates or<br>shards are properly<br>disposed of. |   |   |       | log within the<br>lab. When a<br>member wishes<br>to use a tool, a<br>lead or executive<br>member may<br>check to see if<br>they have the<br>proper training.<br>If they do not,<br>the proper steps<br>will be taken. |
|--|---|--|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| During<br>sanding a team<br>member may<br>have<br>particulates<br>enter their<br>throat. | The team<br>member did not<br>properly use<br>their PPE.                          | This could cash a<br>rash, a sore throat,<br>nose, eyes, and<br>possible asthma. | 2 | 3 | 5-<br>Moderate | All individuals will be<br>required and taught how<br>to use proper PPE during<br>sanding and using other<br>tools. Additionally, team<br>members will have to<br>wear long sleeves and<br>long pants.   | 3 | 3 | 6-Low | All members will<br>be made aware of<br>the risks of<br>sanding and all<br>team members<br>will have specific<br>PPE just for them<br>labeled in the<br>lab.   |
| Metal shards<br>entering<br>piercing the<br>skin.  | The use of a drill<br>or other cutting<br>equipment to<br>machine metal<br>parts. | Metal splinters<br>lodged in the skin or<br>in the eyes.                         | 2 | 2 | 4-<br>Moderate | When entering the lab, all<br>team members must have<br>closed toe shoes, long<br>pants, long sleeves, wear<br>gloves when machining,<br>and wear safety glasses. If<br>applicable, a vacuum will<br>be placed near the place<br>of cutting or drilling  | 2 | 5 | 7-Low | When metal is<br>being cut, it will<br>be required that<br>at least two<br>members are<br>there to work<br>together, one to<br>use the vacuum<br>and one to cut<br>the metal.  |

|  |   |   |   |   |                |  |   |   |       | Additionally, the<br>one cutting must<br>have approval<br>and proper<br>training to use<br>the tool to cut the<br>metal.   |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| The use of<br>white lithium<br>grease.                   | The grease<br>contacts the skin<br>while putting the<br>motor inside the<br>rocket. | The member may have skin irritation.                    | 2 | 3 | 5-<br>Moderate | All members will be<br>required to wear gloves<br>and safety glasses when<br>working with hazardous<br>substances.                           | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | All team<br>members will be<br>made aware of<br>the risks and<br>have proper<br>training to work<br>with hazardous<br>substances.  |
| A team<br>member may<br>get burns<br>while<br>soldering. | Improper use of<br>the soldering<br>iron.   | The team member<br>may suffer minor to<br>severe burns. | 3 | 3 | 6-Low          | All team members will be<br>taught how to properly<br>solder and their first few<br>times will be supervised<br>by an experienced<br>member. | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | All members<br>with proper<br>training for<br>specific tools<br>shall be kept in a<br>log within the<br>lab. When a<br>member wishes<br>to use a tool, a<br>lead or executive<br>member may<br>check to see if<br>they have the<br>proper training.<br>If they do not,<br>the proper steps<br>will be taken. |

|  | Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment   |   |                    |                                |                            |  |                     |                     |                             |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Hazard   | Cause   | Effect  | Pre-<br>Mitigation | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation   | Post-<br>Mitigation | Post-<br>Mitigation | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification   |  |  |
| There is<br>unsuccessful<br>deployment of<br>recovery systems. | The deployment<br>charges fail to ignite,<br>or insufficient<br>deployment charges<br>or improper pressure<br>readings. | The launch vehicle<br>plummets to Earth<br>at a higher than<br>expected speed<br>resulting in a<br>damaged launch<br>vehicle and debris<br>around the launch<br>area. | 3                  | 1                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | Both separation charge<br>calculations and separation<br>tests will be done<br>separately to ensure all<br>sections separate properly. | 5                   | 1                   | 6-Low                       | At least 3<br>ground tests<br>will take place<br>before the<br>launch to<br>ensure that the<br>coupler can<br>separate<br>properly.<br>Additionally,<br>black power<br>charges will be<br>calculated by<br>using precisely<br>4.5 grams of<br>black powder<br>into each<br>canister. |  |  |
| There is a fire on the launch pad.                             | After motor ignition,<br>dry grass and brush<br>may catch fire  | A wild fire occurs<br>in the local area if<br>not properly<br>contained,  | 3                  | 2                              | 5-<br>Moderate             | All launches shall be<br>properly equipped with a<br>fire extinguisher and the<br>Safety Officer and others                            | 4                   | 2                   | 6-Low                       | In compliance<br>with the NAR,<br>we will not<br>launch within   |  |  |

## Table 19. Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment

|  | around the launch pad.  | destroying<br>wildlife.   |   |   |                | will ensure that there is no<br>dry grass or brush under<br>the rocket or around the<br>launch pad.  |   |   |       | 100ft of brush<br>or dry grass<br>according to<br>launch<br>procedures.<br>And a fire<br>extinguisher<br>shall be present<br>at each launch.   |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| Rocket debris is<br>scattered around<br>the launch site. | Rocket parts are not<br>properly secured or<br>come apart during<br>the flight. | The rocket could<br>possibly start a fire<br>if extremely hot or<br>become a hazard<br>to local wildlife. | 2 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | All rocket parts must be<br>checked before launch<br>during launch procedures<br>to ensure proper<br>securement. Additionally,<br>all parts will be tested to<br>ensure they can withstand<br>wind forces during flight. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | The President<br>and Safety<br>Officer will<br>inspect the<br>rocket before it<br>is launched and<br>check off that<br>all parts are<br>securely<br>fastened and<br>ready for a<br>launch. |
| The motor<br>CATOs.                                      | There is a motor defect.  | The launch vehicle<br>is destroyed and<br>debris is launched<br>everywhere.                               | 5 | 1 | 6-Low          | The motor shall be<br>purchased from a<br>reputable, commercial<br>source before the launch.   | 5 | 1 | 6-Low | Use<br>motorcato.org<br>and any<br>information<br>from the<br>appropriate<br>manufacturer<br>to verify no<br>problems with<br>the type of<br>motor the<br>team is using                    |

|  |   |  |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | before<br>purchasing the<br>motor and<br>before launch.<br>Additionally,<br>follow steps<br>1-4 of Case<br>Assembly in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures to<br>ensure that the<br>motor has no<br>visible issues<br>before launch. |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| There is chemical<br>contamination to<br>local water<br>sources. | Batteries or other<br>hazardous materials<br>leaking out into<br>water systems. | The leaking<br>materials<br>contaminate the<br>local water<br>system, making it<br>undrinkable to<br>local wildlife. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | All batteries will be new<br>and inspected prior to<br>launch. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Launch<br>procedures<br>require visual<br>inspection of all<br>batteries and<br>the Safety<br>Officer will<br>verify that the<br>batteries are<br>new and<br>undamaged<br>before allowing<br>them into the<br>rocket.        |

|   | Environmental Hazards to the Rocket Risk Assessment   |  |                                  |                                |                            |  |                                   |                                 |                             |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Hazard  | Cause   | Effect   | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation   | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification  |  |  |
| The rover<br>becomes stuck<br>because of mud<br>or dirt.  | Tilling of the<br>fields or recent<br>rain causes the<br>fields to be hard to<br>traverse for the<br>rover. | The wheels will be<br>unable to gain<br>proper traction<br>resulting in the<br>mission failure for<br>the payload. | 2                                | 2                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | Ensure that the wheels<br>will be able to handle<br>difficult terrains and<br>travel the necessary<br>distance.  | 4                                 | 2                               | 6-Low                       | Test drive the<br>rover at least 5<br>times<br>throughout the<br>design process<br>to ensure the<br>rover can<br>adequately<br>drive over the<br>soil in any<br>condition.                  |  |  |
| The recovery<br>system or<br>payload<br>electronics are<br>damaged by<br>environmental<br>conditions. | Extreme cold,<br>extreme heat, or<br>rain could damage<br>the electronics.                                  | The electronics<br>fail to properly<br>work, resulting in<br>a total mission<br>failure.                           | 3                                | 1                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | All electronics will be<br>shielded properly from<br>rain and light and<br>verified to work under<br>the anticipated<br>operating temperature.<br>If there are heavy rains,<br>it will ensure the rocket<br>and payload do not<br>launch or operate. | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | All electronics<br>will be encased<br>in 3D printed<br>parts that<br>protect them<br>from the<br>anticipated<br>light and<br>precipitation.<br>All flight<br>conditions will<br>be verified |  |  |

## Table 20. Environmental Hazards to the Rocket Risk Assessment

|   |  |   |   |   |                |  |   |   |       | before launch<br>to ensure the<br>electronics can<br>properly<br>operate.  |
|---|--|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| Poor weather<br>conditions<br>including snow,<br>rain, sleet, ice,<br>clouds, high<br>winds, extreme<br>heat or cold. | N/A  | The rocket may be<br>unable to launch<br>or the rocket may<br>be damaged when<br>launched or<br>necessary<br>components fail. | 3 | 1 | 4-<br>Moderate | The team will not<br>launch the rocket in any<br>poor weather and will<br>require the sign off by<br>both the Safety Officer<br>and President to launch<br>the rocket. | 5 | 1 | 6-Low | Checking for<br>proper weather<br>conditions<br>before the<br>launch will be<br>required. On<br>launch day, the<br>launch<br>checklist will<br>include<br>checking the<br>weather<br>conditions. It<br>will require the<br>Safety Officer<br>and President to<br>sign off. |
| There is damage<br>to the structure<br>of the rocket or a<br>launch pad fire<br>occurs.                               | Hot temperatures<br>combined with<br>direct sunlight<br>cause the inside of<br>the rocket to get<br>extremely hot. | High temperatures<br>and extended<br>exposure to<br>sunlight causes<br>overheating in the<br>batteries, leading<br>to a fire. | 3 | 1 | 4-<br>Moderate | Ensure that batteries are<br>stored in insulated bags<br>until needed and the<br>rocket is kept under a<br>tent when possible.   | 5 | 1 | 6-Low | The team shall<br>try to bring a<br>tent if at<br>possible. All<br>batteries will<br>remain in their<br>insulated bags<br>until approval<br>by the President<br>or Safety<br>Officer is given  |

|   |   |  |   |   |                |  |   |   |       | to remove<br>them.   |
|---|---|--|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| The rover<br>mission is halted<br>because of large<br>debris. | There is large<br>debris in the<br>rover's path.                              | The mission will<br>be halted and<br>payload would<br>experience a<br>mission failure                                      | 3 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | The rover will have the<br>necessary sensors and<br>wheels to either avoid<br>or go over any debris.   | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | The rover was<br>tested to<br>effectively be<br>able to<br>maneuver<br>throughout the<br>testing process.  |
| The launch is pad is not level.                               | The launch pad<br>sinks due to soft<br>ground or is<br>improperly<br>leveled. | The launch vehicle<br>is launched at an<br>unanticipated<br>launch trajectory.   | 4 | 2 | 6-Low          | The launch pad will be<br>leveled prior to the<br>launch vehicle being<br>installed on it.   | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The President<br>and Safety<br>Officer will<br>sign off on the<br>leveling of the<br>launch pad to<br>ensure it is<br>properly<br>handled.   |
| The parachute or<br>rocket body are<br>damaged.               | High winds or<br>trees cause<br>damage.                                       | The recovery<br>equipment being<br>damaged causes<br>the rocket to not<br>properly land and<br>the rover to not<br>deploy. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low          | To mitigate this issue<br>the team will not launch<br>when winds exceed 15<br>mph and ensure that he<br>launch field adheres to<br>the launching distances<br>in the NAR handbook. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The weather<br>will be checked<br>throughout the<br>day leading up<br>to and<br>including the<br>day of the<br>launch to check<br>the wind speed.<br>Before<br>launching, a<br>discussion with<br>the Safety<br>Officer may<br>occur to ensure |

|  |   |   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       | that it is safe to<br>launch the<br>rocket.  |
|--|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| The vehicle<br>assemble is<br>difficult in the<br>field. | Many or excessive<br>changes in<br>temperature or<br>humidity cause<br>swelling and/or<br>shrinking of<br>components. | New stresses are<br>induced and<br>increased<br>separation<br>between<br>components may<br>be introduced,<br>causing the<br>possibility of<br>failed separations. | 2 | 4 | 6-Low | All fits will be verified<br>before leaving to the<br>launch site. Sand paper<br>will be brought in case<br>minute adjustments are<br>required. | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | These steps will<br>require the<br>approval of<br>both the<br>President and<br>Safety Officer<br>during the<br>launch<br>procedures. |

| Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment                     |   |   |                                  |                                |                            |   |                                   |                                 |                             |  |  |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Hazard  | Cause   | Effect  | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation  | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification   |  |
| There is<br>unsuccessful<br>deployment of<br>recovery<br>systems. | The deployment<br>charges fail to<br>ignite, or<br>insufficient<br>deployment<br>charges or<br>improper pressure<br>readings. | The launch vehicle<br>plummets to Earth<br>at a higher than<br>expected speed<br>resulting in a<br>damaged launch<br>vehicle and debris<br>around the launch<br>area. | 3                                | 1                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | Both separation charge<br>calculations and<br>separation tests will be<br>done separately to<br>ensure all sections<br>separate properly. | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | At least 3<br>ground tests<br>will take place<br>before the<br>launch to<br>ensure that the<br>coupler can<br>separate<br>properly.<br>Additionally,<br>black power<br>charges will be<br>calculated by<br>using precisely<br>4.5 grams of<br>black powder<br>into each<br>canister. |  |
| There is a fire<br>on the launch<br>pad.                          | After motor<br>ignition, dry grass<br>and brush may<br>catch fire around<br>the launch pad.                                   | A wild fire occurs<br>in the local area if<br>not properly<br>contained,  | 3                                | 2                              | 5-<br>Moderate             | All launches shall be<br>properly equipped with<br>a fire extinguisher and<br>the Safety Officer and<br>others will ensure that           | 4                                 | 2                               | 6-Low                       | In compliance<br>with the NAR,<br>we will not<br>launch within<br>100ft of brush   |  |

## Table 21. Rocket Hazards to Environment Risk Assessment

|  |  | destroying<br>wildlife.   |   |   |                | there is no dry grass or<br>brush under the rocket<br>or around the launch<br>pad.   |   |   |       | or dry grass<br>according to<br>launch<br>procedures.<br>And a fire<br>extinguisher<br>shall be present<br>at each launch.   |
|--|--|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| Rocket debris is<br>scattered around<br>the launch site. | Rocket parts are<br>not properly<br>secured or come<br>apart during the<br>flight. | The rocket could<br>possibly start a fire<br>if extremely hot or<br>become a hazard<br>to local wildlife. | 2 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | All rocket parts must be<br>checked before launch<br>during launch<br>procedures to ensure<br>proper securement.<br>Additionally, all parts<br>will be tested to ensure<br>they can withstand<br>wind forces during<br>flight. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | The President<br>and Safety<br>Officer will<br>inspect the<br>rocket before it<br>is launched and<br>check off that<br>all parts are<br>securely<br>fastened and<br>ready for a<br>launch. |
| The motor<br>CATOs.                                      | There is a motor<br>defect.  | The launch vehicle<br>is destroyed and<br>debris is launched<br>everywhere.                               | 5 | 1 | 6-Low          | The motor shall be<br>purchased from a<br>reputable, commercial<br>source before the<br>launch.  | 5 | 1 | 6-Low | Use<br>motorcato.org<br>and any<br>information<br>from the<br>appropriate<br>manufacturer<br>to verify no<br>problems with<br>the type of<br>motor the<br>team is using<br>before          |

|   |  |  |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | purchasing the<br>motor and<br>before launch.<br>Additionally,<br>follow steps<br>1-4 of Case<br>Assembly in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures to<br>ensure that the<br>motor has no<br>visible issues<br>before launch.    |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| There is<br>chemical<br>contamination<br>to local water<br>sources. | Batteries or other<br>hazardous<br>materials leaking<br>out into water<br>systems. | The leaking<br>materials<br>contaminate the<br>local water<br>system, making it<br>undrinkable to<br>local wildlife. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | All batteries will be<br>new and inspected prior<br>to launch. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Launch<br>procedures<br>require visual<br>inspection of all<br>batteries and<br>the Safety<br>Officer will<br>verify that the<br>batteries are<br>new and<br>undamaged<br>before allowing<br>them into the<br>rocket. |

# **4.9 Failure Modes and Analysis (FMEA)** Table 22. Structures Risk Assessment

| Structures Risk Assessment                  |  |   |                                  |                                |                            |  |                                   |                                 |                             |   |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Hazard                                      | Cause  | Effect  | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation   | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification  |
| A premature<br>ejection of the<br>nosecone. | Early ejection of<br>the nose cone<br>deployment<br>charge due to<br>faulty wiring or<br>shear pin<br>failure. | The nose cone<br>goes into free<br>fall, possibly<br>causing damage<br>to anything in<br>the surrounding<br>area. | 2                                | 2                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | Test for continuity<br>and wiring for<br>charges before<br>launch.<br>Optimize shear pin<br>locations and use<br>stress analysis to<br>ensure the nose cone<br>will eject only when<br>needed. | 3                                 | 3                               | 6-Low                       | The nose cone<br>was<br>successfully<br>retained<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight. Stress<br>analysis also<br>shows that the<br>design<br>currently<br>ensures that<br>the shear pins<br>will keep the<br>nose cone<br>attached until<br>the charge. |
| There is a failure in the                   | If the motor<br>force is stronger  | The flight vehicle would  | 4                                | 1                              | 5-<br>Moderate             | Use load testing on the motor block and  | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | The max<br>thrust from  |

| motor retention<br>system.                              | than expected,<br>the motor could<br>break free of the<br>motor retention<br>system.        | become<br>unstable and be<br>hazardous to<br>anything in the<br>immediate area.                                 |   |   |                | centering rings to<br>ensure that the forces<br>from the motor do not<br>exceed the failure<br>limit of the motor<br>retention system. |   |   |       | the motor<br>(892 N) does<br>not exceed the<br>failure limits<br>of the motor<br>retention<br>system. This<br>was verified<br>through<br>successful<br>motor<br>retention<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight. |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| The airframe or<br>coupler<br>experiences<br>zippering. | Zippering<br>occurs due to<br>the force of the<br>shock cord on<br>parachute<br>deployment. | The<br>airframe/coupler<br>becomes<br>unusable for<br>future launch,<br>and may cause<br>pieces to<br>freefall. | 2 | 3 | 5-<br>Moderate | Use shock-absorbers<br>on the shock cord<br>where it contacts the<br>coupler.  | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | The use of<br>shock-<br>absorbers<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight<br>mitigated and<br>stopped any<br>possible<br>zippering to<br>the coupler.  |
| The airframe<br>experiences<br>buckling.                | Intense G-forces<br>cause the<br>airframe to<br>buckle.                                     | This weakens<br>the structural<br>integrity of the<br>airframe, and<br>makes it unable                          | 4 | 2 | 6-Low          | Load test the<br>materials used for the<br>airframe and couplers<br>to ensure the airframe<br>is strong enough to                      | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | After a<br>thorough<br>analysis of the<br>airframe after<br>fullscale test   |

|  |  | to safely launch<br>in the future.   |   |   |       | resist buckling.  |   |   |       | flight, it was<br>determined<br>that the<br>airframe<br>experienced<br>no buckling<br>during any<br>phase of the<br>launch.   |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The airframe<br>separates<br>prematurely.    | Airframe could<br>separate<br>prematurely due<br>to stronger than<br>expected Drag<br>or internal<br>pressure. | Premature<br>separation<br>would cause<br>Parachutes<br>deploy early and<br>lead to a failure<br>to reach<br>altitude. | 2 | 4 | 6-Low | Use analysis models<br>such as OpenRocket<br>and formulaic<br>analysis to ensure<br>drag and internal<br>pressure will not<br>cause separation. The<br>team will purchase<br>couplers with a length<br>that is at least 1.5<br>times the diameter as<br>stated in team<br>requirements to<br>ensure proper<br>connection between<br>sections. | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | Fullscale test<br>flight<br>displayed that<br>the drag and<br>internal<br>pressure will<br>not exceed the<br>maximum<br>amounts and<br>the airframe<br>will remain<br>together<br>throughout the<br>flight.<br>Couplers were<br>constructed to<br>be a length<br>that is twice<br>the diameter. |
| The shock cord<br>attachment<br>points fail. | Higher than<br>expected forces<br>on the shock   | Attachment<br>point failure<br>would result in   | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | Load test shock cords<br>and the epoxy<br>bulkhead connections  | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The shock<br>cord used<br>during  |

|  | cord during<br>parachute<br>deployment<br>could cause the<br>shock cord to<br>rip or the<br>bulkhead<br>attachments to<br>be ripped out of<br>the body tube.                        | either a shock<br>cord<br>replacement or a<br>bulkhead<br>replacement. In<br>either case, the<br>launch vehicle<br>would not be<br>able to launch in<br>the foreseeable<br>future. Failure<br>would also<br>result in free<br>falling debris. |   |   |       | to ensure that the<br>failure limit of both<br>are far greater than<br>the forces expected<br>during parachute<br>deployment.                 |   |   |       | fullscale test<br>flight will be<br>used for<br>launch day as<br>well. The<br>shock cord did<br>not fail during<br>test flight, nor<br>did the<br>bulkheads. |
|--|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| The fin bracket<br>fails on launch.      | There is a<br>possibility for<br>the fin brackets<br>to fracture on<br>launch if the<br>forces on it<br>exceed the<br>expected forces<br>at takeoff and<br>parachute<br>deployment. | Fin bracket<br>failure would<br>possibly cause<br>free-falling<br>debris during<br>flight and would<br>make the<br>fractured fin<br>bracket<br>unusable for<br>future flights.  | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | Use load testing on a<br>fin bracket to ensure<br>the failure limit is<br>much greater than<br>expected forces<br>throughout the flight.      | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The forces<br>during<br>fullscale<br>launch did not<br>break any fin<br>brackets.  |
| The body tube<br>fractures on<br>launch. | There is a<br>possibility for<br>the body tube to<br>fracture on<br>launch if the<br>forces on it   | The fractured<br>body tube<br>would be<br>unstable during<br>flight and would<br>be unable to be  | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | Use load testing on a<br>test piece of body<br>tube to ensure that the<br>failure limit of the<br>body tube is much<br>greater than any force | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | The forces on<br>the body tube<br>during launch<br>and parachute<br>deployment<br>do not exceed  |

|   | exceed the<br>expected forces<br>at takeoff and<br>parachute<br>deployment.   | used for future<br>flights.  |   |   |       | expected throughout the launch.  |   |   |       | the failure<br>limits of the<br>body tube as<br>fullscale<br>launch<br>displayed.  |
|---|---|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| The body tube<br>fractures on<br>landing. | If a body tube<br>part lands too<br>hard or lands<br>awkwardly<br>there is a<br>possibility for<br>the body tube to<br>fracture.                                  | The fractured<br>body tube part<br>would be unable<br>to use for a<br>future flight. | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Use load testing on a<br>test piece of body<br>tube to ensure that the<br>failure limit of the<br>body tube is much<br>greater than the force<br>of landing.                         | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | The body tube<br>withstood<br>landing during<br>fullscale test<br>flight<br>displaying that<br>the body tube<br>will not<br>fracture upon<br>landing in a<br>nominal<br>flight.                |
| The fin<br>separates from<br>the bracket. | The fins<br>separate from<br>the fin brackets<br>during flight<br>due to<br>vibrations<br>loosening of<br>bolts due to<br>vibrations<br>throughout the<br>flight. | Separation<br>would cause<br>potential free-<br>falling debris<br>during flight.     | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Use vibration<br>simulations to ensure<br>that bolts will not<br>loosen enough to<br>allow for separation.<br>Also, inspect bolts<br>before flight to ensure<br>that they are tight. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | As stated in<br>step 8 of<br>Booster<br>Section in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures,<br>all screws will<br>be ensured to<br>be tightly<br>fitted so that<br>fins do not<br>separate from<br>the fin |

|                                 |  |   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | brackets<br>during flight.<br>Fullscale<br>flight<br>demonstrated<br>that the fins<br>will not<br>separate if<br>properly<br>secured.   |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| The camera fails.               | The camera on<br>the flight<br>vehicle fails to<br>record the<br>flight.                           | If the camera<br>does not work<br>correctly the<br>flight recording<br>will be lost.  | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | Check the camera<br>before flight.   | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | As stated in<br>step 20 of<br>Setup on the<br>Pad in Launch<br>Day<br>Procedures,<br>the camera<br>will be<br>ensured to be<br>working<br>properly and<br>turned on<br>before launch. |
| The fins fail<br>during flight. | Failure is<br>caused by fin<br>flutter due to<br>stronger than<br>expected forces<br>on the wings. | The fins may<br>break off of the<br>vehicle and go<br>into freefall and<br>would cause the<br>flight to become<br>unstable. | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Use analysis models<br>such as OpenRocket<br>and AeroFinSim to<br>ensure that fin flutter<br>will not cause failure. | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | Analytic<br>models were<br>verified by<br>fins<br>undergoing no<br>fin flutter on<br>fullscale test<br>flight as  |

|                                       |  |   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | shown by the<br>downbody<br>camera.  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| Fin bracket<br>fracture on<br>landing | If the flight<br>vehicle lands<br>directly on a fin<br>bracket or fin,<br>there is a<br>possibility for<br>the fin bracket<br>to fracture. | The fin bracket<br>would be unable<br>to be used for<br>future flights.                     | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Use load testing on a<br>fin bracket to ensure<br>that the fin bracket<br>failure limit is much<br>greater than the forces<br>of impact on landing.<br>Also, make fin<br>brackets easily<br>replaceable. | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | The fin<br>brackets<br>slightly<br>cracked on<br>fullscale<br>launch and a<br>new 3D<br>printed<br>material is no<br>being used.<br>Additionally,<br>fin brackets<br>are easily<br>replaceable so<br>that, if<br>needed, the<br>launch vehicle<br>can relaunch<br>within 10<br>minutes as the<br>team brings<br>extra fin<br>brackets. |
| The camera<br>cover fails.            | The camera<br>cover has the<br>possibility to<br>detach from the<br>flight vehicle if  | If the camera<br>cover detaches,<br>the camera will<br>not be protected<br>and could result | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Use load testing on<br>the camera cover to<br>ensure its failure limit<br>is much greater than<br>any forces expected  | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | The camera<br>cover<br>remained on<br>the rocket<br>throughout the   |

| fo<br>e:<br>e:<br>la<br>p<br>d | orces on it<br>exceed what is<br>expected,<br>especially at<br>aunch and at<br>parachute<br>leployment. | in a loss of<br>footage. The<br>cover would<br>also be free-<br>falling debris. |  |  |  | throughout the flight. |  |  |  | entire fullscale<br>launch,<br>ensuring it<br>will remain<br>during flight<br>unless<br>extreme<br>circumstances<br>occur.<br>Additionally,<br>the camera<br>cover will be<br>properly<br>fastened and<br>checked<br>before launch<br>as stated in<br>step 4 of<br>Booster<br>Section in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures. |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---|

| Table 23 | . Propu | lsion | Risk | Assessment |
|----------|---------|-------|------|------------|
|          |         |       |      |            |

|                     | Propulsion Risk Assessment           |   |                                  |                                |                            |  |                                   |                                 |                             |   |  |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Hazard              | Cause                                | Effect  | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation   | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification  |  |
| The Motor<br>CATOs. | The motor<br>components<br>fracture. | There is<br>destructive damage<br>to rocket that<br>results in a critical<br>failure. | 5                                | 1                              | 6-Low                      | Inspect motor grains and<br>components prior to<br>installation. Assemble<br>the motor according to<br>the assembly<br>instructions with another<br>observing. Check for<br>fracture on any motor<br>components after the<br>launch. Research<br>selected motor for any<br>known problems or<br>defects. | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | Use<br>motorcato.org and<br>any information<br>from the<br>appropriate<br>manufacturer to<br>verify no<br>problems with the<br>type of motor the<br>team is using<br>before purchasing<br>the motor and<br>before launch.<br>Additionally,<br>follow steps 1-4<br>of Case Assembly<br>in Launch Day<br>Procedures to<br>ensure that the<br>motor has no<br>visible issues<br>before launch. |  |

| The motor<br>does not<br>stay<br>retained. | The motor<br>thrust pushes<br>the motor<br>through the<br>motor block. | There is<br>destructive damage<br>to rocket.                             | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Verify that the motor<br>retention system can<br>handle the motor<br>impulse by doing either<br>static motor testing, load<br>tests on the motor tube,<br>or flight tests            | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The max thrust<br>from the motor<br>(892 N) does not<br>exceed the failure<br>limits of the<br>motor retention<br>system. This was<br>verified through<br>successful motor<br>retention during<br>vehicle<br>demonstration<br>flight, |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| The motor<br>does not<br>stay<br>retained. | The ejection<br>charges push<br>motor out of<br>the rocket.            | The motor does<br>not retain in<br>rocket, causing a<br>ballistic motor. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Explicitly follow launch<br>day procedures to ensure<br>that the motor is<br>correctly assembled.  | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Explicitly follow<br>steps 1-7 of Case<br>Assembly in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures to<br>ensure that the<br>motor has no<br>visible issues<br>before launch.   |
| The motor<br>does not<br>ignite.           | The initiators<br>fail to<br>properly<br>ignite the<br>motor.          | The rocket remains static.   | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | Use recommended<br>igniters. Properly store<br>the motors to prevent<br>oxidation. Verify the<br>initiator is inserted fully<br>to the top of the motor<br>grains on the launch pad. | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Follow steps 5-7<br>on Initiator<br>Installation in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures to<br>ensure the<br>initiator is in<br>contact with the<br>propellant.  |

| Table 24. Av | ionics and | Recovery |
|--------------|------------|----------|
|--------------|------------|----------|

|   | Avionics and Recovery Risk Assessment                                       |   |                                  |                                |                            |   |                                   |                                 |                             |   |  |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Hazard  | Cause   | Effect  | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation  | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification  |  |
| The drogue<br>section shear<br>pins do not<br>break during<br>separation. | The separation<br>charge was<br>insufficient to<br>break the shear<br>pins. | The drogue<br>parachute does<br>not deploy and<br>the rocket<br>continues on<br>ballistic a<br>trajectory. This<br>will result in<br>structural failure<br>in the launch<br>vehicle upon<br>main deployment<br>and will be a<br>critical safety<br>concern. | 3                                | 1                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | The team will use a<br>factor of safety to<br>ensure that the<br>charge required to<br>break the shear pins<br>is greater than<br>calculated. The<br>redundant system<br>will have 50% more<br>than the primary<br>separation charge. | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | Successful<br>ground tests<br>of the main<br>separation<br>event were<br>performed to<br>ensure proper<br>deployment<br>prior to<br>fullscale test<br>launch.<br>Separation<br>charge<br>calculations<br>were verified<br>through<br>successful<br>separation<br>during full<br>scale flight. |  |
| The<br>mechanical<br>switch   | The switch is<br>mechanically<br>agitated to the                            | The altimeter<br>circuit is closed<br>and turns the   | 4                                | 1                              | 5-<br>Moderate             | The team will use a sturdy switch and properly fasten it in   | 5                                 | 2                               | 7-Low                       | Ensure the<br>switch is<br>properly and   |  |

| disconnects<br>during flight.   | off position<br>during flight.   | altimeter off. The<br>altimeter is not<br>able to record<br>altitude or deploy<br>the parachutes.   |   |   |                | place.   |   |   |       | securely set<br>into the on<br>position and<br>will not<br>disconnect<br>during flight<br>as according<br>to step 7 of<br>Avionics<br>Board<br>Assembly in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures.        |
|---|--|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|---|-------|--|
| An<br>electromagneti<br>c interference<br>triggers an<br>altimeter at an<br>undesired time. | Altimeter is<br>exposed to<br>sufficient<br>amounts of<br>electromagnetic<br>radiation to<br>complete the<br>altimeter's<br>circuit. A<br>potential failure<br>of the Faraday<br>cage will<br>increase the<br>likelihood of<br>this occurring. | The altimeter<br>prematurely<br>activates an<br>initiator. As a<br>result, the rocket<br>will separate and<br>deploy one of its<br>parachutes during<br>ascent, or descent<br>at incorrect<br>altitudes.<br>Premature<br>deployment<br>during ascent<br>would cause<br>instability and<br>structural damage<br>from shock cord<br>zippering.<br>Premature | 3 | 2 | 5-<br>Moderate | The avionics bay<br>will be fully<br>enclosed in a tin foil<br>Faraday cage. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | The Faraday<br>cage has been<br>tested in the<br>lab to ensure<br>complete<br>signal<br>blackout<br>inside. All<br>altimeters<br>performed<br>nominally<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight. |

|   |  | deployment<br>during descent<br>will result in drift<br>distances over<br>2500 ft. and<br>disqualification.   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The altimeter is<br>damaged<br>during launch. | The flight<br>forces cause the<br>altimeter to<br>become<br>unsecured and<br>damaged from<br>ricochet. | If damage is<br>critical, the<br>altimeter will not<br>be able to ignite<br>its initiators at the<br>correct altitudes.<br>This will result in<br>ejection charges<br>not igniting and<br>failure of<br>separation and<br>parachute<br>deployment as a<br>result. The rocket<br>will fall<br>ballistically as a<br>result. This will<br>result in structural<br>failure in the<br>launch vehicle<br>upon impact and<br>will be a critical<br>safety concern. If<br>damage is non-<br>critical, the<br>altimeter will not<br>be able to retrieve | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | The altimeter will be<br>screwed to the<br>avionics board,<br>which will be<br>friction fit on rails to<br>the avionics bay.<br>The team will use a<br>fully redundant<br>system. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Ensure the<br>altimeters are<br>properly<br>screwed into<br>the avionics<br>board<br>following step<br>4 of Avionics<br>Board<br>Assembly in<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures. |

|   |   | mission critical<br>flight data   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The drogue<br>parachute<br>remains inside<br>the body tube<br>during<br>separation. | Screws<br>connecting<br>couplers to<br>body tubes may<br>catch the<br>drogue<br>parachute<br>during<br>deployment and<br>prevent its<br>ejection from<br>the body tube.<br>The drogue<br>parachute may<br>also become<br>stuck due to the<br>friction between<br>the parachute<br>and the inside<br>of the body<br>which would<br>prevent full<br>ejection. | The rocket will<br>fall ballistically<br>until main<br>parachute<br>deployment. If<br>the rocket is<br>falling at ballistic<br>speeds at main<br>deployment, then<br>the main<br>parachute may<br>not fully deploy<br>before landing<br>and will cause<br>significant<br>airframe<br>zippering. | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | Screws inside of the<br>body tubes will be<br>filed down. Baby<br>powder will be<br>spread lightly over<br>the parachute fire<br>blanket to decrease<br>its coefficient of<br>friction. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | According to<br>A&R Launch<br>Day<br>Procedures,<br>Main Section,<br>step 9, talcum<br>powder will<br>be added to<br>the outside of<br>the parachute<br>to ensure it<br>does not stick<br>during<br>deployment.<br>Successful<br>drogue<br>parachute<br>deployment<br>was verified<br>through<br>nominal<br>deployment in<br>during full<br>scale flight. |
| The recovery<br>harness breaks<br>during<br>separation or<br>descent.               | Flight forces<br>exceed the<br>maximum load<br>that either the<br>shock cord,<br>quick link, or   | Individual<br>sections of the<br>rocket will impact<br>the ground at<br>terminal velocity   | 4 | 2 | 6-Low | The recovery<br>harnesses being used<br>will be rated to<br>withstand forces and<br>order of magnitude<br>greater than  | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Following<br>launch day<br>procedures for<br>drogue<br>section, points<br>1-7 specify<br>how drogue   |

| U-bolt ca | in |  | expected in flight |  | parachute will  |
|-----------|----|--|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| handle.   |    |  | forces.            |  | be inserted     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | and kept in     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | the rocket      |
|           |    |  |                    |  | using the       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | proper shock    |
|           |    |  |                    |  | cord and U-     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | bolts tested to |
|           |    |  |                    |  | properly        |
|           |    |  |                    |  | handle the      |
|           |    |  |                    |  | load. Points 1- |
|           |    |  |                    |  | 8 in main       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | section         |
|           |    |  |                    |  | procedures      |
|           |    |  |                    |  | specify how     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | main            |
|           |    |  |                    |  | parachute will  |
|           |    |  |                    |  | be inserted     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | into the rocket |
|           |    |  |                    |  | using the       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | proper shock    |
|           |    |  |                    |  | cord and U-     |
|           |    |  |                    |  | bolts tested to |
|           |    |  |                    |  | properly        |
|           |    |  |                    |  | handle the      |
|           |    |  |                    |  | load. The       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | recovery        |
|           |    |  |                    |  | harness         |
|           |    |  |                    |  | performed       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | nominally       |
|           |    |  |                    |  | during          |
|           |    |  |                    |  | fullscale test  |
|           |    |  |                    |  | flight.         |

| The flight<br>vehicle lands<br>outside of<br>maximum drift<br>distance. | Simulations<br>inaccurately<br>predicted<br>launch day<br>conditions and<br>the rocket drifts<br>further than the<br>allowable safe<br>distance during<br>descent.                     | The rocket could<br>land outside of<br>the property<br>designated for<br>launches and<br>other rocket<br>activity. This<br>could lead to loss<br>of the rocket,<br>damage or<br>destruction of<br>property, or even<br>injury to<br>bystanders.  | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Simulations will be<br>conducted to verify<br>that the design meets<br>the requirements.<br>The simulations will<br>be improved by<br>comparing previous<br>flight data to<br>previous<br>simulations. | 5 | 2 | 7-Low | Simulations<br>and the team's<br>MATLAB<br>model was<br>verified<br>through<br>fullscale test<br>flight drift<br>results.   |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| The altimeter<br>loses<br>connection<br>with initiators.                | The initiator's<br>wire connection<br>with altimeter is<br>not adequately<br>secured, and<br>this connection<br>becomes<br>separated<br>during flight<br>due to forces<br>experienced. | The initiators will<br>not receive the<br>required voltage<br>from the altimeter<br>and will not ignite<br>at the correct<br>altitudes. This<br>will result in<br>ejection charges<br>not igniting and<br>failure of<br>separation and<br>parachute<br>deployment as a<br>result. The rocket<br>will fall<br>ballistically as a<br>result. This will<br>result in structural | 3 | 3 | 6-Low | All connections will<br>be securely fastened<br>with screws on both<br>ends. The team will<br>have a fully<br>redundant<br>deployment system.  | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | All<br>connections<br>will be tug<br>tested<br>according to<br>A&R Launch<br>Procedures,<br>Avionics<br>Board<br>Assembly<br>Step 5 to<br>ensure they<br>are secured. |

|  |  | failure in the<br>launch vehicle<br>upon impact and<br>will be a critical<br>safety concern.   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| The altimeter<br>does not<br>properly<br>register<br>altitude. | The pressure<br>port required<br>for the altimeter<br>to register<br>altitude is not<br>large enough<br>for the altimeter<br>to accurately<br>read the<br>atmospheric<br>pressure. | An accurate<br>altitude is not<br>properly read, and<br>the team is<br>disqualified from<br>the altitude<br>competition. If<br>the registered<br>altitude is greatly<br>inaccurate, then<br>the drogue<br>parachute may<br>not occur at exact<br>apogee and cause<br>zippering of the<br>airframe as a<br>result.<br>Additionally, the<br>main parachute<br>deployment will<br>not occur at the<br>designated<br>altitude which<br>may result in drift<br>distances over<br>2500 ft., or<br>incomplete full<br>deployment of | 3 | 3 | 6-Low | The team will ensure<br>the port hole for<br>pressure equalization<br>is an adequate size<br>to maintain<br>atmospheric pressure<br>inside the avionics<br>chamber. The<br>altimeters will be<br>tested on multiple<br>prior launches to<br>confirm their<br>reliability. There<br>will be a fully<br>redundant altimeter<br>onboard the flight<br>vehicle. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Both<br>altimeters will<br>be properly<br>setup and<br>ready to<br>launch<br>according to<br>Launch Day<br>Procedures,<br>Setup on Pad,<br>steps 9-14. |
|   |  | main parachute<br>which would<br>result in structural<br>damage of the<br>launch vehicle.   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The main<br>section shear<br>pins do not<br>break during<br>separation.           | The separation<br>charge was<br>insufficient to<br>break the shear<br>pins.                                      | Main parachute<br>does not deploy<br>and the rocket<br>impact the ground<br>with an unsafe<br>velocity. This will<br>result in structural<br>failure in the<br>launch vehicle<br>upon impact and<br>will be a critical<br>safety concern. | 3 | 3 | 6-Low | The team will use a<br>factor of safety to<br>ensure that the<br>charge required to<br>break the shear pins<br>is greater than<br>calculated. The<br>redundant system<br>will have 50% more<br>than the primary<br>separation charge. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Successful<br>ground tests<br>of the drogue<br>separation<br>event were<br>performed to<br>ensure proper<br>deployment<br>prior to<br>fullscale test<br>launch.<br>Separation<br>charge<br>calculations<br>were verified<br>through<br>successful<br>separation<br>during full<br>scale flight. |
| The main<br>parachute<br>remains inside<br>the body tube<br>during<br>separation. | Screws<br>connecting<br>couplers to<br>body tubes may<br>catch the main<br>parachute<br>during<br>deployment and | The rocket will<br>fall at a high<br>descent rate until<br>impact. This<br>would result in<br>body tube<br>sections not<br>meeting the 75 ft-   | 4 | 3 | 6-Low | Screws inside of the<br>body tubes will be<br>filled down. Baby<br>powder will be<br>spread lightly over<br>the parachute fire<br>blanket to decrease   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | According to<br>A&R Launch<br>Day<br>Procedures,<br>Main Section,<br>step 9, talcum<br>powder will<br>be added to   |

|  | prevent its<br>ejection from<br>the body tube.<br>The main<br>parachute may<br>also become<br>stuck due to the<br>friction between<br>the parachute<br>and the inside<br>of the body<br>which would<br>prevent full<br>ejection.              | lbs. kinetic<br>energy<br>requirement and<br>the team will be<br>disqualified.<br>Additionally, the<br>rocket will<br>experience non-<br>nominal impact<br>forces and will<br>have structural<br>damage.   |   |   |       | its coefficient of friction.  |   |   |       | the outside of<br>the parachute<br>to ensure it<br>does not stick<br>during<br>deployment.<br>Successful<br>main<br>parachute<br>deployment<br>was verified<br>through<br>nominal<br>deployment in<br>during full<br>scale flight.        |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The drogue<br>parachute is<br>damaged<br>during<br>separation. | Screws<br>connecting<br>couplers to<br>body tubes may<br>catch the<br>drogue<br>parachute<br>during<br>deployment and<br>cause partial<br>tearing.<br>Explosive<br>forces and<br>potential fire<br>from ejection<br>charges may<br>also cause | The rocket will<br>fall at a descent<br>velocity faster<br>than expected. If<br>the rocket is<br>falling too fast<br>during main<br>deployment, then<br>the main<br>parachute may<br>not fully deploy<br>before landing<br>and will cause<br>significant<br>airframe<br>zippering. | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Proper packing<br>methods of the<br>parachute will be<br>followed.<br>Protrusions inside<br>the drogue parachute<br>chamber and around<br>the exit will be<br>smoothed down. A<br>Nomex blanket will<br>completely cover the<br>parachute preventing<br>potential ignition of<br>the parachute from<br>ejection charge. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | According to<br>A&R Launch<br>Day<br>Procedures,<br>Drogue<br>Section, step<br>6, A Nomex<br>blanket will<br>cover the<br>parachute.<br>Screws in the<br>drogue<br>section were<br>shaved down.<br>Drogue<br>parachute was<br>not damaged |

|  | damage to the drogue   |   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | during<br>fullscale test  |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
|  | parachute.   |   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | flight.   |
| The main<br>parachute is<br>damaged<br>during<br>separation.           | Screws<br>connecting<br>couplers to<br>body tubes may<br>catch the main<br>parachute<br>during<br>deployment and<br>cause partial<br>tearing.<br>Explosive<br>forces and<br>potential fire<br>from ejection<br>charges may<br>also cause<br>damage to the<br>main parachute. | The rocket will<br>fall at a higher<br>descent rate until<br>impact. This<br>would result in<br>body tube<br>sections not<br>meeting the 75 ft-<br>lbs. kinetic<br>energy<br>requirement and<br>the team will be<br>disqualified.<br>Additionally, the<br>rocket will<br>experience non-<br>nominal impact<br>forces and will<br>have structural<br>damage. | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Proper packing<br>methods of the<br>parachute will be<br>followed.<br>Protrusions inside<br>the main parachute<br>chamber and around<br>the exit will be<br>smoothed down. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | According to<br>A&R Launch<br>Day<br>Procedures,<br>Main Section,<br>step 6, A<br>Nomex<br>blanket will<br>cover the<br>parachute.<br>Screws in the<br>main section<br>were shaved<br>down. Main<br>parachute was<br>not damaged<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight. |
| The main<br>parachute does<br>not unfold after<br>exiting the<br>body. | Tangling of the<br>main parachute<br>strings due to<br>improper<br>packing cause<br>the parachute to<br>not full deploy<br>after ejection.   | The rocket will<br>fall at a higher<br>descent rate until<br>impact. This<br>would result in<br>body tube<br>sections not<br>meeting the 75 ft-<br>lbs kinetic energy<br>requirement and  | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Proper packing<br>methods of the<br>parachute will be<br>followed.   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Parachute<br>packing<br>methods<br>detailed in<br>A&R Launch<br>Procedures<br>Main Section,<br>steps 1-6 will<br>be followed<br>and used to   |

|  |  | the team will be<br>disqualified.<br>Additionally, the<br>rocket will<br>experience non-<br>nominal impact<br>forces and will<br>have structural<br>damage.   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       | ensure proper<br>deployment.  |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| The kinetic<br>energy is over<br>maximum<br>landing<br>threshold.  | Simulations<br>inaccurately<br>predicted the<br>descent rate of<br>the rocket<br>leading to a<br>higher kinetic<br>energy upon<br>landing than the<br>allowable<br>limits.         | If sections of the<br>rocket land with<br>kinetic energy<br>greater than the<br>maximum<br>allowable,<br>excessive harm<br>could come to<br>property and<br>people within the<br>designated<br>landing areas. | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Simulations will be<br>conducted to verify<br>that the design meets<br>the requirements.<br>The simulations will<br>be improved by<br>comparing previous<br>flight data to<br>previous<br>simulations. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | The team's<br>kinetic energy<br>calculations<br>were verified<br>through<br>fullscale flight<br>which did not<br>have sections<br>of the rocket<br>exceed the<br>allotted<br>kinetic energy<br>threshold. |
| The onboard<br>9V battery<br>supplying<br>voltage for the<br>altimeter does<br>not have the<br>required<br>voltage to fully<br>power the<br>altimeter. | This hazard<br>would only<br>potentially<br>occur if the<br>launch vehicle<br>needs to be left<br>on the launch<br>pad while the<br>team is waiting<br>for their launch<br>volley. | The altimeter is<br>not powered and<br>is not able to<br>ignite its initiators<br>at the correct<br>altitudes. This<br>will result in<br>ejection charges<br>not igniting and<br>failure of<br>separation and | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | The team will use<br>only newly<br>purchased standard<br>commercially issued<br>9V batteries. The<br>team will have a<br>fully redundant<br>deployment system.   | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | Per A&R<br>Launch<br>Procedures,<br>Avionics<br>Board<br>Assembly<br>Step 1,<br>the new<br>batteries will<br>be checked<br>right before   |

|  |  | parachute<br>deployment as a<br>result. The rocket<br>will fall<br>ballistically as a<br>result. This will<br>result in structural<br>failure in the<br>launch vehicle<br>upon impact and<br>will be a critical<br>safety concern.   |   |   |       |   |   |   |       | launch to<br>ensure they<br>are fully<br>charged using<br>a Voltmeter.  |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| The 9V battery<br>clip connecting<br>the altimeter to<br>the battery<br>disconnects<br>during the<br>flight. | The flight<br>forces cause the<br>battery clip to<br>disconnect from<br>the battery. | The altimeter is<br>no longer<br>powered and is<br>not able to ignite<br>its initiators at the<br>correct altitudes.<br>This will result in<br>ejection charges<br>not igniting and<br>failure of<br>separation and<br>parachute<br>deployment as a<br>result. The rocket<br>will fall<br>ballistically as a<br>result. This will<br>result in structural<br>failure in the<br>launch vehicle<br>upon impact and | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | All connections will<br>be securely fastened<br>with screws on both<br>ends. The team will<br>have a fully<br>redundant<br>deployment system. | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | All<br>connections<br>will be tug<br>tested<br>according to<br>A&R Launch<br>Procedures,<br>Avionics<br>Board<br>Assembly<br>Step 5 to<br>ensure they<br>are secured. |

|  |   | will be a critical safety concern.   |   |   |       |  |   |   |       |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| The body<br>sections collide<br>during descent<br>under<br>parachute.    | Body sections<br>descend at a<br>similar altitude<br>to each other.   | Collisions<br>between sections<br>damages them<br>and internal<br>components.  | 3 | 4 | 7-Low | Shock cord lengths<br>will be different<br>between main and<br>drogue. The<br>parachutes will be<br>attached at the 1/3rd<br>point so that<br>attached sections are<br>different distances to<br>prevent collisions. | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | Downbody<br>camera<br>footage and<br>camera<br>footage from<br>team<br>members on<br>the ground<br>verify that the<br>body sections<br>did not collide<br>during<br>parachute<br>descent<br>during<br>fullscale test<br>flight. |
| The drogue<br>parachute does<br>not unfold after<br>exiting the<br>body. | Tangling of the<br>drogue<br>parachute<br>strings due to<br>improper<br>packing cause<br>the parachute to<br>not full deploy<br>after ejection. | The rocket will<br>fall at a descent<br>velocity faster<br>than expected. If<br>the rocket is<br>falling too fast<br>during main<br>deployment, then<br>the main<br>parachute may<br>not fully deploy<br>before landing<br>and will cause<br>significant | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Proper packing<br>methods of the<br>parachute will be<br>followed.   | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | Parachute<br>packing<br>methods<br>detailed in<br>A&R Launch<br>Procedures<br>Drogue<br>Section, steps<br>1-6 will be<br>followed and<br>used to ensure<br>proper<br>deployment.  |

|            |                   | airframe           |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       |                 |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|-------|----------------------|---|---|-------|-----------------|
|            |                   | zippering.         |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       |                 |
| The main   | Incorrect         | Main parachute     | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | Wires will be        | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | According to    |
| parachute  | packing of        | deployment at      |   |   |       | colored according to |   |   |       | Launch Day      |
| deploys at | parachutes        | apogee would       |   |   |       | a schema and         |   |   |       | Procedures,     |
| apogee.    | during launch     | result in extreme  |   |   |       | labeled. Connected   |   |   |       | Main Section,   |
| 1 0        | preparation       | drift distances    |   |   |       | wires will be double |   |   |       | step 9          |
|            | causes the main   | that would         |   |   |       | checked before       |   |   |       | specifically    |
|            | deployment to     | disqualify the     |   |   |       | launch. Parachutes   |   |   |       | states where    |
|            | be packed         | team and increase  |   |   |       | will clearly be      |   |   |       | the parachute   |
|            | where the         | the difficulty of  |   |   |       | marked down on       |   |   |       | is to be        |
|            | drogue            | the recovery of    |   |   |       | which section they   |   |   |       | packed and      |
|            | parachute is      | the launch         |   |   |       | are packed into.     |   |   |       | needs to be     |
|            | supposed to be    | vehicle.           |   |   |       | 1                    |   |   |       | signed off on.  |
|            | packed.           |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | Additionally,   |
|            | Another           |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | according to    |
|            | potential cause   |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | Launch Day      |
|            | of this hazard is |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | Procedures,     |
|            | the incorrect     |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | Initiator       |
|            | wiring of the     |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | Installation,   |
|            | altimeter so that |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | the correct     |
|            | the main          |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | initiator will  |
|            | parachute         |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | be hooked up    |
|            | initiators are    |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | the correct     |
|            | wired into the    |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | altimeter       |
|            | drogue            |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | section and     |
|            | deployment port   |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       | later verified. |
|            | of the altimeter. |                    |   |   |       |                      |   |   |       |                 |
| The main   | The rocket is     | One or both of the | 4 | 4 | 8-Low | The rocket will not  | 5 | 4 | 9-Low | Downbody        |
| parachute  | tumbling          | parachutes does    |   |   |       | be launched in high  |   |   |       | camera          |
| recovery   | violently when    | not fully open     |   |   |       | winds. The           |   |   |       | footage and     |
| harness    | main deploys      | resulting in a     |   |   |       | parachutes are       |   |   |       | camera          |
| becomes    | ejecting it into  | lower surface area |   |   |       | packed in opposite   |   |   |       | footage from    |

| tangled in | the drogue     | and the rocket    |  | ends of the avionics |  | team           |
|------------|----------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------|
| drogue     | recovery       | descending        |  | bay so they deploy   |  | members on     |
| recovery   | harness, or in | quickly. This can |  | away from each       |  | the ground     |
| harness.   | such a manner  | result in damage  |  | other.               |  | verify that    |
|            | that the       | to the rocket or  |  |                      |  | parachutes     |
|            | subsequent     | what it lands on. |  |                      |  | harnesses did  |
|            | tumbles tangle |                   |  |                      |  | not tangle     |
|            | them before    |                   |  |                      |  | during         |
|            | main can       |                   |  |                      |  | parachute      |
|            | unfold.        |                   |  |                      |  | descent        |
|            |                |                   |  |                      |  | during         |
|            |                |                   |  |                      |  | fullscale test |
|            |                |                   |  |                      |  | flight.        |

# Table 25. Payload Risk Assessment

|   | Payload Risk Assessment   |  |                                  |                                |                            |  |                                   |                                 |                             |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Hazard  | Cause   | Effect   | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Pre-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Mitigation   | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Likelihood | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Severity | Post-<br>Mitigation<br>Risk | Verification  |  |  |
| Rover<br>containment<br>fails during<br>launch. | The retainment<br>electronics fail and<br>eject the nose cone<br>prematurely. | Can cause large<br>instability<br>during launch,<br>and free-falling<br>body sections<br>pose a serious<br>danger to<br>bystanders on<br>the ground. | 3                                | 1                              | 4-<br>Moderate             | Operate the<br>communications<br>system on a 915<br>MHz frequency to<br>ensure that the<br>signal cannot<br>activate due to<br>interference. | 5                                 | 1                               | 6-Low                       | Multiple ground<br>tests and the<br>fullscale test<br>flight have<br>verified that the<br>communications<br>system will<br>operate as<br>expected.                |  |  |
| Soil sample<br>recovery<br>failure.             | Damage to<br>electronics during<br>flight.                                    | This would not<br>allow the rover<br>to complete its<br>mission.   | 3                                | 3                              | 6-Low                      | Verify strong<br>soldering<br>connections in the<br>electronics.   | 4                                 | 3                               | 7-Low                       | Perform post<br>separation<br>ground testing<br>of the soil<br>recovery. This<br>test will verify<br>that everything<br>post landing will<br>work as<br>expected. |  |  |
| Physical  | Black powder  | Electronics  | 4                                | 3                              | 7-Low                      | Align the black  | 5                                 | 2                               | 7-Low                       | Multiple ground   |  |  |

| damage to<br>rover.                              | covering the electronics.  | become not<br>operational  |   |   |                | powder charge to<br>be on top of the<br>shelf so that debris<br>does not go<br>towards the rover. |   |   |       | tests have<br>verified that the<br>rover will not be<br>damaged due to<br>pressurization or<br>black powder<br>residue.  |
|--|--|--|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| Deployment<br>mechanism<br>fails to<br>activate. | Communications<br>system cannot<br>communicate with<br>the launch vehicle. | The rover would<br>not be able to<br>exit the vehicle<br>and recover the<br>soil sample. | 2 | 3 | 5-<br>Moderate | Ensure all wiring<br>can withstand<br>flight conditions   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Multiple ground<br>tests and<br>fullscale launch<br>have confirmed<br>that the<br>communications<br>system can<br>withstand forces<br>during<br>deployment.  |
| Deployment<br>mechanism<br>fails to<br>activate  | Faulty initiator.  | The rover would<br>not be able to<br>exit the vehicle<br>and recover the<br>soil sample. | 3 | 3 | 6-Low          | Test the continuity<br>of the initiator<br>before launch.   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Multiple lab<br>experiments and<br>ground tests<br>have been<br>performed to<br>confirm the<br>behavior of<br>initiators. All<br>initiators will<br>continue to be<br>checked for<br>continuity<br>before use. |

| Rover<br>containment<br>fails during<br>launch. | Ascent and descent<br>forces experienced<br>during flight.                | The rover<br>becomes<br>unsecured<br>during flight.<br>This can cause<br>damage to the<br>rover and<br>instabilities<br>during flight. | 3 | 3 | 6-Low | Test the solenoid<br>locking mechanism<br>on the fullscale<br>launch vehicle.   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Fullscale test<br>flight verified<br>that the<br>containment<br>mechanism can<br>hold the rover in<br>place during<br>flight.                  |
|---|---|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| Physical<br>damage to<br>rover<br>electronics   | Forces experienced<br>during flight or<br>during nose cone<br>separation. | Could cause the<br>rover to not be<br>operational  | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | Trim all soldered<br>wire connections to<br>ensure that nothing<br>can short due to an<br>acceleration.<br>Verify all soldering<br>connections are<br>strong and will not<br>break. | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Fullscale test<br>flight has<br>verified that no<br>electronics in<br>the payload<br>section will be<br>damaged due to<br>flight<br>conditions |
| Structural<br>damage to<br>payload bay.         | Impact forces from<br>the rocket hitting<br>the ground during<br>landing. | The black<br>powder would<br>not be able to<br>pressurize the<br>payload bay to<br>deploy the nose<br>cone.                            | 4 | 3 | 7-Low | The payload bay<br>will be made of<br>carbon fiber which<br>will be checked for<br>voids.   | 5 | 3 | 8-Low | Fullscale launch<br>has verified that<br>deployment will<br>not cause any<br>structural<br>damage to the<br>launch vehicle<br>or payload bay.  |

## 4.10 Launch Day Procedures

### Motor Preparation

Hardware List

Quantity is one of each item unless otherwise specified ([N] Item)

- 75mm Cesaroni 4-Grain Motor Case
- 75mm Aft Closure
- 75mm Forward Seal Disk (FSD)
- 75mm Smoke Charge Enclosure
- 75mm Cesaroni Spacer Ring
- 75mm Forward Closure
- Liner
- Nozzle
- Nozzle Cap
- [3] Propellant Grains
- Smoke Charge
- [2] Forward and Aft O-rings (1/8" thick x 2 3/4" O.D.)
- FSD O-ring (3/32" thick x 2 9/16" O.D.)
- [2] Grain Spacer O-rings (1/16" thick x 2 1/2" O.D.)
- Lubricating grease and popsicle stick
- Sharp blade (exacto knife or multitool blade)

### **Pre-Assembly**

1. **Required PPE: Latex gloves.** Apply a light coat of grease to all threads and O-rings (except the grain spacer O-rings). Caution: When working with the grease, the team member applying it needs to be wearing latex gloves. The grease can be an irritant if it comes into contact with skin. This caution applies to any and all later steps that involve working with grease.

### Propulsion Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Case Assembly

- 1. Use a sharp blade to deburr the forward and aft inside edges of the liner tube to provide more friction for the fit of the nozzle and forward closure assembly. Warning! Using a sharp blade carelessly can result in serious injury. When using the blade, always concentrate completely on the task at hand.
- 2. Insert the larger diameter portion of the nozzle into the aft end of the liner and slide the nozzle all the way in to the point that the flange is in contact with the aft edge of the liner.
- 3. Ensure that all following procedures are carried out with the assembly in the horizontal position.
- 4. **Required PPE: Latex gloves.** Install the propellant grains into the liner, sliding them in from the forward end. Place a Grain Spacer O-ring between each propellant grain, and again ensure that they are not lubricated with grease. Warning! Before handling the propellant grains, the handler needs to put on well- fitting latex gloves. The propellant

grains are classified as a dangerous explosive and can result in serious harm if not handled properly.

- 5. Once the propellant grains are installed in the liner, avoid letting any personnel stand directly in line with either end of the case assembly.
- 6. Place the lubricated FSD O-ring into the groove in the FSD.
- 7. Insert the end of the disk with a smaller cross-sectional area into the forward end of the liner so that the FSD O-ring is no longer visible and the flange on the FSD is in contact with the forward edge of the liner.
- 8. Apply a light coat of lubricating grease to the outside of the liner to facilitate liner assembly removal from the case after launch.
- 9. Insert the liner assembly into the aft end of the motor case until the nozzle protrudes from the aft end of the case by 1 3/4".
- 10. Place the lubricated Forward O-ring into the groove in the Smoke Charge Enclosure.
- 11. Insert the Smoke Charge into the aft end of the Smoke Charge Enclosure.
- 12. Insert the fully assembled Smoke Charge Enclosure into the forward end of the motor case until it is firmly in contact with the forward most propellant grain.
- 13. Insert the 75mm Cesaroni Spacer Ring into the forward end of the motor casing until it touches the forward end of the Smoke Charge Enclosure.
- 14. Thread the Forward Closure into the forward end of the motor casing until it is firmly in contact with the forward end of the Spacer Ring, completing the Forward Closure Assembly.
- 15. Place the lubricated Aft O-ring into the groove on the aft end of the nozzle.
- 16. Thread the Aft Closure into the aft end of the motor case until the flange is firmly in contact with the aft face of nozzle flange.

Propulsion Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Flight Systems Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Safety Officer Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Vehicle Assembly

Hardware List Quantity is one of each item unless otherwise specified ([N] Item)

- 4:1 Ogive Fiberglass 6" Filament Wound Nose Cone with Metal Tip
- 24" Payload Carbon Fiber Body Tube
- 16" Drogue Carbon Fiber Body Tube
- 16" Main Carbon Fiber Body Tube
- 38" Booster Carbon Fiber Body Tube
- 12" Payload-Drogue Blue Tube Coupler
- 12" Avionics Bay Blue Tube Coupler
- 12" Main-Booster Blue Tube Coupler
- Avionics Bay Door

- Payload Bay Door
- Phillips Screwdriver
- [Sixteen (16)] 2-56 Shear Pins
- [Thirty-Four (34)] 1/2" #6 Screws
- GPS System
- Down Body Camera System

Warning! Safety glasses must be worn by every team member in the vicinity when a power drill is in operation.

Nose cone

- 1. Slide the GPS Case down the all-thread rod on the nose cone shoulder bulkhead.
- 2. Fasten the case to the nose cone shoulder bulkhead with a washer and a nut.
- 3. Turn the GPS on, and verify the GPS is on by checking the activity lights.
- 4. Insert the GPS into the GPS case.
- 5. Close the GPS Case, and verify the case is closed with a manual tug test.
- 6. Insert the shoulder coupler into the open end of the nose cone.
- 7. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the shoulder with the matching markings on the nose cone.
- 8. Screw in a half-inch #6 screw into each of the six (6) holes near the aft edge of the nose cone.

Structures Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Payload Section**

- 1. Once the GPS is installed in the nose cone and the payload is installed in the payload body tube, insert the payload body tube over the aft end of the nose cone shoulder so that the eight (8) shear pin hole side of the payload body tube is over the nose cone shoulder.
- 2. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the nose cone with the matching markings on the payload body tube.
- 3. Insert eight (8) 2-56 shear pins into the shear pin holes to connect the nose cone shoulder and payload separation point.
- 4. After the rover and nose cone deployment are ready for launch, insert the payload-drogue coupler into the payload section.
- 5. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the payload body tube with the matching markings on the payload-drogue coupler.
- 6. Screw in six (6) half-inch #6 screws into the six (6) holes near the forward edge of the payload-drogue coupler to attach the payload body tube and the payload-drogue coupler

Structures Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Drogue Section

This section has a 12" Fruity Chutes Classic Elliptical drogue parachute.

- 1. Connect one quicklink to each the end of the 24 ft shock cord labeled "drogue to av-bay."
- 2. Lay the folded parachute out next to the shock cord 2/3rd of the way up the shock cord from the AV Bay.
- 3. Run the parachute shroud lines under the shock cord and then loop them over the parachute.
- 4. Pull the shroud lines tight, this will tie the parachute to the shock cord.
- 5. Connect the third quicklink to the fire blanket and the other end to the knot where the parachute connects to the shock cord.
- 6. Cover the parachute and shroud lines with the fire blanket. Warning! The Nomex blanket must completely cover the side of the parachute facing the charges, or the parachute could be burned and damaged.
- 7. Making sure the shock cord runs through the drogue body tube, attach the quicklink on the end closest to the parachute the the U-bolt in the payload-drogue coupler.
- 8. Attach the quicklink on the end furthest from the parachute the the U-bolt in the AV Bay.
- 9. Insert the shock cord into the drogue body tube, followed by the drogue parachute. Note: If the parachute sticks, talcum powder will help it to slide in easily. Caution: A sticky parachute will not deploy easily and might cause a recovery failure.
- 10. Insert the aft end of the drogue body tube over the avionics bay coupler.
- 11. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the forward end of the main body tube with the matching markings on the aft end of the drogue body tube.
- 12. Screw in six (6) half inch #6 screws into the six (6) screw holes to attach the avionics bay to the drogue body tube.
- 13. Insert the drogue-booster coupler into the forward end of the drogue body tube. Caution: Verify that the shock cord is entirely enclosed within the airframe, if the shock cord catches or snags on any hardware, it could result in a recovery failure.
- 14. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the drogue body tube with the matching markings on the payload section.
- 15. Insert three (3) 2-56 shear pins into the shear pin holes to connect the payload-drogue coupler and the drogue body tube attachment point.

Structures Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Avionics Bay

- 1. Place one bulkhead against the avionics bay inner coupler so that the holes for the all-thread rods are on either side of where the avionics board resides.
- 2. Insert the insulated all-thread rods through the all-thread rods hole in the bulkhead.
- 3. Place the second bulkhead on the opposite side of the avionics bay such that the allthread rod going through the orange hole in the first bulkhead, goes through the orange hole in the second bulkhead. Make sure the blue hole all-thread rod in the first bulkhead goes through the blue hole in the second bulkhead.
- 4. Screw in the all-threads and the nuts on either end and ensure that they are secure.

5. Insert the avionics board along the avionics board runners in the avionics bay and slide it back in until it contacts the back of the avionics board runners.

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Payload Assembly

Warning! Only leads should handle the black powder and the initiators.

- 1. Ensure that the correct code is loaded onto the communications system.
- 2. Mount communications system on removable shelf one.
- 3. Secure shelf one to the containment mechanism.
- 4. Secure counterweight to removable shelf two.
- 5. Secure shelf two to the containment mechanism.
- 6. Mount solenoid on the inside of the containment mechanism.
- 7. Secure solenoid wires to the containment mechanism with electrical tape.
- 8. Verify that the mechanical switch is in the off position.
- 9. Required PPE: Latex gloves and safety glasses. Have a lead put on gloves and safety goggles. Caution: Handle black powder with caution. Black powder is explosive and harmful if ingested.
- 10. The team member with the appropriate PPE will measure 2 grams of black powder into a plastic vial.
- 11. The same team member will pour the black powder into the blast cap located on the inside of the containment mechanism. Caution: Ensure that none of the electronics are turned on in the communications system and batteries are not plugged in.
- 12. **Required PPE: Latex gloves and safety glasses.** Another team member wearing gloves and safety goggles will place the initiator into the blast cap, followed by shredded packing material and electrical tape.
- 13. Plug batteries into the communications system.
- 14. Slide the containment mechanism into the inside of the rocket.
- 15. Grease the bolt that secures the containment mechanism.
- 16. Slide the bolt into the bulkhead on the opposite side of the containment mechanism.
- 17. Secure the bolt using a nut on the inside of the containment mechanism on the axis of rotation.
- 18. Slide the rover into the containment mechanism ensuring that the metal rod on the rover slides into the solenoid lock.
- 19. Use the ground station communications GUI to send a message to the rocket to secure the rover inside the containment mechanism.
- 20. Lightly pull on the rover to ensure the rover is secured and the solenoid is working properly.

Warning! Handle the body tube carefully so as to not displace any of the tape of black powder.

### DANGER! THE BODY TUBE NOW CONTAINS BLACK POWDER CHARGES AND NO TEAM MEMBERS SHOULD STAND IN THE LINE OF FIRE OF EACH END OF THE COUPLER.

Payload Systems Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Safety Officer Sign-off:

### Ejection Charges

Warning! Only leads should handle the black powder and the initiators.

- 1. Take orange plastic end protectors off four initiators. The avionics board may need to be all the way out of the avionics bay to access the altimeters.
- 2. Using wire cutters strip approximately 1/4th inch of the plastic from the ends of the initiator wire. Caution: Before continuing to the next step, make sure the toggle switches are in the off position!
- 3. Install the newly trimmed ends of the initiators into both the drogue and main ports on the primary and redundant altimeter. Label near the head with a piece of tape the primary drogue and main initiator as well as the redundant drogue and main initiator. Caution: Ensure the mechanical switches are in the off position.
- 4. Feed the two drogue initiators through the initiator holes in the forward bulkhead.
- 5. Feed the two main initiators through the initiator holes in the booster side bulkhead.
- 6. Place the initiator heads into the blast caps and secure them with electrical tape. Caution: The initiators must be secure to ensure proper detonation.
- 7. **Required PPE: Latex gloves and safety glasses.** Locate the primary drogue and main black powder charge as well as the redundant drogue and main black powder charge. Caution: To avoid spilling black powder have a different team member hold the avionics coupler at approximately a 45 degree angle to the team member pouring the black powder.
- 8. Place the primary main black powder charge into the blast cap with the primary main initiator.
- 9. Pack the blast cap with shredded newspaper and cover it with electrical tape.
- 10. Repeat Step 7 for the primary drogue black powder charge as well as the redundant drogue and main black powder charge. Caution: The black powder must be tightly secured so that it does not leak or ignite incorrectly.

Warning! Handle the coupler carefully so as to not displace any of the tape of black powder.

### DANGER! THE COUPLER NOW CONTAINS BLACK POWDER CHARGES AND NO TEAM MEMBERS SHOULD STAND IN THE LINE OF FIRE OF EACH END OF THE COUPLER.

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Safety Officer Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Avionics Board Assembly

- 1. Attach the battery connectors to two 9V batteries. An avionics lead will confirm these batteries are new.
- 2. Place the batteries in the battery slots on the avionics board.
- 3. Locate the primary altimeter and redundant altimeter and make sure they are properly labeled.
- 4. Screw the four corners of the two Stratologger CF altimeters into the four altimeter port holes on the avionics board.
- 5. Install the battery connector wires into the altimeter power supply ports labeled "Battery." Note that the black wire is negative and should be screwed into the port with the black tab. Tug on each wire to ensure that the connection is secure. Caution: The altimeters will not turn on if the batteries are installed incorrectly.
- 6. Install the mechanical switches into the mechanical switch holes on the avionics board.
- 7. Connect the leads on the mechanical switches to the switch ports labeled "switch" on the altimeters. Place the switches if not already so in the off position.
- 8. Check that the avionics board has two altimeters, two switches and two batteries installed. Additionally, the altimeter should have the battery connector wires and switch wires installed.

### A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Main Section

This section has an 84" Fruity Chutes Iris Ultra as the main parachute.

- 1. Connect one quicklink to each the end of the 27 ft shock cord labeled "av-bay to main."
- 2. Lay the folded parachute out next to the shock cord 2/3rd of the way up the shock cord from the booster section.
- 3. Run the parachute shroud lines under the shock cord and then loop them over the parachute.
- 4. Pull the shroud lines tight, this will tie the parachute to the shock cord.
- 5. Connect the third quicklink to the fire blanket and the other end to the knot where the parachute connects to the shock cord.
- 6. Cover the parachute and shroud lines with the fire blanket. Warning! The Nomex blanket must completely cover the side of the parachute facing the charges, or the parachute could be burned and damaged.
- 7. Making sure the shock cord runs through the main body tube, attach the quicklink on the end closest to the parachute the U-bolt in the AV Bay.
- 8. Attach the quicklink on the end furthest from the parachute the U-bolt in the mainbooster coupler.
- 9. Insert the shock cord into the main body tube, followed by the main parachute. Note: If the parachute sticks, talcum powder will help it to slide in easily. Caution: A sticky parachute will not deploy easily and might cause a recovery failure.
- 10. Insert the forward end of the main body tube over the avionics bay coupler.
- 11. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the forward end of the main body tube with the matching markings on the aft end of the drogue body tube.

- 12. Screw in six (6) half inch #6 screws into the six (6) screw holes to attach the avionics bay to the main body tube.
- 13. Insert the main-booster coupler into the aft end of the drogue body tube. Caution: Verify that the shock cord is entirely enclosed within the airframe, if the shock cord catches or snags on any hardware, it could result in a recovery failure.
- 14. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the main body tube with the matching markings on the booster section.
- 15. Insert three (3) 2-56 shear pins into the shear pin holes to connect the main-booster coupler and the main body tube attachment point.

Structures Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### **Booster Section**

- 1. Attach the down body camera system to the motor stop in the booster section.
- 2. Pull the camera through the dedicated slot in the booster body tube.
- 3. Fasten the camera to the camera cover outside the airframe.
- 4. Ensure that the camera cover is properly secured to the booster body tube by checking all screws between the booster body tube and the camera cover.
- 5. Insert the forward section of the booster body tube over the main-booster coupler.
- 6. Align the depth markings and the registration marks on the aft end of the drogue body tube with the matching markings on the forward end of the booster body tube.
- 7. Screw in six (6) half inch #6 screws into the six (6) screw holes to attach the booster body tube to the main-booster coupler.
- 8. Ensure that the fin brackets are properly secure by checking all screws between the body tube and fin bracket as well as the screws between the fins and the fin brackets.
- 9. Insert the completely assembled motor into the motor tube. Push the motor into the motor tube until the aft end of the motor casing is flush with the aft end of the motor retainer. Warning! The motor is categorized as a high explosive and should only be handled by subsystem leads.
- 10. Screw the motor retainer ring onto the motor retainer. Note: These threads are very well-fitting and should not require *any* force to fasten.
- 11. Verify that the motor is securely fastened to the motor tube with a brief shake test.

Structures Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Safety Officer Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Transportation to Launcher

1. Assemble the launch team, which consists of the Flight Systems Lead, Payload Systems Lead, Propulsion Lead, and the Safety Officer to carry the rocket to the launcher and set

it up. Warning! All team members must leave their cell phones in the launch preparation area after this step. Electromagnetic signals from the devices may cause the avionics to prematurely detonate the parachutes' black powder charges, causing serious harm to team members or even bystanders.

- 2. Make sure all members of the team have a firm grasp on the rocket, and lift the rocket to a comfortable carrying height. Make sure the rocket stays as close to horizontal as possible at all points during transportation.
- 3. Walk the rocket out to the launcher, ensuring that no people are too near or directly in line with either end of the rocket. Caution: Standing in-line with the either end of the rocket increases the likelihood a team member or bystander will sustain an injury in the event of an explosive failure.

### Flight Systems Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Setup on the Pad

- 1. Have a member or two of the launch team bring the launch rail from vertical to horizontal and hold it in that position.
- 2. Align the rocket's rail buttons so that they are pointed directly down towards the ground.
- 3. Slide the aft rail button into the launch rail so that the weight of the rocket is resting on the rail buttons. Make sure the rocket is not "hanging" off the rail only attached at the rail buttons.
- 4. Slide the aft rail button towards the flame deflector at the base of the launch rail, minimizing twisting of the rocket relative to the launch rail and scraping of the rocket airframe against the leading edge of the launch rail.
- 5. Once the forward rail button is securely inserted into the launch rail, slide the rocket towards the flame deflector until it makes contact.
- 6. Several members of the team should then push the launch rail into a vertical position while the rest of the team stabilizes the rocket on the rail to prevent twisting relative to the rail.
- 7. Once the launch rail is in a vertical position, lock the rail into this position with a bolt or screw.
- 8. Verify that:
  - a. The rocket is secured to the launch rail.
  - b. The launch rail is secured in the upright position.
- 9. Flip the primary altimeter continuity switch to the "on" position.
- 10. Audibly verify that the sequence of beeps is first two for the setting. Then six, ten, and ten again for main deployment altitude. Then the previous apogee will beep out in four numbers and finally the voltage will be the last two sets of beeps, this should be at least 9.0. Note: If a different series of beeps or a wailing sound emits flip the switches off and then on again. If the problem persists consult the user manual for the Stratologger CF altimeters.
- 11. Audibly verify that the primary altimeter has continuity through the initiators in both the main and drogue charges by listening for three consecutive beeps.
- 12. Flip the secondary altimeter continuity switch to the "on" position.
- 13. Audibly verify that the sequence of beeps is first two for the setting. Then five, ten, and ten again for redundant main deployment altitude. Then the previous apogee will beep out in four numbers and finally the voltage will be the last two sets of beeps, this should

be at least 9.0. Note: If a different series of beeps or a wailing sound emits flip the switches off and then on again. If the problem persists consult the user manual for the Stratologger CF altimeters.

- 14. Audibly verify that the secondary altimeter has continuity through the initiators in both the main and drogue charges by listening for three consecutive beeps.
- 15. Take the AV Bay Panel and have another team member hold it over the AV Bay access port.
- 16. Screw all four #6 screws into the four corners of the Panel, securing it in place for flight.
- 17. Flip the payload systems power switch to the "on" position.
- 18. Take the Payload Bay Panel and have another team member hold it over the Payload Bay access port.
- 19. Screw all four #6 screws into the four corners of the Panel, securing it in place for flight.
- 20. Flip the camera system power switch to the "on" position.

Flight Systems Lead Sign-off:

Payload Systems Lead Sign-off:

### Initiator Installation

Warning! The initiators are harmful explosives if not handled properly. After initially separating the initiator leads, do not allow them to come into contact with each other at any point.

- 1. Verify with the A&R lead that the altimeter is correctly and completely initialized.
- 2. If the rocket's nozzle is resting on the flame deflector, proceed to Step 3. Otherwise, proceed to Step 4.
- 3. Have several team members raise the rocket a few inches vertically so that it no longer rests on the flame deflector and ensure that the team members can hold the rocket in this position for as long as it takes to install the initiator.
- 4. Thread the initiator through the pre-cut hole in the wall of the nozzle cap. For now, ignore the nozzle cap but make sure it does not slide off the initiator wire.
- 5. Insert the end of initiator that contains the charge into the nozzle of the rocket and continue to slide the initiator upwards through the propellant grains.
- 6. When you feel the initiator contact the aft end of the smoke charge, stop feeding the initiator into the motor.
- 7. Secure the initiator wire to the nozzle with tape, making sure the initiator stays in contact with the aft end of the FSD.
- 8. Secure the nozzle cap over the end of the nozzle, again making sure not to pull the initiator wire any further out of the motor.
- 9. Separate the initiator wire leads as far apart as possible without damaging the wire.
- 10. Take one alligator clip from the power supply extension and connect it to one lead on the initiator wire.
- 11. Secure this connected wire to the launcher a safe distance from the second lead.
- 12. Take the second alligator clip from the power supply extension and connect it to the remaining lead on the initiator wire.
- 13. Secure this second wire to the launcher a safe distance from the first wire.

Propulsion Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Safety Officer Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Post-Flight Deployment Operation

Rover Deployment and Operation

Warning! Approach the rocket with caution because parts might be hot from the ejection charges, or ejection charges might still be live.

- 1. Open MATLAB and load the ground station controls system.
- 2. Send a signal to the rocket to deploy the black powder charge.
- 3. Once the rocket has settled from separation, send a signal to the rocket to unlock the rover from the containment mechanism.
- 4. Once the rover has been successfully been released, send a drive signal for the rover to begin its driving sequence.

Payload Systems Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

Rocket Retrieval and Recovery Harness Inspection

Warning! Approach the rocket with caution because parts might be hot from the ejection charges, or ejection charges might still be live.

- 1. Check to see that all charges have properly deployed. If not, notify the RSO, and maintain muzzle awareness on both ends of the rocket while an A&R lead disconnects the altimeters.
- 2. Disconnect any shock cords necessary in order to safely remove the rocket from the range or field to the launch preparation area.
- 3. Disassemble the recovery harness by detaching all of the quicklinks from the bulkhead eyebolts, parachutes and shock cords.
- 4. Wrap the shock cords to be stored.
- 5. Lay out parachutes and inspect them for any damage. Warning! Damaged parachutes must be replaced.
- 6. After inspection, fold and wrap the parachutes for storage, separating out and labeling any damaged parachutes.
- 7. Place all quicklinks, shock cords, fireballs and parachutes in their respective places to be transferred to the lab and stored.

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

### Post Flight Avionics Inspection

- 1. Unscrew the avionics bay door.
- 2. Disconnect the secure wire connectors to turn the altimeters off.
- 3. Remove the avionics sled from the avionics coupler.
- 4. Unscrew all of the wire terminals of the two altimeters and remove the wires. Caution: Properly dispose of burnt initiators.
- 5. Unscrew the altimeters and place them into the A&R box.
- 6. Remove the batteries and place them into the A&R box.
- 7. Place the avionics sled back into the rocket for ease of transportation.
- 8. Screw the door back onto the rocket.
- 9. At a computer, plug the altimeters in and extract the data. Compare the actual flight data to the estimated data from computer simulations. IF there are any discrepancies, the flight model must be adjusted. The flight data should be stored on the computer for future reference.

A&R Lead Sign-off: \_\_\_\_\_

# 5. Payload Criteria

# 5.1 Payload Design and Testing

## Changes from CDR

The method for loading the black powder into the payload bay on launch day was changed to improve safety. The previous method for loading the black powder required it to be loaded before inserting the rotating payload bay into the payload section. The new method is to load the black powder into the payload bay through the external payload door after the payload section has been fully assembled. This reduces the amount of time that black powder is being handled and reduces the amount of time that the payload team needs the payload section and drogue coupler during launch day assembly. Figure 52 shows the black powder loading method for the payload section.



Figure 52. Payload Black Powder Charge Assembly with Door

New motors were selected for the rover because the motors selected in CDR did not provide enough torque to allow the rover to overcome small obstacles. The new high torque motors provide 1 kg\*cm of torque when operating on a 6V power source. Two of these high torque motors have been selected to replace the previous motors. The rover will only operate on front wheel drive because powering four motors would require more battery space than is available.

Because new motors were selected, the design for the 3D printed motor mounts was changed. The new design holds two single motors and holds them in place with a cover as shown in Figure 53. During testing, the wiring attached to the motors came loose because of delicate leads. Wire clips were added to the motor mounts so that the wiring would not come loose from the motors while adjusting the positions of the wires.



Figure 53. New Motor Mounts for High Torque DC Motors

Another adjustment made because of the new motors was the attachment point for the wheel and the axle mount. Previously, the single dual shaft motor on the front wheels took up 2.5 cm of space while the new motors take up almost 10 cm of space. To compensate for this extra horizontal space, the axle attachment connects to the inside wall of the outer face of the wheel as shown in Figure 54.



**Figure 54. Axle Mount Attachment for High Torque Motors** 

## **5.2 Unique Features of the Payload**

### **Rotating Payload Bay**

The rotating payload bay is a feature of the payload design that ensures that the rover will be in the correct driving orientation post flight regardless of how the launch vehicle lands. This feature allows the rover to have the maximum amount of clearance underneath. Large clearance was an important initial design criteria because the rover has to be able to clear obstacles that are large relative to its own size.

Figure 55 shows the payload bay as it would appear inside the launch vehicle. The nut and bolt mechanism acts as an axle for the payload bay to rotate around. The masses of the shelves and the solenoid create moments about the rotation axis which causes the payload bay to always be oriented with the rover facing upright.



Figure 55. Rotating Payload Bay Top View

### 3D Printed Electronics Shelf

The 3D printed electronics shelf is a feature that allows the payload electronics to be mounted to an easily constructed, custom made board. The electronics on the shelf include the nose cone deployment and solenoid locking mechanisms. The reason for including these as a separate component of the payload is so that the rover is independent of the deployment and release mechanisms. This design allows for there to be more space and less mass on the rover. The electronics board also features a switch that can be accessed from the outside of the rocket. This feature allows for the deployment and solenoid mechanisms to be turned on while the rocket is on the launch rail. The switch minimizes the amount of time handling a live charge, allows for disarmament on the launch pad, and saves battery life for the deployment electronics.

Figure 56 shows the electronics shelf with all of the deployment electronics mounted. The board was constructed from PLA with LTRL's Taz 6 3D printer. The reason for choosing 3D printing as the construction method is because it allows for more unique geometries that would be difficult and expensive to machine. Additionally, 3D printing also allows for more rapid prototyping.



**Figure 56.Electronics for Payload Deployment** 

### External Switch and Payload Door

To make the payload as safe as possible on launch day, the payload section includes an external door that is used to load, arm, and disarm the black powder charge for nose cone separation. The

door contains 4 screws which, when removed, give access to the blast cap and mechanical switch. Being able to load the black powder charge from outside the rocket is safer because it limits the amount of time the payload team is working on the payload section with a live charge. With this setup, loading black powder is the last step before screwing on the door in for the payload assembly procedure. Additionally, the deployment electronics can be easily disarmed by the mechanical switch at any time during the launch procedures.

Figure 57 shows the door with access to the blast cap and mechanical switch. Because the shelves rotate with the payload bay, the switch is always able to be accessed by rotating the payload bay manually if it is not in the correct orientation on the launch pad.



Figure 57. External Access to the Payload Bay Switch

### 3D Printed Rover

The 3D printed rover is a unique electronic and structural component of the payload. The rover is 3D printed with PLA because it allows for the rover to take on a unique geometry that would not be possible to machine with standard techniques and materials. The reason for the unique geometry of the rover is so that it can maximize clearance while optimizing the amount of space for electronics and wheels. One of the electronic features on the rover includes a radio module independent of the deployment electronics. Because the deployment and solenoid electronics are independent of the rover, the rover needs to include a way to communicate with the ground station. Another electronic feature of the payload is the high torque motors. These motors provide 1 kg\*cm torque when operating at 6V of power which is enough to only need front wheel drive. Front wheel drive reduces mass and wiring complexities by not needing four motors with additional pins on the Arduino.

Figure 58 shows an image of the rover. In addition to the unique geometry of the rover body, another design decision was made to optimize space in the horizontal direction. The motors were placed into the wheels and mounted to the outside face of the wheel as shown in Figure 54. Mounting the motors on the outside of the wheel allows for a more efficient use of the horizontal space in the payload bay and allows for 2 single shaft motors to be mounted next to each other without sacrificing wheel width.



Figure 58. Assembled Rover Back View

### Solenoid Locking and Retaining Mechanism

The solenoid locking mechanism is a fail safe way to retain the rover during flight. A 3D printed key was made using polycarbonate because of it high tensile strength. The solenoid key is mounted to the back plate of the payload bay and is oriented so that the unpowered position holds the rover in place. The lock portion of the system is located on the back of the rover. To release the rover, the ground station sends a signal to the launch vehicle that tells the Arduino to power the solenoid which then rotates to unlock the rover. The rover is then able to drive out of the launch vehicle as long as the solenoid remains powered.

Figure 59 shows an image of the solenoid locking mechanism as it is integrated into the payload bay.



Figure 59. Solenoid Locking Mechanism for Rover Retainment

### Ground Station Communication System

The ground station communications system is the mechanism for which the payload team is able to talk to the launch vehicle and the rover. A custom ground station GUI was coded in MATLAB

to allow for easily adjustable parameters and for limiting the amount of soldered components on the ground station. The GUI has three buttons which initiate nose cone deployment, unlock the rover, and initiate the rover's driving sequence. The communication system does this by sending unique signals on unique radio frequencies.

Figure 60 shows an image of the ground station electronics and the MATLAB GUI. The communication system features an Arduino Nano and a LoRa RFM95x radio module.



Figure 60. Ground Station Control for Payload System

# 5.3 Flight Reliability Confidence

The payload team believes that the payload will perform as expected on launch day because of successful ground tests and a successful full scale test flight. For the mission to be successful, the rover and nose cone will be retained through launch and descent, the ground station will separate the nose cone after the launch vehicle has landed, the ground station will unlock the rover from the payload bay, the rover will drive 10 feet from the launch vehicle, and will finally recover the 10 mL soil sample.

During full scale test flight, the design for the retention mechanism and nose cone retainment were verified. The retention mechanism worked correctly as the rover was not disconnected from the solenoid lock during flight. Additionally, the nose cone was successfully secured to the rocket throughout flight by 8 shear pins.

Despite a ground station failure on full scale test flight, multiple ground tests have verified that the electronics for deployment will work as expected after a successful launch. Additionally, the deployment electronics and retainment electronics successfully withstood the accelerations of launch. These results lead the team to believe that the deployment system will work as expected on launch day.

The team also believes that the rover will operate as expected on launch day. Despite the rover not being able to drive during full scale test flight, lab testing has verified that the rover will be capable of driving after being released from the launch vehicle. The electronics from the rover are independent of those on the deployment and retainment system, therefore the team believes the success or failure of the rover will not affect the success of the other aspects of the payload.

Multiple lab tests have verified that the soil sample recovery system will work as expected on launch day. Testing and integrating the of the soil sample recovery system leads the team to believe that it will successfully recover at least 10 mL of soil and remain sealed properly on launch day.

# 5.4 Payload Demonstration of Flight

Date of Flight: February 9th 2019

Successful flight criteria are determined by the NASA and some of the team derived requirements. Meeting all of these requirements would demonstrate a successful flight. Flight criteria include retaining the rover during flight, deploying the autonomous rover from the launch vehicle, the autonomous rover driving 10 feet, and collecting a 10 mL soil sample. Other qualifications for a successful flight include the successful operation of the communications system and the rover having the correct orientation upon landing.

The rover was successfully retained during flight by the solenoid locking mechanism because it was not displaced during the post flight analysis. However, because the ground station software failed on launch day, there was no way to verify that the solenoid would have unlocked the rover until it was returned to Penn State for further testing. The solenoid locking mechanism did successfully operate post flight when this additional test was conducted.

The ground station failed on launch day because the ground station was not operating on the correct computer. Due to a long wait time between arrival at the launch site and recovery of the rocket, the normal ground station computer's battery died and a different computer was used. The ground station was unable to connect with the rocket and the nose cone was not deployed.

The rotating payload bay was successful because the rover had the correct orientation after landing. All of the electronics on board the rocket were unharmed post flight.

# 5.5 Construction

The first element of the payload to be constructed was the rotating payload bay shown before in Figure 55. The team began with this project to ensure that the rover would be able to exit the rocket in an upright position regardless of the landing orientation. The rotating bay was first 3D printed on a small scale as a proof-of-concept, then printed at the full scale size using PLA plastic. The rotating payload bay was designed to hold removable shelves with the necessary electronics for both retainment during launch and the deployment after landing. To ensure the retainment mechanism was able to hold the rover within the payload bay, the mount was built into the back wall of the rotating bay. Similarly, the blast cap was built directly into the rotating bay.

The second element constructed was the wheels for the rover. The wheels were created to the constraints of the rotating payload bay. The wheels were constructed using 3D printed PLA plastic. Printing the wheels early was important for testing and integration purposes. To attach

the wheels to the motors, axle mounts were also 3D printed. These mounts allow for the wheels to be changed if damaged or modified without the need to buy new permanently attach new wheels shown in Figure 54.

After finalizing construction of the rotating payload bay, the electronics shelf, shown in Figure 56 was designed and 3D printed using PLA plastic to exactly fit the needed retainment and deployment electronics. The needed electronics boards, batteries, switch, and other components were measured and the shelf was built around each part. This allows for secure attachments to ensure all components do not move during any phase of the launch. The shelf also works as a counterweight to help rotate the payload bay to the correct orientation upon landing.

Once the electronics shelf was 3D printed, the retainment mechanism was constructed. A rotary solenoid was mounted onto the back of the rotating payload bay and an attachment piece was 3D printed using polycarbonate to attach to the rover. The attachment key piece is screwed into the solenoid and extends outward to the lock on the rover. The key piece assembled with the rotary solenoid is shown mounted in Figure 59.

The rover was 3D printed using PLA plastic. The chassis was constructed to hold two motors, an axle, the solenoid lock, and the electronics necessary for its function. The rover's motors will be inserted into the designated area and secured using the cap. The axle will be inserted in a similar fashion. The elevated section will hold the rover's electronics including the Arduino, batteries, and communications system. The elevated section was changed from earlier models to add more space between the wheels while also increasing the clearance above the ground.

Before the rover and electronics boards were printed, the electronics need for operation of the rover, retainment mechanism, and deployment were temporarily put together and tested to ensure proper function. After the rover and electronics boards were 3D printed, the electronics were then installed onto their respective spots. Because both models were made to exactly fit the needed electronics, the assembly required only securing the pieces and soldering the parts together.

The soil sample recover system was 3D printed using PLA plastic. It will be attached to the bottom side of the rover along with the appropriate electronic components. The system was be printed so that tolerances will allow for a tight seal upon recovery of the 10 mL soil sample.

# 6. Project Plan

## **6.1 Structures Testing**

One test will be to determine the amount of layers of carbon fiber needed for the launch vehicle. To determine this, the team considered the max q condition. The max q condition is the point when an aerospace vehicle reaches its maximum dynamic pressure during its flight. This is a significant factor as it is proportional to the structural load the launch vehicle can handle. The team considered using the Cesaroni L851 motor when calculating the dynamic pressure of the launch vehicle. The dynamic pressure equation is represented by:

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 \tag{22}$$

Where q is the dynamic pressure,  $\rho$  is the local air density, and v is the velocity of the launch vehicle.

The team considered two different points when calculating the dynamic pressure. The first was at ground level in Alabama. The height of Alabama above sea-level is 636 feet. This height was denoted as ground-level. The team also used the velocity of the launch vehicle as it leaves the rail, which is 58.7 feet/s. To determine the pressure at ground-level, the following equation was used:

$$P_h = P_0 e^{\frac{-Mgh}{RT}}$$
(23)

 $P_h$  is the pressure at ground-level,  $P_0$  is the average pressure at sea-level, M is the molar mass of Earth's air, g is Earth's gravitational acceleration, h is the height above sea-level, R is the universal gas constant, and T is the temperature. To determine the temperature the launch vehicle will most likely encounter on launch day, the team looked at past average temperatures in Alabama during the month of April. After looking at the different averages over the years, the team decided to use 72 degrees Fahrenheit.

After determining the pressure at ground-level, the team calculated the density of air utilizing:

$$\rho = \frac{P_h}{rT} \tag{24}$$

Where  $\rho$  is the local air density and r is the specific gas constant.

Plugging in the calculated local air density and the velocity of the launch vehicle, the dynamic pressure yielded was 125.683  $lb * ft^2$ .

This value seemed fairly small, so the team decided to determine the dynamic pressure at the point of the launch vehicle's maximum velocity. The team chose this position since the launch vehicle will not be reaching a height further than a mile above ground-level, so velocity will have the largest impact.

This point was found to be when the launch vehicle was 2146 feet above sea-level, which is 1510 feet above ground-level. The velocity of the launch vehicle at this point is 674 feet/s. Using

Equations 1, 2 and 3, the dynamic pressure exerted on the launch vehicle was found to be 15859.2 lbft2. This value seemed to be fairly high, but the team decided to use it and move forward with calculating the amount of layers of carbon fiber needed.

Since the dynamic pressure is proportional to the maximum stress the launch vehicle will encounter, the following equation can be used:

$$\sigma = \frac{F}{A} \tag{25}$$

Where  $\sigma$  is the maximum stress or dynamic pressure, F is the force exerted on the launch vehicle, and A is the area the force is exerted on. Because the dynamic pressure is exerted on the whole launch vehicle, the total area was utilized. To determine the amount of layers needed, the area of a cylinder with an outer radius denoted as X and an area of a cone were used. These can be found in Equation 26 and Equation 27 respectively:

$$A_{h} = 2\pi (X+r)(h+X-r)$$
(26)

Equation 26 denotes the area of a hollow cylinder, where X is the outer diameter, r is the inner diameter, and h is height of the cylinder. Equation 27 (below) denotes the area of a cone:

$$A_{c} = \pi r (r + \sqrt{h^{2} + r^{2}})$$
(27)

Where r is the radius on the base of the cone and h is the height of the cone.

The areas calculated in Equations 26 and 27 were then added together and plugged into *A* found in Equation 25.

The force was determined through using Newton's Second Law:

$$F = ma \tag{28}$$

Where m is the mass of the launch vehicle at the point where the dynamic pressure was calculated, and a is the acceleration of the launch vehicle at the same point.

Using 3K Carbon Fiber Plain Weave thickness of 0.012 inches and solving for X, it was determined that the amount of layers needed was 6.4. The team will test the structural integrity of the launch vehicle using six and seven layers to determine how each act provided the forces the team believes the launch vehicle will encounter during flight.

One of these forces the airframe will have to resist is zippering during deployment of the main parachute. The zippering force of the shock cord will be due to the force of drag caused by main parachute:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 S C_d \tag{29}$$

Where FD is the force of drag,  $\rho$  is the air density, v is the velocity, S is the surface area, and Cd is the coefficient of drag. A successful test is defined by obtaining a tensile strength value that is higher than the force that the shock cord will exhibit on the airframe during main parachute deployment. The exact procedure for tensile testing of the airframe can be found in Appendix D: Tensile Test Procedure.

Another test the structures team will take part in is to determine if PLA will be a good substitute for bulkheads instead of plywood. PLA and plywood have relatively the same tensile strength, but PLA has a higher flexural modulus. The weight of PLA can be similar, heavier, or lighter than plywood depending on the infill percentage used during the print. The cost of PLA and plywood are also very similar. Because of the similarities that team found between the two materials, it was determined that a tensile test was needed to conclude whether or not PLA would be a sufficient alternative to plywood.

The bulkheads are an important component of the launch vehicle because they hold the avionics bay in place, so they cannot fail during flight under any circumstance. The bulkheads support forces from the parachute and body tube of the launch vehicle. To determine if PLA will be a sufficient alternative, the team will run a SolidWorks FEA simulation. The team will compare these results to simulations run using plywood and previous knowledge of how plywood bulkheads held up during the duration of the flight.

The structures team will also manufacture a test piece and place a bulkhead made out of PLA into the body tube using epoxy. The team will then provide relatively the same amount of force the bulkheads will encounter during the duration of the flight to determine if the PLA bulkhead will fail or not under these loads.

## 6.2 Avionics and Recovery Testing

### Avionics Board Altimeter Test

The purpose of this test is to confirm the accuracy of the primary and redundant PerfectFlite StratoLoggerCF Altimeters the team is using in the rocket. PerfectFlite StratoLoggerCF Altimeters record pressure through the use of a barometer and turn this into altitude and velocity data. This testing procedure determines the accuracy and operability of the barometer on the altimeter for measuring elevation. The second purpose is to confirm that drogue and main deployment events are occurring where they are expected.

The team placed the altimeter to be tested into a cylindrical pressure vessel designed to maintain near vacuum pressure for extended periods of time. A vacuum pump and a pressure gauge are attached to a cylindrical pressure vessel to control the internal pressure to that similar to what the rocket will experience in flight.

The altimeter is wired up following the launch procedures except with a solid wire instead of an initiator on the end. After confirming continuity beeps, it is placed inside of the pressure chamber and sealed in. The vacuum pump was on to simulate an altimeter undergoing an ascent of a rocket launch by decreasing the pressure inside the vessel. The pressure vessel was then let

to equalize with the atmosphere at a rate similar to drogue descent. The maximum pressure that was simulated is then recorded through the attached pressure gauge.

The pressure gauge is compared to a pressure altitude chart to determine the effective altitude the altimeter experienced. The altitude vs time chart is then compared to this value to make sure they are the same. The team performed the test and confirmed the accuracy of both altimeters.

### Parachute Test

The purpose of this test is to gather accurate data on the coefficients of drag on several of the team's parachutes. Currently the team must estimate the drag on the parachutes based on previous years launches. This is inaccurate since the team also does not know the drag on the rocket body.

The test will take place outside on an open road that has no traffic and low ambient wind speeds. The team will measure the ambient wind speeds and use a car to accurately record its speed. Then each parachute will be attached to a standard spring scale force cage with a digital reading, which will be held by a team member.

The car will be put in cruise control at speeds in 5 mph increments and the parachute will be held in the free stream out of the wake of the car. The car is then driven down the road at the target speed while one person reads the force on the gauge and records that specified speed. This will be repeated multiple times for each parachute to gather accurate results.

The coefficient of drag can then be calculated using the standard drag equation for each parachute. This will be put in table in the team's MATLAB script and run against the results from previous year's flights. If the results or similar to the altimeter data from previous years, the test will be considered a success and that data will be used for the next years launch.

# 6.3 Payload Testing

### Initiator Circuit

To verify that the Arduino could light the initiator.

The method for testing the initiator was to prototype the system in the lab on breadboards and then assemble it to flight standards for ground testing and a full scale test launch. The schematic was assembled on the breadboard for the circuit that was designed in CDR. Code was written and uploaded to the Arduino that would output a voltage to the D5 pin after a 5 second delay. Once the circuit was verified to be working as expected, the communication system was integrated to verify that a signal could be sent from the ground station to the initiator circuit. The full system was then soldered together and placed into the rocket for ground testing. For the ground test, the communication system operated as expected. The communication was then placed into the rocket for the full scale test flight. Due to technical issues on the ground station, the system was not able to operate after the landing. Without any alterations to the system, a second ground test was conducted post flight with the ground station operating correctly.

The tests for the initiator deployment system have been successful and the team believes that the system will operate correctly on launch day. Despite the unsuccessful deployment on launch day, the team still believes the system will work because the exact same system was tested 3 days later during a ground test. The reason for failure on launch day was because the computer the
ground station normally operates on had died and the ground station was operating on an unfamiliar system with COM ports that it did not recognize. Because this issue is easily fixed by ensuring that the correct computer is charged and available on launch day, the team believes that the initiator will deploy.

One lesson learned from these tests was to use the same computer that had been used for all lab and ground station testing. In case of the issue of a computer running out of battery in the future, additional tests will be conducted in the lab to verify that the ground station can operate on other computers if necessary.

#### **Communication Systems**

To test that the rocket systems and ground station can communicate with each other.

The method for testing the communications system was to prototype the electronics in the lab and assemble it to flight ready standards for ground testing and full scale test flight. The radios were wired on breadboards according to the design chosen in CDR. Test code was written and uploaded to the transmitter circuit to verify that the transmitter could send signals. Test code was written and uploaded to the receiver circuit to verify that the receiver could accept the signals that the transmitter was sending. Once communication was established, the ground station GUI was written in MATLAB to begin testing connection between MATLAB and Arduino. Each of the components of the on board electronics were then tested with the communications system. Testing was done to determine if the communications system could send a signal from the MATLAB GUI and detonate the black powder charge. Then, tests were done to determine if the MATLAB GUI could send the signal to the solenoid lock to release the rover. Finally, the team tested the MATLAB GUI with the rover to initiate the driving sequence. Once all of these systems were tested independently, they were tested as whole to verify that they would work correctly sequentially.

The results of these tests were successful and the team believes that the system will operate as expected on launch day.

#### **Rotating Payload Bay**

To verify that the payload bay will adjust to the correct orientation when the entire payload system is assembled inside the payload section.

The method for verifying that the payload bay would remain upright after launch was performing lab tests and flying it during full scale test launch. The rotating bay was placed inside the rocket fully assembled with weights inside of it to simulate the weight of the rover. The payload section was rotated to various orientations to see if the payload bay would correct itself. The system then flew in the full scale test launch.

The results of these tests were successful and are expected to work on launch day. The lab tests performed as expected and the payload bay was oriented upright post full scale test flight.

#### Retention Mechanism

To verify the rover can be held in place during flight and during nose cone separation.

The method for testing the retention mechanism was to fly it during full scale test launch. There were no lab tests that could easily simulate the accelerations that the rover would be experiencing in flight.

The results of this test were successful because the rover was securely attached to the retention mechanism after the full scale test flight. These results lead the team to believe that the retention mechanism will work correctly on launch day.

#### Motor Testing for the Rover

To verify that the selected motors are able to move the rover at the expected weight.

The method for testing the rover's driving capability was to drive it in soil that is expected for the terrain in Huntsville, Alabama. The tests were conducted in a patch of dirt outside of the LTRL lab.

The results of this test were initially unsuccessful with 2 dual shaft DC motors. The motors selected in CDR did not provide enough torque to move the rover in the dirt. The design was changed to use 2 single shaft high torque motors. The results of these tests were successful and the rover was able to move.

One of the lessons learned from these tests was to verify torque ratings on motors before following through with further design. If this issue had been discovered earlier, less time would have been spent developing mounts for the initial motors and the team would not have had to go through redesigning mounts.

#### Nose Cone Separation

To verify the black powder charge was enough to separate the nose cone and to verify the communication system worked through carbon fiber body tube at the necessary distance.

The method for testing the nose cone separation was to conduct ground tests that verify the black powder charge could successfully separate the nose cone from the body tube. The team took the payload section of the rocket to a designated location on campus to conduct the test.

The initial results of this test were unsuccessful. The nose cone did not separate from the body tube on the first ground test because of a leak in the payload section door. To make this system more airtight, the structures team built another door that fits the cutout better and the payload team created a cover for the blast cap that blocks the pressurization from the door. Conducting tests with these parameters changed resulted in a successful deployment of the nose cone.

One of the lessons learned from the nose cone separation tests was the significance of having an airtight seal in the payload section when pressurizing it to break shear pins. When the door was not sealed well, the easiest way for the gas to escape was to escape through the door. This taught the team that improvements needed to be made to the door so that it would not be the easiest way for the gas to escape.

# 6.4 Requirements Verification

## General Requirements

| Requirement | Method of<br>Verification | Verification  |
|-------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1.1         | Demonstration             | The team will design, build, test the entirety of<br>the rocket and its payload as well as write all<br>milestone reports. Additionally, the team's<br>mentor, Justin Hess who is accredited with a<br>NAR HPR Level 2 certification, will handle<br>motor assembly, ejection charges, and electric<br>matches. |
| 1.2         | Demonstration             | The team will follow a strict project plan based<br>on each subsystem's Gantt charts and well as<br>the team's overall Gantt chart. Additionally,<br>the team will outreach to local schools, and<br>create all risk mitigation tables, checklists,<br>budget tables.   |
| 1.3         | Demonstration             | The team only has one foreign national<br>member, Wilson Chiang, who's contact<br>information has been submitted prior to PDR.  |
| 1.4.        | Demonstration             | The rocket will decide all team members that<br>are going to Alabama for the competition by<br>the CDR milestone. Additionally, the team<br>mentor and adult educator have already been<br>identified by the team.  |
| 1.5         | Demonstration             | The team has a dedicated Outreach Chair who<br>will be responsible for the team's contribution<br>to educating 200 participants in STEM related<br>material.  |
| 1.6         | Demonstration             | The team has identified its only social media<br>account (Instagram) to NASA student launch<br>representative.  |
| 1.7         | Demonstration             | The team will email milestone deliverables by the specified deadlines.  |

| 1.8  | Demonstration | All milestone deliverables will be in PDF format.  |
|------|---------------|--|
| 1.9  | Demonstration | The team will include an appropriate table of contents in each milestone report.   |
| 1.10 | Demonstration | The team will include correct page numbers at<br>the bottom of every page for milestone reports.                                       |
| 1.11 | Demonstration | The team will be given access to conference<br>rooms will teleconference abilities through<br>Pennsylvania State's SEDTAPP department. |
| 1.12 | Demonstration | The launch vehicle will use 15-15 rail buttons<br>so it can be successfully launched from the<br>provided launch rails.                |
| 1.13 | Demonstration | The team has already identified its team<br>mentor, Justin Hess, who fulfills are mentor<br>requirements stated.                       |

# Vehicle Requirements

| Requirement | Method of<br>Verification     | Verification   |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 2.1         | Analysis                      | Data from the altimeters used during flight will verify that<br>the rocket reaches an apogee of 5,280 ft. altitude with the<br>payload in it.                                  |
| 2.2         | N/A                           | The declared target altitude goal is 5,280 ft. and will not be changed after this report is published.   |
| 2.3         | Inspection                    | The AV bay will contain an altimeter that is built by a certified company that will record the official apogee of the launch vehicle.  |
| 2.4         | Inspection                    | Each altimeter will be armed by connecting two connection<br>points through mechanical means on the exterior of the<br>flight vehicle prior to launch.                         |
| 2.5         | Inspection                    | Each altimeter will be wired to a commercially available 9 volt battery that is secured to the avionics bay.   |
| 2.6         | Testing                       | The avionics switch will be secured via a robust mechanical<br>linkage so that it will remain in the ON position during<br>flight without possibility of the switch disarming. |
| 2.7         | Demonstration /<br>Inspection | The rocket will be launched on launch day and inspected<br>afterwards to confirm that no damage was done and the<br>vehicle is able to launch again.                           |
| 2.8         | Demonstration                 | The rocket is designed with only four independent sections.<br>The four sections are the payload body tube, main body<br>tube, drogue body tube, and the booster body tube.    |
| 2.8.1       | Demonstration                 | The rocket is designed with airframe couplers and shoulders<br>that are no shorter than 10 in. in length which is 1.67 times<br>larger than the 6" diameter of the airframe.   |

| 2.8.2  | Demonstration              | The nose cone shoulder is 5.5" in length which is ½ the diameter of the rocket. Additionally, the nose cone will be separated during flight.   |
|--------|----------------------------|--|
| 2.9    | Demonstration              | The rocket's propulsion system contains one solid rocket motor and no additional stages.   |
| 2.10   | Demonstration /<br>Testing | The team will keep a timer during all fullscale test launches<br>to ensure that the build time does not take longer than 2<br>hours. The rocket will be designed with assembly timing in<br>mind, extensive launch day procedures will be written and<br>followed to ensure timeliness on launch day.  |
| 2.11   | Demonstration /<br>Testing | The launch vehicle is designed so that all components such<br>as avionics can remain functional for an extended period of<br>time after the vehicle is in launch-ready configuration.<br>Testing can be done on test launch days to assure the<br>functionality of the components after a certain amount of<br>time.   |
| 2.12   | Testing                    | Tests will be performed on a fullscale primary motor prior<br>to the fullscale test launch to demonstrate that the motor can<br>be ignited with a 12-volt direct current firing system. These<br>tests will be part of the larger test goal to gather operational<br>and performance characteristics of the primary fullscale<br>motor before the fullscale test launch. |
| 2.13   | Demonstration              | All electronics will be contained within the launch vehicle<br>with the exception of the initiator required to light the<br>motor upon launch.   |
| 2.14   | Demonstration              | The motor used for competition launch will be from a trusted manufacturer (Cesaroni or Aerotech), using NAR approved APCP propellant.  |
| 2.14.1 | Analysis                   | In-depth mass analysis of the rocket using OpenRocket and<br>SolidWorks will be performed to ensure mass estimates are<br>accurate by CDR. After this analysis, a proper motor will be<br>selected.  |

| 2.14.2     | N/A                         | The final flight vehicle motor will not be changed after CDR.   |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2.15.1 - 3 | N/A                         | The final flight vehicle will not contain any custom pressure devices.  |
| 2.16       | Analysis                    | Analysis will be conducted via OpenRocket and MATLAB<br>models to simulate the flight profile of the vehicle, and the<br>associated motor selection process will be limited to motors<br>approved by the aforementioned bodies. |
| 2.17       | Analysis                    | Stability will be calculated with various programs to ensure<br>that the vehicle's stability is over 2.0 calibers off the rail.   |
| 2.18       | Analysis                    | Launch velocity will be calculated with various programs to<br>ensure that the vehicle's velocity off the rail is at least 52<br>fps.   |
| 2.19       | Demonstration               | A launch vehicle exactly 50% the size of the fullscale<br>rocket will be designed and launched to accurately imitate<br>the fullscale rocket's main design features and<br>aerodynamics.  |
| 2.19.1     | Demonstration               | All major design features such as airframe material,<br>avionics bay design, fin brackets, and camera cover will be<br>included in the subscale launch vehicle.   |
| 2.19.2     | Demonstration               | The avionics bay will be designed to include an altimeter<br>that will record the altitude the launch rocket reaches.   |
| 2.19.3     | N/A                         | The subscale rocket will be a newly constructed rocket, designed and built specifically for this year's project.  |
| 2.19.4     | Demonstration /<br>Analysis | The subscale rocket will be successfully launched and<br>recovered before CDR, altimeter data from the flight will be<br>provided to prove a successful flight.   |

| 2.20       | Demonstration            | The team will launch the rocket as soon as the design is<br>finalized to make sure each system is working properly and<br>can be fixed if failure occurs.   |
|------------|--------------------------|---|
| 2.20.1     | Inspection /<br>Analysis | After the rocket is launched, the team will inspect each<br>system to confirm that it functioned properly. The structural<br>integrity of the vehicle will be inspected to ensure that no<br>part of the rocket suffered severe damages during flight,<br>and flight data will be analyzed to ensure that recovery<br>systems were deployed at their correct altitudes, and to<br>determine if drift calculations were correct. |
| 2.20.1.1   | Analysis                 | After the rocket is recovered the team will analyze the<br>altimeter data and compare it to the mission performance<br>predictions calculated before the launch. Flight<br>characteristics that will be analyzed include deployment<br>altitudes, drift distance, and landing velocity.   |
| 2.20.1.2   | N/A                      | The fullscale rocket will be a newly constructed rocket,<br>designed and built specifically for this year's project.  |
| 2.20.1.3   | Demonstration            | Appropriate ballast will be added to each section to simulate missing payload mass.   |
| 2.20.1.3.1 | Demonstration            | If the payload is not ready for a fullscale test launch, it will<br>not be flown, but it should be thoroughly tested regardless.  |
| 2.20.1.3.2 | Demonstration            | The simulated payload mass will be placed in a calculated area to best simulate the missing payload mass.   |
| 2.20.1.4   | Demonstration            | The vehicle will account for the payload's potential changes<br>to the rocket's external surface or energy during fullscale<br>test launches to ensure accurate flight data. The camera<br>system that will be used for footage during launch day will<br>be active during fullscale test launches.   |

| 2.20.1.5 | Analysis                    | If the fullscale motor is not flown during the fullscale test<br>flight, analysis will be performed via OpenRocket and<br>MATLAB with the motor used during the flight to verify<br>that major flight characteristics such as maximum velocity,<br>maximum acceleration, and maximum altitude are as close<br>to originally predicted as possible. |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 2.20.1.6 | Demonstration               | All ballast that will be used in the rocket for fullscale<br>launch will also be used during fullscale test launches. The<br>ballast needed for launch day will be confirmed by the time<br>fullscale test launches to ensure that the ballast is an<br>accurate representation for launch day's rocket.   |
| 2.20.1.7 | Inspection                  | Between the fullscale test flight and Student Launch<br>competition, the final flight vehicle will not be modified in<br>any way.  |
| 2.20.1.8 | Demonstration /<br>Analysis | The fullscale rocket will be successfully launched and<br>recovered before FRR, altimeter data from the flight will be<br>provided to prove a successful flight.   |
| 2.20.1.9 | Demonstration               | LTRL will strictly follow its Gantt charts and own<br>deadlines to ensure that the fullscale rocket can be launched<br>prior to March 6th.   |
| 2.20.2   | Inspection /<br>Analysis    | After the rocket is launched, the team will inspect each<br>payload system to confirm that it functioned properly. The<br>structural integrity of the payload will be inspected to<br>ensure that no part of the system suffered severe damages<br>during flight and that the retention system functioned as<br>intended.                          |
| 2.20.2.1 | Demonstration /<br>Analysis | The retention system will be flown in its final configuration<br>during the payload demonstration flight and the results of<br>the flight will be analyzed after recovery to ensure that the<br>system functioned properly.  |
| 2.20.2.2 | N/A                         | The payload flown will be the final, active version.   |

| 2.20.2.3 | Demonstration | The team is planning on flying the final, active version of<br>the payload on the fullscale fullscale test flight. If the team<br>cannot carry out that plan, the team is prepared to fly the<br>separate fullscale Payload Demonstration Flight. |
|----------|---------------|---|
| 2.20.2.4 | Demonstration | The team has allowed for the inclusion of a fullscale<br>payload demonstration flight in the project plan if the<br>original plan of flying the payload on the fullscale test flight<br>cannot be met.  |
| 2.21     | N/A           | An FRR-Addendum will be completed by the team if either<br>of the original fullscale demonstration flights fails.   |
| 2.21.1   | Demonstration | The team has planned for a possible fullscale demonstration<br>re-flight and allowed time in the schedule for an FRR-<br>Addendum to be competed.   |
| 2.21.2   | Demonstration | The team will complete the competition launch with an accurate payload mass simulation if the Payload Demonstration Flight cannot be successfully completed by FRR.   |
| 2.21.3   | Demonstration | The team will be prepared to present a petition to the<br>NASA RSO and Review Panel to prove the safety of the<br>payload design if a Payload Demonstration Flight cannot be<br>successfully completed by FRR.                                    |
| 2.22     | Demonstration | The rocket will be designed so that all possible<br>protuberances such as the camera cover will be located aft<br>of the burnout center of gravity.   |
| 2.23     | Demonstration | Each section of the rocket will have the appropriate contact information located in an easy-to-access location.   |
| 2.24.1   | Demonstration | The rocket will be designed so that no forward canards are necessary to the vehicle's flight or payload.  |

| 2.24.2 | Demonstration | It will be demonstrated through launch vehicle design<br>specifications and test launches that the launch vehicle does<br>not include or utilize forward firing motors.   |
|--------|---------------|---|
| 2.24.3 | Analysis      | Analysis will be conducted via OpenRocket and MATLAB<br>models to simulate the flight profile of the vehicle, and the<br>associated motor selection process will be limited to motors<br>that do not expel titanium sponges.  |
| 2.24.4 | Analysis      | Analysis will be conducted via OpenRocket and MATLAB<br>models to simulate the flight profile of the vehicle, and the<br>associated motor selection process will be limited to APCP<br>solid-fuel motors that are not of the hybrid design.   |
| 2.24.5 | Analysis      | Analysis will be conducted via OpenRocket and MATLAB<br>models to simulate the flight profile of the vehicle, and the<br>associated motor selection process will be limited to a<br>single motor that is not clustered.   |
| 2.24.6 | Demonstration | The motor tube and motor will be attached to the airframe<br>of the launch vehicle with plywood centering rings that will<br>be epoxied between the airframe and the motor tube.  |
| 2.24.7 | Analysis      | Analysis will be conducted via OpenRocket and MATLAB models to simulate the flight profile of the vehicle, and the associated motor selection process will be limited to motors that do not accelerate the vehicle past Mach 1 at any point during the flight. This will primarily be achieved by ensuring that motors with higher average thrust values are not included in the selection process. |
| 2.24.8 | Demonstration | The rocket's weight and potential ballast will be calculated<br>carefully so that a ballast no more than 10% of the rocket's<br>weight is needed. The mass of the rocket will be thoroughly<br>fleshed out by CDR so that there will be no mass issues<br>after design changes cannot be made.  |

| 2.24.9  | N/A           | The team will limit design choices of transmitters to those that do not exceed 250 mW of power.   |
|---------|---------------|---|
| 2.24.10 | Demonstration | The team will design the vehicle with this requirement in<br>mind, use of metal in the construction of the rocket will be<br>limited to the motor casing and various parts of the<br>recovery system. |

# Recovery System Requirements

| Requirement | Method of<br>Verification | Verification   |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| 3.1         | Demonstration             | Drogue parachute will deploy at apogee and main will<br>deploy at 600 ft. This will be demonstrated through<br>subscale and fullscale launch.  |
| 3.1.1       | Demonstration             | Main parachute will deploy at 600 ft. This will be demonstrated through subscale and fullscale launch.   |
| 3.1.2       | Demonstration             | Drogue parachute will deploy at apogee, and the redundant<br>altimeter will be set to a two second delay to ensure drogue<br>deployment.   |
| 3.2         | Testing                   | LTRL will ground test ejection charges prior to the first<br>subscale and fullscale launch. Ground tests will be<br>performed before each initial launch to demonstrate<br>successful ground ejection test.  |
| 3.3         | Analysis                  | Both parachutes will be correctly sized, based on<br>MATLAB and OpenRocket modeling, in order for each<br>component of the rocket to land within the kinetic energy<br>constraint of 75 ft-lbs.  |
| 3.4         | Inspection                | The recovery system, including all wiring, will be<br>completely independent of any payload retention,<br>deployment or vehicle wiring.  |
| 3.5         | Inspection                | All avionics systems will be powered by new,<br>commercially available 9V batteries.   |
| 3.6         | Inspection                | The recovery system will contain two redundant altimeters<br>with corresponding independent charges, power supplies,<br>and switches to ensure a fully redundant recovery system.<br>The selected StratologgerCF altimeters are commercially<br>available. |

| 3.7    | Inspection    | The StratologgerCF altimeter will control all the recovery system's ejection charges.   |
|--------|---------------|---|
| 3.8    | Demonstration | Removable shear pins will be installed for both the main<br>body tube and the drogue body tube to be broken by<br>ejection charges during parachute deployment.             |
| 3.9    | Analysis      | Using a MATLAB and OpenRocket simulation the drift<br>distance will be confirmed to be less than 2500 ft in up to<br>20 mph winds.  |
| 3.10   | Analysis      | Using a MATLAB and OpenRocket simulation the descent<br>time will be confirmed to be less than 90 seconds after<br>apogee.  |
| 3.11   | Inspection    | There will be a working and tested GPS unit installed in the nose cone of the rocket which will constantly send the position of the rocket to the team.                     |
| 3.11.1 | Demonstration | All sections of the rocket will be tethered to each other through a recovery harness at all times.  |
| 3.11.2 | Testing       | The GPS unit will be functional and tested prior to launch<br>on launch day. There will be a spare GPS unit in case of<br>any electronic failures before the launch.        |
| 3.12   | Inspection    | The avionics bay containing all avionics electronics will be<br>contained in a faraday cage so that it is electronically<br>shielded from any electromagnetic interference. |
| 3.12.1 | Demonstration | The recovery system will be in its own coupler, and will be isolated from all other electronic components.  |
| 3.12.2 | Testing       | The faraday cage will protect the recovery system from any<br>internal or external interference. Testing before launch will<br>confirm this requirement.                    |

|   | 3.12.3 | Testing | The faraday cage will protect the recovery system from any<br>internal or external interference. Testing before launch will<br>confirm this requirement. |
|---|--------|---------|--|
| I |        |         |  |

# Payload

| Requirement | Identification                           | Associated Plan  |
|-------------|--|--|
| 4.3.1       | Demonstration                            | A custom rover has been designed with 3D printed<br>parts and custom electronics. The rover is deployed<br>from the internal structure of the launch vehicle by<br>separating the nose cone with a black powder charge.  |
| 4.3.2       | Testing,<br>Demonstration                | A locking mechanism was developed using a rotating<br>solenoid that will rotate only when a signal to do so is<br>sent to the onboard receiver. If there is any<br>communication or electronics failure on board, the<br>rover will remain in the locked position and will not<br>be able to exit the launch vehicle, making the system<br>fail safe.                                |
| 4.3.3       | Demonstration                            | Ground station control and communication systems<br>were developed that remotely ignite a black powder<br>charge using a unique radio signal. These systems<br>were verified by two ground tests and a full scale test<br>launch.  |
| 4.3.4       | Inspection,<br>Testing,<br>Demonstration | A rover was developed that can drive using two<br>single shaft motors and is programmed to recover a<br>soil sample after traveling for 60 seconds. Testing has<br>determined that waiting 60 seconds is the best way to<br>verify that the rover is at least 10 feet away from the<br>launch vehicle. Ground tests have been conducted to<br>verify the rover's driving capability. |
| 4.3.5       | Inspection,<br>Testing,<br>Demonstration | A scooping mechanism that rotates using a servo has<br>been developed. The storage well created holds the<br>minimum of 10 milliliters. After soil has been<br>collected, tests will be performed to determine the<br>total retention volume of substrate.   |

| 4.3.6 | Demonstration,<br>Testing              | The scooping mechanism will be 3D printed to fit the tolerances of the designated compartment for the soil sample. Testing will verify that the soil sample is closed and secured within the given space.          |
|-------|--|--|
| 4.3.7 | Demonstration,<br>Testing,<br>Analysis | The batteries have been securely attached to the rover<br>and the shelves of the rotating payload bay. A custom<br>mount was developed in SolidWorks, FEA tested, and<br>printed using a Lulzbot Taz 6 3D printer. |
| 4.3.8 | Demonstration                          | Bright pink tape will be used to identify the batteries easily.  |

# Safety

| Requirement<br>Number | Requirement  | Verification  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 5.1                   | Each team will use a launch and<br>safety checklist. The final checklists<br>will be included in the FRR report<br>and used during the Launch<br>Readiness Review<br>(LRR) and any launch day<br>operations. | <b>Demonstration:</b> Comprehensive checklists will be created prior to all launches<br>and will require a lead or executive member relevant to that task to sign off after<br>the completion of that task. The checklists will be updated after each launch and<br>will be finalized and printed in the report prior to FRR. |
| 5.2                   | Each team must identify a student<br>safety officer who will be responsible<br>for all items in section 5.3.   | <b>Demonstration:</b> Ben Akhtar is the Safety Officer for the 2018-2019 season.  |
| 5.3                   | The role and responsibilities of each safety officer will include, but not limited to: Safety 5.3.1 Safety 5.3.4.  | <b>Demonstration:</b> Ben Akhtar will make sure all of these responsibilities are upheld and all rules are followed throughout the year through procedures, documents, and verification.  |

| 5.3.1 | Monitor team activities with an      | Demonstration: Leads will hold meetings every two weeks to review the         |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---|
|       | emphasis on Safety during: design,   | design and construction progress. Additionally, all constructing, testing,    |
|       | construction, assembly, and ground   | launching, and educational activities that may have any hazards involved will |
|       | testing of vehicle and payload,      | have a review of all safety requirements and necessary steps to mitigate the  |
|       | subscale and fullscale launch tests, | risk as much as possible.   |
|       | launch day, recovery activities, and |   |
|       | educational engagement activities.   |   |

# 6.5 Team Derived Requirements

Vehicle

| Requirement                               | Justification   | Verification  |
|---|---|---|
| Launch vehicle fins<br>will be removable. | The fins are often the first point of impact on the rocket<br>during landing and often break as a result. Having<br>removable fins means that if they break, the team can<br>replace them on launch day to aid in satisfying<br>Requirement 2.10. Additionally, the team will not have<br>to create a whole new booster tube every time the fins<br>break as would be the case if the fins were epoxied to<br>the motor tube and booster body tube. | The fin brackets for the launch vehicle are<br>manufactured as a separate component than the booster<br>body tube and are fastened to the body tube in a<br>modular fashion with bolts. |
| Launch fin brackets<br>will be removable. | Since the fins are often the first point of impact on the rocket during landing, the associated hardware often breaks. Having removable fin brackets means that if they break, the team can replace them on launch day to aid in satisfying Requirement 2.10. Additionally, the team will not have to create a whole new booster tube every time the fins brackets break.   | The fin brackets for the launch vehicle are designed as a separate component than the booster body tube and are fastened to the body tube in a modular fashion with bolts.              |

| Camera will be housed<br>in the launch vehicle<br>with aerodynamics in<br>mind.  | Getting down-body footage of the rocket in flight is a crucial aspect of the post-flight analysis process.   | The team will design an aerodynamic cover to<br>minimize the effect of the external camera. A 3D<br>printed camera cover will be screwed into the rocket so<br>that the camera can film without disturbing<br>aerodynamics.   |
|--|--|---|
| Maintain a circular<br>profile after laying up<br>the carbon fiber body<br>tubes | During the wrapping process, the carbon fiber layup<br>curing and the vacuum pulled on the tubes will lead to<br>stress on the mandrel. With standard aluminum<br>mandrels this is not an issue, but the hollow phenolic<br>mandrels may deform and cause the carbon fiber layups<br>to be deformed as well. | The team will test different methods of wrapping the<br>mandrel with carbon fiber to ensure that the mandrel<br>will not warp after wrapping and compressing.<br>Bulkheads will be epoxied into the hollow mandrel at<br>certain spots to help reduce stress experienced by the<br>body tube. |
| Flush cuts between<br>separation points to<br>ensure structural<br>integrity     | Non-flat cuts at the ends of body tubes can lead to<br>"wobble" in the rocket under axial loads. This causes an<br>unsafe and inefficient flight path.   | The team will test different methods of cutting the body<br>tube to ensure straight cuts and a flush body tube<br>sections.   |

| Cut screws so that they<br>will not interfere with<br>parachute deployment                     | Screws fastening airframe sections together will be cut<br>to length so they do not protrude into the body tube<br>sections holding parachutes and shock cord. Screws that<br>protrude into these sections can lacerate parachutes and<br>tangle shock cords. This could potentially prevent a safe<br>recovery of the vehicle. | Screws will be measured and cut to a length that<br>remains long enough to maintain structural integrity but<br>short enough so that they do not interfere with<br>parachute deployment. |
|--|---|--|
| Coupler length is 1.5<br>times the diameter of<br>the rocket to ensure<br>structural integrity | Coupler length can have a significant impact on the dynamic stability of the vehicle. The team believes that exceeding Requirement 2.8.1 by 50% will help improve the dynamic stability of the rocket. The team has struggled with dynamic stability in the past.   | The team will purchase couplers with a length that is at least 1.5 times the diameter and measure couplers to verify length.   |

| Rocket is designed to<br>optimize assembly<br>efficiency on launch<br>day | While adherence to requirement 2.10 ensures the rocket<br>will be assembled in under two hours on launch day, the<br>team believes even more efficient procedures can be<br>developed for use on launch day.   | When finalizing the design of the rocket, separation<br>points will be picked so that each respective subsystem<br>can work on their section of the rocket without having<br>to wait for other subsystems. Additionally, launch day<br>procedures will be created and strictly followed on<br>launch day to ensure quick assembly of the rocket. |
|---|--|--|
| Camera can start<br>recording after it is<br>fastened into the<br>rocket. | Since down body footage of the rocket in flight is such<br>a crucial aspect of post-flight analysis, it is essential<br>that the memory card in the camera system does not run<br>out of space while sitting on the pad so that the fight<br>can be successfully recorded. | The 3D-printed camera housing system will be<br>modified so that an external recording button can be<br>threaded through the rocket and accessed from the<br>outside of the rocket after full assembly.  |
| Reduce motor<br>assembly time on<br>launch day to 15<br>minutes.          | While the team takes every precaution to ensure the safe handling of hazardous material, the longer the material is being worked with, the greater the chance of an accident occurring.  | Create and follow a very detailed checklist for motor<br>assembly on launch day.   |

# Recovery

| Requirement  | Justification   | Verification  |
|--|---|---|
| Altimeters and their wiring<br>will be accessible without<br>having to unpack the<br>parachutes.                             | To ensure the assembly time on launch day is<br>under two hours.<br>In the event of an issue with the avionics bay, such<br>as a lack of continuity with the altimeters, having<br>easy access to the altimeters will additionally limit<br>time loss and inefficiency. | The avionics board is removable while the parachutes are in the rocket.   |
| Altimeters and their wiring<br>will be accessible without<br>having to disconnect sections<br>of the rocket.                 | To allow for the arming of the altimeters to be the<br>last action before the launch of the rocket the team<br>must be able to have the entire rocket assembled.  | The avionics board containing the altimeters and their<br>wiring is a separate removable component from and<br>body section of the rocket.  |
| Altimeter circuit will be able<br>to be armed while altimeters<br>are inside of the rocket.                                  | To prevent any damage to the avionics circuit<br>prior to launch the switches will be accessible<br>without having to move or adjust any flight<br>hardware.  | The switches will be located on the outward facing<br>side of the avionics board so that the altimeters will<br>remain on the internal side of the avionics board while<br>manipulating the switches. |
| Altimeter circuit will be able<br>to be disconnected while on<br>the pad without removing the<br>altimeters from the rocket. | If the rocket undergoes a malfunction on the pad<br>the first switches need to be able to be flipped off<br>without having to touch any of the avionics<br>circuitry.   | The switches will be located on the outward facing<br>side of the avionics board so that the altimeters will<br>remain on the internal side of the avionics board while<br>manipulating the switches. |
| Altimeters and batteries will<br>be allowed no relative<br>degrees of motion.  | To prevent the connecting wires from tangling or<br>disconnecting the altimeters and batteries need to<br>be motionless on the ground and during flight.  | The altimeters and batteries will be attached to the same avionics board to restrict any motion both on the ground and in flight.   |

| Altimeters and switches will<br>be allowed no relative<br>degrees of motion.   | To prevent the connecting wires from tangling or<br>disconnecting the altimeters and switches need to<br>be motionless on the ground and during flight.                                  | The altimeters and switches will be attached to the same avionics board to restrict any motion both on the ground and in flight.  |
|--|--|---|
| Altimeters and their wiring<br>will be accessible without<br>interacting with the avionics<br>bay faraday cage.                                  | To prevent any damage to the faraday cage or<br>breaking the circuit the faraday cage will be<br>isolated from the avionics system during<br>installment.                                | The faraday cage will be inserted into a slotted channel<br>in the avionics bay to prevent it being touched when<br>accessing the avionics circuitry.   |
| All load-bearing hardware in<br>the recovery system shall be<br>independent of the<br>components attached to the<br>altimeters and their wiring. | The team does not want stresses from in-flight<br>forces transmitted through the sensitive<br>electronics. They are not built to handle high loads<br>and could be disrupted or damaged. | The team will use two all-threads that will run through<br>the avionics bay and connect to the bulkheads on<br>either end. SolidWorks simulations will be run to<br>ensure the design has the loads transmitted through<br>them. The electronics will also be placed on the<br>avionics board which will rest within the avionics bay<br>and only friction fit to it. |
| The detonation of primary<br>and redundant ejection<br>charges will not occur at the<br>same time.   | To prevent overpressurization of the parachute<br>chambers which could cause structural damage the<br>redundant altimeter will trigger separation events<br>after the primary altimeter. | The redundant altimeter will be set to deploy drogue 2 seconds after apogee and main 100ft lower. There will be a ground test before launch to confirm that the redundant altimeter is not firing at the same time as the primary altimeter.  |
| The detonation of drogue<br>ejection charge will not<br>produce enough force to<br>break the shear pins in the<br>main section.                  | Main parachute must deploy after drogue is<br>deployed so the main section shear pins cannot<br>break during drogue separation event.  | Several black powder calculations measurements were<br>made and a factor of safety was taken into<br>consideration. There will be a ground test prior to<br>launch to confirm that drogue does not break main<br>shear pins.  |
| No avionics components will<br>be in the same section the<br>ejection charges pressurize.  | The avionics electronics are sensitive and may not<br>handle the pressures required to deploy the<br>parachutes.   | The parachute chambers will be separated from the<br>avionics bay chamber by a bulkhead that only has<br>holes drilled in it large enough for the initiators to fit<br>through.   |

| Parachutes will be made of fire resistant material.   | Burned parachutes cannot provide the same drag<br>as a fully functioning parachute. They will cause<br>the rocket to descend and drift in unpredictable<br>and unsafe ways.  | Both parachutes and their shroud lines are made of<br>nylon and will be wrapped in a Nomex fire blanket<br>when packed inside the parachute chambers. These<br>will be examined after a ground test prior to launch.                             |
|---|--|--|
| Shock cords will be made of fire resistant material.  | Burned shock cords may break causing the rocket<br>to split into multiple sections, some of which may<br>fall in a ballistic descent hitting the ground at<br>unsafe speeds.   | Shock cords will be half inch thick Kevlar. These will<br>be examined after a ground test prior to launch.   |
| The inside of the section of<br>body tube where a parachute<br>resides will have no<br>protrusions. | Protrusions may prevent the parachutes from<br>exiting the rocket body or damaging the parachute<br>upon exit. Any damage will cause the rocket to<br>descend and drift in unpredictable and likely<br>unsafe ways.  | Protrusions inside the parachute chambers will be filed<br>or sanded down. After a ground test the parachutes<br>will be examined for damage.  |
| Avionics bay must be<br>attached to the avionics<br>coupler section.                                | The forces from the recovery harness are<br>transferred from one bulkhead to the other through<br>the all-thread rods and do not attach to the avionics<br>body tube sections, preventing any forces from<br>directly being applied to the avionics board. | The avionics bay was epoxied to the avionics coupler with JB Weld.   |
| During descent none of the<br>body tube sections will<br>collide with each other.                   | If both the drogue and main parachutes are<br>connected the same distance from where the shock<br>cord attaches to the body tube sections the two<br>body tubes could collide with each other after the<br>deployment of both parachutes.                  | The shock cord for the main parachute has a length of 27 feet, and the parachute will be attached 2/3rds of the way up it. The shock cord for the drogue parachute has a length of 24 feet and the parachute is attached 2/3rds the way down it. |
| The GPS used for the<br>recovery of the rocket after<br>launch must be located                      | Exposing the GPS to the hot gases and pressure<br>changes from the ejection charges risks damaging<br>the GPS, making it difficult to locate the rocket<br>after its launch.   | The GPS will be located in the nose cone of the rocket<br>to ensure it is as far away from the avionics bay as<br>possible.  |

| where it is least likely to be damaged.  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| The all-thread rods must not<br>accidently complete any<br>circuit within the avionics<br>bay.                       | Exposed metal in the avionics bay provides a possible way for the ejection charges to ignite prematurely if exposed wires connected to the altimeters make contact with the metal all-thread rods.                           | Plastic shrink tubing is placed on the portion of the all-<br>thread rods located inside the avionics bay with as few<br>gaps between the shrink tubing pieces to ensure as<br>much metal is covered as possible.  |
| The GPS located in the<br>rocket must be able to<br>maintain power for at least<br>one day.                          | If the team is unable to recover the rocket right<br>after launch and the GPS loses power it will be<br>difficult to determine where the rocket is located.  | The GPS the team decided to use for the recovery of<br>the rocket is the Americaloc GL300W which has a<br>battery life of two weeks.   |
| The detonation charges will<br>not have sufficient force to<br>destroy the charge wells in<br>which they occur in.   | Destruction or damage to the charge wells creates<br>unnecessary shrapnel that may damage the<br>parachute.  | Several black powder calculations measurements were<br>made and a factor of safety was taken into<br>consideration. There will be a ground test prior to<br>launch to confirm that drogue does not break any<br>charge wells.  |
| The initiator wire will<br>remain in contact with the<br>black powder throughout the<br>flight to ensure detonation. | If the initiator wire is not ensured to remain in<br>contact with the black powder, then there is the<br>potential for the initiation spark to not detonate the<br>black powder causing a failure of the ejection<br>system. | Cellulose parachute wadding will be used to pack<br>down the black powder to the bottom of the charge<br>well and the initiator wire will be secured into place to<br>ensure constant contact. A piece of masking tape will<br>be placed on top of the charge well adding a second<br>layer of security. |
| The avionics bay door will<br>be securely attached to the<br>rocket.   | If the door to the avionics bay is not securely<br>attached to the rocket it could detach during<br>launch which poses the risk of the avionics bay<br>falling out of the rocket.  | The avionics bay door will be attached to the rocket<br>using four corner screws that penetrate through the<br>avionics bay and and into the avionics coupler,<br>securing not only the door but all avionics components<br>together.  |

| Putty and tape will be used    | Leaving the holes uncovered would allow hot         | The team will inspect the avionics bay for black |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| to sear the noies unned in the | gases to enter the aviolities bay when the ejection | powder residue arter conducting a ground test.   |
| avionics bay.                  | charges fire. This would cause a pressure change    |  |
|                                | in the avionics bay, messing with the altimeters.   |  |
|                                | The decreased pressure may not have enough force    |  |
|                                | to break the shear pins.                            |  |

## Payload

| Requirement   | Justification  | Verification  |
|---|--|---|
| Rover mass will be<br>kept under 30 oz.                         | The rover must be kept to a low mass because<br>the motors are only powerful enough to move<br>a rover under 30 oz. Additionally, this will<br>help the launch vehicle meet the expected<br>altitude requirement.  | Lightweight materials, primarily PLA plastic with low infill<br>density have been used. Only necessary amounts of wire and<br>required sensors and electronics for the mission have been<br>used. The containment electronics have been designed to be<br>external to the rover body. |
| Total payload mass<br>will be kept under 50<br>oz.              | This mass has been agreed upon by both the<br>payload and structures subsystems to be the<br>maximum allotted mass for the payload<br>section of the rocket. Meeting this<br>requirement, will allow the rocket to reach its<br>target altitude.                                 | To minimize the amount of wasted mass in the payload<br>section, lightweight materials including PLA plastic have<br>been used. Additionally, infill densities have been<br>optimized to avoid any unnecessary mass.  |
| Upon landing, the<br>rover will be in its<br>proper orientation | The rover must exit the vehicle upright so that<br>clearances can be maximized. Previous design<br>attempted to design a rover capable of driving<br>regardless of its landing orientation but this<br>restricted the amount of clearance the rover<br>could have in loose soil. | A rotating containment mechanism using gravity to move<br>to the correct orientation has been created.<br>Communications electronics are to be used as<br>counterweight to pull the shelves to their correct<br>orientations.   |

| Keep rover and rocket<br>communications<br>electronics<br>independent. | This will minimize the chance of failure due<br>to a short circuit and keep the electronics<br>relatively simple to solder and integrate. | Separate communications systems for the rover and<br>containment mechanism have been created. The rocket<br>features its communications system on the shelf that will<br>be controlling the initiator and solenoid. The rover has a<br>communications system which listens for the drive signal<br>and only controls driving and soil sample recovery. |
|--|---|--|
|  |   |  |

# Safety

| Requirement<br>Number | Requirement   | Verification   | Justification   |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Safety 1.1            | Provide the team with PPE<br>requirements, SDS, machine<br>instructions, FAA laws, and NAR<br>and TRA regulations.                                | <b><u>Demonstration</u></b><br>The Safety Officer must keep all<br>documents available to all team members<br>in the lab to be accessed at any time.   | To ensure the team is able to<br>protect themselves from harmful<br>particles and can easily access<br>important rules and regulation if<br>they have any questions.  |
| Safety 1.2            | Require and confirm that all team<br>members have completed the Lab<br>Safety and Hazards Awareness<br>training course provided by Penn<br>State. | <b>Demonstration</b><br>The Safety Officer will collect physical<br>copies of the completed quizzes in the lab,<br>displaying the member has completed and<br>passed in the course. Additionally, the<br>Safety Officer will keep a electronic<br>database of every person who has and has<br>not completed their safety training.                         | To ensure that all team members<br>have completed necessary safety<br>training and are ready to<br>participate in a potentially<br>hazardous environment. Also, to<br>ensure the Safety Officer knows<br>who is not allowed in the lab if<br>they have not completed the<br>proper safety training. |
| Safety 1.3            | Identify safety violations and take<br>appropriate action to correct them.  | <b>Demonstration</b><br>Team members that violate the safety<br>requirements set forth by NASA, the<br>University, the NRA, the Safety Officer, or<br>any other relevant governing body shall not<br>be allowed to work in the lab or attend<br>launches until they meet with the Safety<br>Officer and agree to comply with all rules<br>and regulations. | To ensure proper safety<br>techniques are followed and that<br>all rules are rigidly followed. This<br>creates a safe environment for the<br>team to work in.   |

| Safety 1.4 | Participate in preparations of testing<br>and the testing to ensure that risks are<br>mitigated.  | <b>Demonstration</b><br>The Safety Officer must approve and<br>sign off on each testing procedure<br>before it occurs.  | The Safety Officer must<br>understand the risks of the testing<br>so as to adequately assess<br>whether or not it is safe to<br>conduct. This ensures safety for<br>all team members and creates a<br>safety-first attitude.   |
|------------|---|---|--|
| Safety 1.5 | Enforce proper use of PPE during<br>manufacturing, construction, testing,<br>and launch of the rocket.  | <b>Inspection</b><br>The Safety Officer will oversee these<br>processes. If the Safety Officer cannot<br>attend and supervise, a lead or executive<br>member that is qualified will supervise in<br>the Safety Officer's absence. | To ensure all team members<br>remain safe during all phases of<br>the competition and reduce the<br>severity or likelihood of being<br>harmed.   |
| Safety 1.6 | Create a Safety manual throughout<br>the season that will be completed by<br>the end of this season and be<br>implemented next year. The Safety<br>manual will include NAR and TRA<br>rules and regulations along with<br>FAA, federal, state, and local<br>regulations relevant to LTRL.<br>Additionally, it will include any PPE<br>requirements and other requirements<br>to work in the lab as determined by<br>the Safety Officer and the team.<br>Finally, it will include all SDS for<br>materials stored in the lab or used by<br>the team. | <b>Demonstration</b><br>The Safety Officer will work with other<br>members of the team to effectively<br>create a safety manual throughout the<br>year and will give updates at each leads<br>meeting.                            | To proper store and file all<br>necessary rules and regulations<br>regarding the USLI competition<br>and have easy access to the<br>documents. Additionally, to<br>ensure members have access to<br>any policies at all times and the<br>team can verify if they are in<br>compliance with all rules at any<br>time. |

## 6.6 Gantt Charts

Student Launch Gantt Chart

#### LionTech Rocket Labs Gantt Chart

| CLUB NAME | CLUB NAME | Liontech Rocket Labs |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| DATE      | DATE      | 3/3/19               |

| LionTeo                      | LionTech Rocket Labs |                  |              |                    |                             | 18   | 18   | 18    | 18    | 18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18  | /18   | /18   | 19   | 19    | /19   | 19   | 19    | 19    | /19   | 61/   | 19   | 19   | /19   |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| 2018-2019 Stud               | ent Launcl           | n Gantt C        | Chart        |                    | Actual Date<br>Planned Date | 9/2/ | /6/6 | 9/16/ | 9/23/ | 10/7 | 10/14 | 10/21 | 10/28 | 11/11 | 11/18 | 12/21 | 12/9 | 12/16 | 12/23 | 1/6/ | 1/13/ | 1/20/ | 2/3/ | 2/10/ | 2/17/ | 2/24/ | 3/10/ | 3/17 | 3/24 | 3/31/ |
| Project Milestones           | Plan Start           | Plan<br>Duration | Actual Start | Actual<br>Duration | Progress                    | 1    | 2    | 3     | 45    | 6    | 7     | 8     | 9 10  | 11    | 12 1  | 3 14  | 4 15 | 16    | 17 1  | 8 19 | 20    | 21 2  | 2 23 | 3 24  | 25    | 26 2  | 7 2   | 8 29 | 30   | 31    |
| Fullscale Initial Design     | 9/2/18               | 2 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 2 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Payload Initial Design       | 9/2/18               | 2 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 2 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Proposal                     | 9/2/18               | 3 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Subscale Design              | 9/9/18               | 2 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 3 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Payload Design               | 9/16/18              | 8 weeks          | 9/16/18      | 8 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Subscale Construction        | 9/23/18              | 3 weeks          | 9/16/18      | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| PDR                          | 9/23/18              | 4 weeks          | 10/21/18     | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Subscale Flight              | 11/4/18              | 1 week           | 11/4/18      | 1 week             | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Redesign                     | 11/5/18              | 1 week           | 11/5/18      | 1 week             | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| CDR                          | 11/4/18              | 8 weeks          | 11/4/18      | 8 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Fullscale Construction       | 1/5/19               | 5 weeks          | 1/5/19       | 6 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Fullscale Testing            | 1/20/19              | 7 weeks          | 1/20/19      | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Payload Constuction          | 1/12/19              | 12 weeks         | 1/12/19      | 8 weeks            | 67%                         |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| FRR                          | 1/13/19              | 8 weeks          | 1/13/19      | 8 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Vehicle Demonstration Launch | 2/10/19              | 1 week           | 2/10/19      | 1 week             | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Payload Integration          | 2/17/19              | 6 weeks          | 2/17/19      | 3 weeks            | 50%                         |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Competition Preparation      | 3/3/19               | 5 weeks          | NA           | NA                 | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| LRR                          | 3/31/19              | 1 week           | NA           | NA                 | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |
| Competition Launch           | 3/31/19              | 1 week           | N/A          | N/A                | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |

## Structures and Propulsion Gantt Chart

#### Structures 2018-2019

Student Launch Gantt Chart

 CLUB NAME
 Liontech Rocket Labs

 DATE
 1/11/19

| Structur                   | Structures / Propulsion |                  |              |                    | gend                        | 18   | 18   | 18    | 18    | 18   | /18   | 18    | 18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | /18   | 19   | 19   | (19   | 19   | (1)  | (19  | 19   | 19   | (19  | 19    | 19   | 19   |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| 2018-2019 Stud             | lent Launc              | h Gantt (        | Chart        |                    | Actual Date<br>Planned Date | 9/2/ | /6/6 | 9/16/ | 9/30/ | 10/7 | 10/14 | 10/28 | 11/4 | 11/11 | 11/25 | 12/2/ | 12/9/ | 12/23 | 12/30 | 1/6/ | 1/20 | 1/27/ | 2/3/ | 2/17 | 2/24 | 3/3/ | 3/17 | 3/24 | 3/31/ | 4/7/ | 4/21 |
| Technical Builds           | Plan Start              | Plan<br>Duration | Actual Start | Actual<br>Duration | Progress                    | 1    | 2    | 34    | - 5   | 6    | 7 8   | B 9   | 10   | 11 1  | 2 13  | 14    | 15 1  | 6 17  | 18    | 19 2 | 0 21 | 22    | 23 2 | 4 25 | 5 26 | 27 2 | 8 29 | 30   | 31 3  | 32 3 | 3 34 |
| Preliminary Vehicle Design | 9/2/18                  | 2 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 2 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Subscale Design            | 9/9/18                  | 2 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 3 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Subscale Construction      | 9/23/18                 | 3 weeks          | 9/16/18      | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Subscale Testing           | 9/30/18                 | 5 weeks          | 10/7/18      | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Subscale Flight Analysis   | 11/4/18                 | 2 weeks          | 11/4/18      | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Fullscale Desgin           | 11/4/18                 | 9 weeks          | 11/4/18      | 8 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Fullscale Construction     | 12/2/18                 | 5 weeks          | 12/2/18      | 5 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Fulls cale Testing         | 12/30/18                | 7 weeks          | 12/30/18     | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Fullscale Flight Analysis  | 2/10/19                 | 6 weeks          | 2/10/19      | 3 weeks            | 50%                         |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Competition Launch         | 4/7/19                  | 1 week           | N/A          | N/A                | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Reports                    |                         |                  |              |                    |                             |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| Proposal                   | 9/2/18                  | 3 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| PDR                        | 9/23/18                 | 4 weeks          | 10/21/18     | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| CDR                        | 11/4/18                 | 8 weeks          | 11/4/18      | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| FRR                        | 1/13/19                 | 7 weeks          | 1/13/19      | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |
| PLAR                       | 4/14/19                 | 2 weeks          | NA           | NA                 | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |

## Avionics and Recovery Gantt Chart

#### Avionics & Recovery 2018-2019

USLI Gantt Chart

 CLUB NAME
 Liontech Rocket Labs

 DATE
 10/21/18

| Avionics a                                   | nd Reco    | very             |              | Le                 | gend                        | 18   | 18   | /18  | /18   | /18  | /18   | /18   | /18  | /18   | /18   | /18  | /18  | /18   | /18   | 19   | /19  | (19   | 19   | 10   | (19  | /19  | 19   | 19   | /19  | /19  | 19   | '19  |
|--|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 2018-2019                                    | SLI Gant   | t Chart          |              |                    | Actual Date<br>Planned Date | 9/2/ | /6/6 | 9/16 | 9/23/ | 10/7 | 10/14 | 12/01 | 11/4 | 11/11 | 11/18 | 12/2 | 12/9 | 12/16 | 12/23 | /9/T | 1/13 | 1/20/ | 1/2/ | /c/7 | 2/17 | 2/24 | 3/3/ | 3/17 | 3/24 | 3/31 | 4/7/ | 4/21 |
| Technical Builds                             | Plan Start | Plan<br>Duration | Actual Start | Actual<br>Duration | Progress                    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 45    | 6    | 7 8   | 8 9   | 9 10 | 11    | 12 13 | 3 14 | 15   | 16    | 17 1  | 8 19 | 9 20 | 21    | 22 2 | 3 24 | 25   | 26   | 27 2 | 8 29 | 30   | 31   | 32 3 | 3 34 |
| Preliminary Recovery System Concept          | 9/2/18     | 4 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Subscale AV Bay Design                       | 9/2/18     | 4 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 3 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Subscale Parachute Selection                 | 9/9/18     | 3 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 3 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Subscale AV Bay Construction                 | 9/23/18    | 5 weeks          | 9/23/18      | 5 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Subscale Recovery System Testing             | 9/30/18    | 6 weeks          | 10/14/18     | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Final Subscale Recovery System Verification  | 10/28/18   | 2 weeks          | 11/4/18      | 1 week             | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Fullscale AV Bay Desgin                      | 11/11/18   | 9 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 12 weeks           | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Fullscale Parachute Selection                | 12/2/18    | 5 weeks          | 9/23/18      | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Fullscale AV Bay Construction                | 12/30/18   | 7 weeks          | 1/6/19       | 6 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Fullscale Recovery System Testing            | 1/27/19    | 9 weeks          | 2/13/19      | 5 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Final Fullscale Recovery System Verification | 3/24/19    | 2 weeks          | 2/27/19      | 3 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| USLI Launch                                  | 4/7/19     | 1 week           | NA           | NA                 | 0%                          |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Reports                                      |            |                  |              |                    |                             |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Proposal                                     | 9/2/18     | 4 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 4 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| PDR  | 9/30/18    | 7 weeks          | 9/30/18      | 7 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       | -    |       |       |      |       |       |      | 0    |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CDR  | 11/18/18   | 8 weeks          | 11/18/18     | 8 weeks            | 100%                        |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| FRR  | 1/13/19    | 11 weeks         | NA           | NA                 | 99%                         |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| PLAR   | 4/14/19    | 2 weeks          | NA           | NA                 | 0%                          |      |      |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

\_\_\_\_\_

## Payload Gantt Chart

Payload 2018-2019

Student Launch Gantt Chart

CLUB NAME Liontech Rocket Labs

|                              | Payload         2018-2019 Student Launch Gantt Ch         nical Builds       Plan Start       Plan<br>Duration         ninary Vehicle Design       9/2/18       2 weeks |                  |              | Leg                     | gend                        | 18   | 18   | 18    | 18    | 18    | /18   | 18    | 18    | /18   | /18   | 18    | '18   | /18   | /18   | 61   | 19    | 19    | 19    | 19    | 19    | 19    | 19            | 19    | 19    | 61 61 | 19   | 19    |
|------------------------------|---|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 2018-2019 Stu                | dent Launcl   | h Gantt C        | Chart        | Actual Working<br>Weeks | Actual Date<br>Planned Date | 9/2/ | /6/6 | 9/16/ | 6/30/ | 10/7/ | 10/14 | 12/01 | 11/4/ | 11/11 | 11/18 | 12/2/ | 12/9/ | 12/16 | 12/23 | 1/6/ | 1/13/ | 1/20/ | 2/3/  | 2/10/ | 2/17/ | 2/24/ | 3/3/<br>3/10/ | 3/17/ | 3/24/ | 3/31/ | 4/1/ | 4/21/ |
| Technical Builds             | Plan Start  | Plan<br>Duration | Actual Start | Actual<br>Duration      | Progress                    | 1    | 2    | 34    | ł 5   | 6     | 7     | 8 9   | ) 10  | 11    | 12 1  | 3 14  | 15    | 16    | 17 1  | 8 19 | 20    | 21    | 22 23 | 24    | 25    | 26 2  | 27 28         | 3 29  | 30 3  | 31 3  | 2 33 | 34    |
| Preliminary Vehicle Design   | 9/2/18  | 2 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 2 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Equipment Selection          | 9/9/18  | 2 weeks          | 9/9/18       | 4 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Motor Selection/Tread Design | 10/7/18   | 3 weeks          | 10/7/18      | 5 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Drive Train Design           | 10/7/18   | 5 weeks          | 10/7/18      | 8 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Assembly of Rover            | 11/18/18  | 4 weeks          | 11/25/18     | 5 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Complete Software            | 11/18/18  | 9 weeks          | 11/18/18     | 8 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Consolodate Modules          | 12/9/18   | 6 weeks          | 12/16/18     | 6 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Test Subsystems of Rover     | 1/6/19  | 7 weeks          | 1/6/19       | 8 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Test Ful Assembly of Rover   | 2/17/19   | 6 weeks          | 2/17/19      | N/A                     | 75%                         |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Pre Launch Modifications     | 3/31/19   | 1 week           | N/A          | N/A                     | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Reports                      |   |                  |              |                         |                             |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| Proposal                     | 9/2/18  | 3 weeks          | 9/2/18       | 4 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| PDR                          | 9/23/18   | 4 weeks          | 10/21/18     | 4 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| CDR                          | 11/4/18   | 8 weeks          | 11/11/18     | 10 weeks                | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| FRR                          | 1/13/19   | 11 weeks         | 1/13/19      | 8 weeks                 | 100%                        |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
| PLAR                         | 4/14/19   | 2 weeks          | NA           | NA                      | 0%                          |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |      |       |
## 6.7 Budget

Table 26 displays the expected costs of the 2018-2019 with the updated design. This table includes every individual item that has been purchased so far and all of the projected costs.

| Fullscale  |          |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Payload  | Quantity | Per item | Total    |
| Radio  | 1        |          |          |
| Soldering Iron and Soldering wire  | 1        | \$58.22  | \$58.22  |
| Stainless Steel Tubing   | 1        |          |          |
| Dual Shaft Motor   | 1        | \$7.62   | \$7.62   |
| DC Motors  | 3        | \$13.02  | \$39.06  |
| Steel #2-56 x <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> " Phillips Head Machine Screws | 1        | \$2.15   | \$2.35   |
| Steel #2-56 x 3/8" Phillips Head Machine Screws1\$2.35                   |          | \$2.35   |          |
| LoRa RFM9x Radio   | 1        | \$19.00  | \$19.00  |
| Miscellaneous  | 1        | \$100.00 | \$100.00 |
| Structures   |          |          |          |
| 6.0" Fiberglass 4:1 Ogive Nosecone                                       | 1        | \$149.95 | \$149.95 |
| 6.0" Fiberglass Coupler  | 1        | \$69.13  | \$69.13  |
| 6.0" Blue Tube Couplers  | 2        | \$19.95  | \$39.90  |
| 3K Plain Weave Carbon Fiber Wrapping                                     | 2        | \$249.95 | \$499.90 |
| Low Temperature Release Film   | 2        | \$14.95  | \$29.90  |
| Vacuum tubing  | 1        | \$1.55   | \$1.55   |
| Vacuum Connectors  | 1        | \$5.25   | \$5.25   |
| 2 Quart Resin Trap   | 1        | \$129.95 | \$129.95 |
| 1.5" Rail Buttons  | 1        | \$4.65   | \$4.65   |
| Center Rings 75mm to 6.00"   | 3        | \$13.55  | \$40.65  |

Table 26. Expected Outflow for 2018-2019

| 3.0" Fiberglass Motor Tube                                     | 1                     | \$50.00        | \$50.00   |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|--|
| Plywood Bulkheads  | 11                    | \$8.93         | \$98.23   |  |
| 3.0" G12 Coupler   | 1                     | \$15.00        | \$15.00   |  |
| 6.0" Body Tube Full Length Coupler                             | 1                     | \$66.95        | \$66.95   |  |
| Pimoroni LiPo SHIM   | 1                     | \$9.95         | \$9.95    |  |
| Lithium Ion Battery Pack                                       | 1                     | \$19.95        | \$19.95   |  |
| LiPo Charging Board for USB                                    | 1                     | \$6.95         | \$6.95    |  |
| UPS Shipping   | 1                     | \$10.15        | \$10.15   |  |
| Lithium Ion Battery (4400 mAh)                                 | 1                     | \$19.95        | \$19.95   |  |
| 64GB Micro-SD Card   | 1                     | \$13.99        | \$13.99   |  |
| Red Oak Sheet of Lumber  | 2                     | \$12.49        | \$24.98   |  |
| 18-8 Stainless #6 x <sup>1</sup> /2" Phillips Head Wood Screws | 2                     | \$4.33         | \$8.66    |  |
| 18-8 Stainless #6 x <sup>3</sup> /4" Phillips Head Wood Screws | 1                     | \$5.45         | \$5.45    |  |
| 18-8 Stainless #6-32 x 1" Phillips Head Wood Screws            | 1                     | \$6.62         | \$6.62    |  |
| Steel #2-56 Hex Nuts   | 2                     | \$1.00         | \$2.00    |  |
| 18-8 Stainless #6-32 Hex Nuts                                  | 1                     | \$3.40         | \$3.40    |  |
| Avionics and Recovery  | Avionics and Recovery |                |           |  |
| GPS Subscription   | 1                     | \$65.00        | \$65.00   |  |
| 9V Battery Clips   | 3                     | \$5.39         | \$16.17   |  |
| Toggle Switch with Blue Cover                                  | 3                     | \$2.95         | \$8.85    |  |
| Mini Toggle Switch   | 10                    | \$0.95         | \$9.50    |  |
| Propulsion   |                       |                |           |  |
| Aero Pack 75mm Retainer  | 1                     | \$53.74        | \$53.74   |  |
| L-Class Motor  | 2                     | \$300.00       | \$600.00  |  |
|  | F                     | ullscale Total | \$2031.85 |  |
| Subscale   |                       |                |           |  |

| Structures                         |   |          |          |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|----------|
| 75mm Blue Tube Full Length Coupler | 1 | \$31.95  | \$31.95  |
| Coupler Bulkhead Disk 75mm         | 5 | \$3.83   | \$19.15  |
| PVA Release Form                   | 1 | \$10.75  | \$10.75  |
| 60 Minute Pot Life Hardener        | 1 | \$44.95  | \$44.95  |
| Receipt Paper                      | 1 | \$19.99  | \$19.99  |
| Plastic Scrapers                   | 1 | \$2.99   | \$2.99   |
| Vacuum Connector                   | 1 | \$4.95   | \$4.95   |
| Vacuum Tubing                      | 3 | \$1.45   | \$4.35   |
| Plumber's Tape                     | 1 | \$3.95   | \$3.95   |
| Nylon Bagging Film                 | 1 | \$24.95  | \$24.95  |
| Low Temperature Release Film       | 1 | \$29.95  | \$29.95  |
| Breather and Bleeder Cloth         | 1 | \$24.95  | \$24.95  |
| Nylon Release Peel Ply             | 1 | \$39.95  | \$39.95  |
| Sealant Tape (581-A)               | 1 | \$10.95  | \$10.95  |
| 75mm Blue Tube Coupler             | 1 | \$9.95   | \$9.95   |
| Carbon Fiber Fabric (530-C)        | 1 | \$249.95 | \$249.95 |
| Centering Rings 54mm to 75mm       | 3 | \$7.30   | \$21.90  |
| Tube Bulkhead Disk 75mm            | 6 | \$3.83   | \$22.98  |
| Coupler Bulkhead Disk 75mm         | 5 | \$3.83   | \$19.15  |
| Aeropak 54mm Retainer - L          | 1 | \$31.03  | \$31.03  |
| Coupler Bulkhead Disk 6.0          | 5 | \$8.93   | \$44.65  |
| 75mm Blue Tube Coupler             | 2 | \$10.65  | \$21.30  |
| Shipping Expenses                  | 1 | \$100    | \$100    |
| Propulsion                         |   |          |          |

| Cesaroni J293BS                           | 1 | \$73.00       | \$73.00    |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
|   | S | ubscale Total | \$867.69   |
| Travel                                    |   |               |            |
| Expected Hotel Costs - 2 Queen Bed Suites | 6 | \$500.00      | \$3,000.00 |
| Hotel Tax                                 | 1 | \$550.00      | \$550.00   |
| Minivan Car Rentals                       | 5 | \$400.00      | \$2,000.00 |
| Fuel Costs - Alabama Trip                 | 5 | \$140.00      | \$700.00   |
| Fuel Costs - Fullscale                    | 1 | \$400.00      | \$400.00   |
| Fuel Costs - Subscale Launch              | 1 | \$100.00      | \$100.00   |
|   |   | Travel Total  | \$6,750.00 |
| Outreach                                  |   |               |            |
| Miscellaneous Supplies                    | 1 | \$300.00      | \$300.00   |
|   | O | utreach Total | \$300.00   |
|   |   | Final Total   | 10,949.54  |

In Table 26, the expected costs are broken up by fullscale, subscale, travel, outreach, and miscellaneous supplies and equipment. Fullscale and subscale are both broken up by subsystems. Subscale only lists purchased items from structures and propulsion because they are the only subsystems that bought new materials, as payload and avionics and recovery used equipment from the lab. Fullscale is a combination between purchased items and expected costs of items. Since the fullscale rocket is still being worked on, the subtotal for fullscale is not yet finalized. Travel continues to be the most expensive subsection. The estimates are becoming more accurate since the Alabama trip is approaching and this gives the club the opportunity to find specific estimates for the actual dates of the trip. Outreach costs contribute to the club's budget as miscellaneous supplies is necessary to host certain outreach events. Miscellaneous supplies and equipment are expenditures that are common use items in the lab. Most of these items are shared

amongst subsystems, so these costs are noted under this header. Table 27 gives an overall outlook on where the club's funds are going for the 2018-2019 school year.

| Budget        | Total Cost  |
|---------------|-------------|
| Fullscale     | \$2,353.78  |
| Subscale      | \$867.69    |
| Travel        | \$6,750.00  |
| Outreach      | \$300.00    |
| Miscellaneous | \$500.00    |
| Total         | \$10,771.47 |

## Table 27. Overall Outflow

Table 27 shows the total cost of each subsection from Table 26. This gives a better viewpoint of where the club's funds are going. The outflow shown in Table 27 can also be seen in chart form in Figure 61. Travel and fullscale continue to be the most expensive. Since the club tries to take as many students to Alabama as possible, a large amount of transportation and housing is necessary. Fullscale is also costly due to the large sized rocket and having proper equipment and materials to ensure the success of the rocket.



**Figure 61. Expected Outflow** 

## 6.8 Funding

|           | 0        |        |     |           |
|-----------|----------|--------|-----|-----------|
| Table 28. | Expected | Inflow | for | 2018-2019 |

| Source of Funds                              | Received Funds |
|--|----------------|
| Penn State College of Engineering            | \$1,000.00     |
| Penn State Aerospace Engineering Department  | \$2,000.00     |
| Penn State Mechanical Engineering Department | \$1,500.00     |
| University Park Allocations Committee (UPAC) | \$10,000.00    |
| Club Fundraising                             | \$1,250.00     |
| Pennsylvania Space Grant                     | \$2,000.00     |
| The Boeing Company                           | \$500.00       |
| Northrop Grumman                             | \$200.00       |
| Total  | \$18,450.00    |

Table 28 shows the club's current funding plan. The club believes to have ample funding needed in order to complete our mission. The College of Engineering has donated to the club \$1,000.00. Penn State's Aerospace Engineering Department has promised to give the club the club monetary donation of \$2,000.00 as well as the continued donation of giving the club lab space. The PSU Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering Department has donated \$1,500.00 to LTRL. The club expects to get \$10,000.00 in funding from University Park Allocations Committee (UPAC). \$5,000.00 will go towards equipment purchases and \$5,000.00 will go towards travel funds. UPAC is a university sponsored club that helps other organizations financially. Club fundraising accounts for the money the club itself brought about. This is mainly through dues as well as any other fundraising opportunities that may come about. The club has raised \$1,250.00 so far by collecting dues. The Boeing Company has continued their sponsorship in giving \$500.00 to LTRL. Additionally, this year, the Student Launch sponsor, Northrop Grumman has promised to give \$200.00 to each SLI team. In order to better visualize the club's funding, the chart shown in Figure 62 displays the expected inflow.



Figure 62. Expected Inflow

# 7. Appendix A: MSDS Sheets



### **GHS SAFETY DATA SHEET (SDS)**

SECTION 1 - PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

PRODUCT: Part #2000 System 2000 Epoxy Resin

FIBRE GLAST DEVELOPMENTS CORP. 385 CARR DRIVE BROOKVILLE, OH 45309 TELEPHONE: (937) 833-5200 FAX: (937) 833-6555 FOR CHEMICAL EMERGENCY CALL (801) 629-0667 24 HRS.

**RECOMMENDED USE:** Industrial Epoxy Resin supplied exclusively for workplace use.

|  | SECTION 2 – HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION  |                             |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| GHS CLASSIFICATION                           |   |                             |
| Eye Irritation                               | : Category 2B   |                             |
| Acute Toxicity<br>(Oral)                     | : Category 5  |                             |
| Skin Irritation                              | : Category 2  |                             |
| Skin Sensitizer                              | : Category 1  |                             |
| Respiratory Irritation                       | : STOT SE3  |                             |
| <b>GHS Label Element</b><br>Hazard pictogram | :   |                             |
|  |   |                             |
| Signal Word                                  | : Warning   |                             |
| Hazard statements                            | : H320 Causes eye irritation.<br>H303 May be harmful if swallowed.<br>H315 Causes skin irritation.<br>H317 May cause an allergic skin reaction. |                             |
|  |   | PDCT-SDS-00130 Nersion 1 01 |

PDCT-SDS-00130 [Version 1.01] Page 1 of 6

## Full SDS: https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.fibreglast.com/downloads/PDCT-SDS-00130.pdf

## Epoxy Hardener SDS



## **GHS SAFETY DATA SHEET (SDS)**

#### SECTION 1 - PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

PRODUCT: Part #2060 Epoxy Hardener

FIBRE GLAST DEVELOPMENTS CORP. 385 CARR DRIVE BROOKVILLE, OH 45309 TELEPHONE: (937) 833-5200 FAX: (937) 833-6555 FOR CHEMICAL EMERGENCY CALL (801) 629-0667 24 HRS.

**RECOMMENDED USE:** Industrial Curing Agent supplied exclusively for workplace use.

|   | SECTION 2 - HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION   |
|---|--|
| GHS CLASSIFICATION                      |  |
| Eye Damage                              | : Category 1   |
| Acute Toxicity<br>(Oral and Inhalation) | : Category 4   |
| Skin Sensitizer                         | : Category 1   |
| GHS Label Element<br>Hazard pictograms  |  |
| Signal Word                             | : Danger   |
| Hazard statements                       | : H318 Causes serious eye damage.<br>H302+332 Harmful if swallowed, or if inhaled.<br>H317 May cause an allergic skin reaction.  |
| Precautionary statements                | : P202 Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read/understood.<br>P261 Avoid breathing dust/fume/gas/mist/vapours/spray.<br>P270 Do not eat, drink or smoke when using this product.<br>P281 Use personal protective equipment as required.<br>P285 In case of inadequate ventilation wear respiratory protection.<br>P273 Avoid release to the environment. |

#### PDCT-SDS-00132 [Version 1.02] Page 1 of 6

## Full SDS: https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.fibreglast.com/downloads/PDCT-SDS-00132.pdf

## Black Powder SDS

## SAFETY DATA SHEET-BLACK POWDER

| Section 1. Identification   |   |   |                           |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Product Identifier: Black P   | lowder (includes all grades)  |   |                           |
| Manufacturer's Name: GO   | NEX Dowder Inc  | Informational Telephone Nu  | nhor 1 (318) 382 0300     |
| Manufacturer's Name: GOEA Powder, Inc.  |   | Emorg Phone Number 1 (20  | 0) 255 3924 (Cham Tal)    |
| Address: P.O. Dox 659   |   | Emerg. Phone Pumber, 1-(60  | 0) 255-5924 (Chem 1er)    |
| Recommended Use: for us   | se in competitive and recreational  | shooting, muzzleloading hunting and the U.S. Mi   | litary                    |
| Section 2: Hazard(s) Ident  | tification  |   |                           |
| Hererd category   | Signal Word   | Herord statement  | Piatogram                 |
| Division 1.1  | Dancar  | Furthering mass employion barard  |                           |
| DIVISION 1.1  | Danger  | Explosive, mass explosion nazard  | the                       |
|   |   |   |                           |
|   |   |   | $\sim$                    |
| Target Organ Warning:   | Above OSHA levels, chronic e  | xposure may cause skin irritation and damage to   | the respiratory           |
| 8 8 9   | system, and acute exposure can  | a cause skin, eye, and respiratory irritation.  | 1 ,                       |
| Section 3: Composition/ir   | nformation on ingredients   |   |                           |
| Con   | nponent   | CAS-Number  | Weight %                  |
| Charcoal  |   | 16291-96-6  | 8-18%                     |
| Sulfur  |   | 7704-34-9   | 9-20%                     |
| Potassium Nitrate   |   | 7757-79-1   | 70-76%                    |
| Graphite (note: not contained in all grades of black powder) 7782-42-5 <1%                |   |   | <1%                       |
| Section 4: First-aid measu  | res   |   |                           |
| Ingestion:  | * Not a likely route of exposure  | e. If ingested, dilute by giving two glasses of wat   | er and induce vomiting.   |
| 0   | Avoid, when possible and co   | ntact a Poison control center for advice on treatm  | nent, if unsure.          |
| Eye Contact:  | * Not a likely route of exposure  | * Not a likely route of exposure. Flush eyes with water.  |                           |
| Inhalation:   | * Remove patient from area to   | * Remove patient from area to fresh air. If not breathing, give artificial respiration, preferably by mouth |                           |
|   | to mouth. If breathing is difficult, give oxygen. Seek prompt medical attention. Avoid when possible.     |   |                           |
| Skin Contact:   | * wash the affected area with copious amounts of water. Some persons may be sensitive to product.         |   |                           |
| Injury from detonation:   | * Seek prompt medical attentio  | * Seek prompt medical attention immediately.  |                           |
| Note to Physician:  | * Treat symptomatically.  |   |                           |
| Section 5: Fire-fighting me   | easures   |   |                           |
| Extinguishing media:  | * Water may be used as the ext  | inguishing method. DO NOT FIGHT EXPLOS  | SIVES FIRES. Evacuate the |
|   | area according to Emergency R   | esponse Guide 112 guidelines. Isolate the area a  | nd guard against any      |
|   | intruders.  |   |                           |
| Special Procedures:   | * Black Powder is extremely fla   | ammable and may deflagrate. Get away and evac   | date the area.            |
| Unusual Hazards:  | * As with any pyrotechnic, if under confinement or piled in slight confinement, Black Powder can explode. |   |                           |
|   | No known toxic fumes are em   | itted, but good ventilation should still be present   |                           |
| Flash Poin  | t: not applicable.  |   |                           |
| Auto ignition Temp  | p: Approximate range: 392° -867 <sup>0</sup>  | <sup>3</sup> F /(200°-464°C)  |                           |
| NFPA Rating   | s: Health=1   | Flammability=3 Reactiv  | ity=1                     |
| Advice and PPE for Firefig  | shters: * Fires involvin  | ng Black Powder should not be fought unless exti  | nguishing media can be    |
| applied from a well protected and distant location from the point of fire. Self-contained |   |   |                           |
|   | breatning appar<br>Response Guid  | tatus (SCDA) and protective clothing must be wo<br>le 112. Wash all clothes prior to reuse.                 | m. Follow Emergency       |

Full SDS: <u>https://goexpowder.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/sds-sheets-goex-black-powder.pdf</u>

## **Carbon Fiber SDS**



GHS SAFETY DATA SHEET (SDS)

#### SECTION 1 - PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

PRODUCT: Part #530 - 3K Plain Weave Carbon Fiber Fabric

FIBRE GLAST DEVELOPMENTS CORP. 385 Carr Drive BROOKVILLE, OH 45309

TELEPHONE: (937) 833-5200 FAX: (937) 833-6555 FOR CHEMICAL EMERGENCY CALL (801) 629-0667 24 HRS.

**RECOMMENDED USE:** Standard Composite Manufacturing

### SECTION 2 – HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

| Classification of the substance or mixtu | Ire  |
|--|--|
| OSHA Regulatory Status                   | : This Product is Not Hazardous under the OSHA Hazard<br>Communication Standard. |
| Physical Hazards                         | : Combustible Dust - USH01   |
| Health Hazards                           | : Not Classified   |
| Environmental Hazards                    | : Not Classified   |
| Physicochemical                          | : Physical: Carbon fiber contained in some products is electrically conductive.  |
| Label elements                           |  |
| Signal Word                              | : Warning  |
| Hazard Statements                        | : USH01 May form combustible dust concentrations in air                          |
| Other hazards                            |  |

Warning! This may cause mild, temporary mechanical eye and skin irritation. Vapor or fumes evolved during use and/or heating or curing the product may cause respiratory tract and eye irritation. Dust or particulates from machining, grinding or sawing the cured product may cause skin, eye and upper respiratory tract irritation, allergic skin reaction and possible sensitization.

> PDCT-SDS-00074 [Version 1.01] Page 1 of 7

Full SDS: https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.fibreglast.com/downloads/PDCT-SDS-00074.pdf

## Fiberglass Safety Data Sheet

### SECTION 1: Identification of the substance/mixture and of the company/undertaking

- 1.1 Product identifier
  - Fiberglass
- 1.2 Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against
  - Structural reinforcement for thermoset resin products.

#### 1.3 Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

- NOV Fiber Glass Systems

 17115 San Pedro Avenue, Suite 200

 San Antonio, Texas 78232 USA

 Tel:
 1-210-477-7500

 Fax:
 1-210-231-5915

 E-mail:
 Mike.Thayer@nov.com

### 1.4 Emergency telephone number(s)

- 3E Company, 24-Hour Support (Access Code/Contract Number: 333386)
  - USA, Canada ...... 1-888-298-2344

  - Europe, Middle East, Africa ...... 1-760-476-3961
  - Americas ...... 1-760-476-3962

#### SECTION 2: Hazards identification

2.1 Classification of the substance or mixture

Physical

Not classified

<u>Health</u>

- Skin irritation, Category 2
- Eye irritation Category 2
- Specific target organ systemic toxicity single exposure, Category 3 (respiratory tract irritation)

#### **Environmental**

Not classified

www.fgspipe.com · fgspipe@nov.com



Full SDS: http://www.nov.com/docHandler.aspx?puid=UvdNvuUs3oL35C

## Isopropyl Alcohol SDS



TSI MSDS 1080546 Rev H

Version: 1.2 Revision date: 03-06-2015

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

#### 1. Identification

Product identifier: Isopropyl Alcohol

#### Other means of identification

Product No.: 9088, 5892, 9095, 9084, 9083, 9082, 9079, 9078, 9059, 9055, 9045, 5986, 5978, 5977, 5967, 5873, 5863, 9827, 5373, 9334

#### Recommended use and restriction on use

Recommended use: For use in the PortaCount® Respirator Fit Tester Restrictions on use: Not known

#### Manufacturer/Importer/Supplier/Distributor information

Manufacturer Address:

Company Name: TSI Incorporated 500 Cardigan Road Shoreview, MN 55126

Telephone: Customer Service: 800-874-2811

Fax: Contact Person: e-mail: answers@tsi.com

#### Emergency telephone number:

24 Hour Emergency: 908-859-2151

Chemtrec: 800-424-9300

#### 2. Hazard(s) identification

#### Hazard classification

#### Physical hazards

Flammable liquids

Category 2

Category 2A

Category 3

#### Health hazards

Serious eye damage/eye irritation Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure

Label elements

Hazard symbol:



Danger

Signal word:

Hazard statement:

Highly flammable liquid and vapor. Causes serious eye irritation. May cause respiratory irritation. May cause drowsiness or dizziness.

SDS\_US - SDS00000696

Page 1 of 10

Full SDS: http://www.tsi.com/uploadedFiles/\_Site\_Root/Products/Literature/MSDS/1080546-MSDS-Isopropyl-Alcohol-TSI.pdf



## MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

#### 1. Product and Company Identification Product Name J-B Kwik Synonym(s) Resin and Hardener CAS # Mixture Product use Bonds and repairs Manufacturer J-B Weld Company P.O. Box 483 Sulphur Springs, TX 75482 US Phone: 903-885-7696 2. Hazards Identification **Emergency overview** CAUTION MAY CAUSE EYE IRRITATION. MAY CAUSE SKIN IRRITATION. MAY CAUSE ALLERGIC SKIN REACTION. Potential short term health effects **Routes of exposure** Eye, Skin contact, Ingestion. Eyes May cause irritation. Skin Contact with skin can cause irritation and allergic reaction (sensitization) in some individuals. Not a normal route of exposure. Inhalation May cause stomach distress, nausea or vomiting. Ingestion Eyes. Skin. Target organs Prolonged or repeated exposure can cause drying, defatting and dermatitis. Chronic effects Symptoms may include redness, edema, drying, defatting and cracking of the skin. Signs and symptoms Symptoms of overexposure may be headache, dizziness, tiredness, nausea and vomiting This product is a "Hazardous Chemical" as defined by the OSHA Hazard **OSHA Regulatory Status** Communication Standard, 29 CFR 1910.1200. See section 12. Potential environmental effects

## 3. Composition / Information on Ingredients

| Ingredient(s)   | CAS #      | Percent |
|---|------------|---------|
| Iron  | 7439-89-6  | 5 - 10  |
| Limestone   | 1317-65-3  | 10 - 30 |
| Oxirane, 2,2-[(1-methylethylidene)bis(4,1-phenyleneoxymethylene)]bis, homopolymer | 25085-99-8 | 10 - 30 |
| Phenol, 2,4,6-tris[(dimethylamino)methyl]-  | 90-72-2    | 1 - 5   |
| Phenol, polymer with formaldehyde, glycidyl ether                                 | 28064-14-4 | 1 - 5   |
| Carbon black  | 1333-86-4  | 0.1 - 1 |
| Titanium oxide  | 13463-67-7 | 0.1 - 1 |

## 4. First Aid Measures

| Eye contact  | Flush with cool water.<br>Obtain medical attenti | Remove contact I<br>on if irritation persi | enses, if applicable, and conti<br>sts. | inue flushing.        |
|--------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| Skin contact | Flush with cool water. persists.                 | Wash with soap                             | and water. Obtain medical at            | tention if irritation |
| Inhalation   | Not a normal route of                            | exposure.                                  |   |                       |
| Ingestion    | Do not induce vomiting<br>convulsing. Obtain me  | g. Never give anyth<br>edical attention.   | ning by mouth if victim is unco         | onscious, or is       |
|              |  |  |   |                       |
| #19863       |  | Page 1 of 8                                | Issue date                              | 10-May-2012           |

Full SDS: https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0411/5921/files/J-B-Weld-MSDS-KwikWeld.pdf?1921

## J-B Steel Reinforced Epoxy Resin SDS



Issuing Date 11-Nov- 2014

Revision Date 11-Nov-2014

**Revision Number** 1

### 1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE/PREPARATION AND OF THE COMPANY/UNDERTAKING

### Product identifier

Product SDS Name Steel Reinforced Epoxy Resin – Twin Tubes - Part A

### J-B Weld FG SKU Part Numbers Covered

8265, 8265F, 8276, 8276F, 8265S, 8265A, 8265H, 8272, 8272F, 8280, 8280F, 8281, 80165, 7265S, 7280, 8276A, 8273H, 8270, 8270F, 8271, 80176, 7276, 7270

### J-B Weld Product Names Covered

J-B Weld<sup>™</sup> (all Twin Tubes), KwikWeld<sup>™</sup> (all Twin Tubes), MarineWeld<sup>™</sup> (Twin Tubes Only)

### J-B Weld Product Type

Steel Reinforced Epoxy

### Recommended use of the chemical and restrictions on use

| Recommended Use   | General Purpose Adhesive  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Uses advised against  | No information available  |  |  |
| Details of the supplier of the safety   | data sheet  |  |  |
| Supplier Name   | J-B WELD COMPANY,LLC  |  |  |
| Supplier Address  | 1130 COMO ST<br>SULPHUR SPRINGS, TX 75482<br>USA  |  |  |
| Emergency Telephone Numbers   | Transportation Emergencies: Chemtrec (24 hour transportation emergency response info): 800-424-9300 or 703-527-3887   |  |  |
|   | Poison/Medical Emergencies: Poison Control Centers (24 hour emergency poison / medical response info): 800-222-1222   |  |  |
| Supplier Email  | info@jbweld.com   |  |  |
|   | 003 885 7606  |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number   | 903-003-7.090   |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number   | 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION   |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number OSHA/HCS status   | 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION<br>This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard<br>(29 CFR 1910.1200).   |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number<br>OSHA/HCS status<br>Classification of the   | 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION<br>This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard<br>(29 CFR 1910.1200).<br>SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2   |  |  |
| OSHA/HCS status Classification of the substance or mixture  | 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION<br>This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard<br>(29 CFR 1910.1200).<br>SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2<br>SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/ EYE IRRITATION - Category 2B   |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number<br>OSHA/HCS status<br>Classification of the<br>substance or mixture<br>GHS label elements                                     | 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION<br>This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard<br>(29 CFR 1910.1200).<br>SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2<br>SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/ EYE IRRITATION - Category 2B<br>SKIN SENSITIZATION - Category 1  |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number<br>OSHA/HCS status<br>Classification of the<br>substance or mixture<br>GHS label elements<br>Hazard pictograms                | <b>2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION</b> This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200). SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2 SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/ EYE IRRITATION - Category 2B SKIN SENSITIZATION - Category 1  |  |  |
| Supplier Phone Number<br>OSHA/HCS status<br>Classification of the<br>substance or mixture<br>GHS label elements<br>Hazard pictograms<br>Signal word | <b>2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION</b> This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200).         SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2         SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/ EYE IRRITATION - Category 2B         SKIN SENSITIZATION - Category 1         Variation         Warning! |  |  |

## Full SDS:

https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0411/5921/files/Steel\_Reinforced\_Epoxy\_Twin\_Tubes.pdf?785 811878289892783

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

Mystik<sup>®</sup> JT-6<sup>®</sup> Synthetic Hi-Temp Grease, No. 2, ISO 220



| Section 1. Identification                  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| GHS product identifier                     |   |  |
| Synonyms                                   | : Lubricating grease;<br>CITGO <sup>®</sup> Material Code: 665077002  |  |
| Code                                       | : 665077002   |  |
| MSDS #                                     | : 665077002   |  |
| Supplier's details                         | : CITGO Petroleum Corporation<br>P.O. Box 4689<br>Houston, TX 77210<br>sdsvend@citgo.com  |  |
| Emergency telephone<br>number              | : Technical Contact: (800) 248-4684<br>Medical Emergency: (832) 486-4700<br>CHEMTREC Emergency: (800) 424-9300<br>(United States Only)  |  |
| Section 2. Hazards                         | s identification  |  |
| OSHA/HCS status                            | : While this material is not considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication<br>Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200), this SDS contains valuable information critical to the<br>safe handling and proper use of the product. This SDS should be retained and available<br>for employees and other users of this product.         |  |
| Classification of the substance or mixture | : Not classified.   |  |
| GHS label elements                         |   |  |
| Signal word                                | : Warning   |  |
| Hazard statements                          | <ul> <li>Injection under the skin can cause severe injury.<br/>Most damage occurs in the first few hours.<br/>Initial symptoms may be minimal.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Precautionary statements                   |   |  |
| General                                    | : Avoid contact with eyes, skin and clothing IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. IF SWALLOWED: Do NOT induce vomiting. After handling, always wash hands thoroughly with soap and water. If you feel unwell, seek medical attention and show the label when possible. Keep out of reach of children. |  |
| Prevention                                 | : Not applicable.   |  |
| Response                                   | : Not applicable.   |  |
| Storage                                    | <ul> <li>Store in a dry place and/or in closed container. Store in accordance with all local,<br/>regional, national and international regulations.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Disposal                                   | <ul> <li>Dispose of contents and container in accordance with all local, regional, national and<br/>international regulations.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Hazards not otherwise<br>classified        | : Injection of petroleum hydrocarbons requires immediate medical attention  |  |
| Section 3. Compo                           | sition/information on ingredients   |  |
| Substance/mixture                          | : Mixture   |  |
| Other means of<br>identification           | : Lubricating grease;<br>CITGO <sup>®</sup> Material Code: 665077002  |  |
| CAS number/other identifiers               | <u>S</u>  |  |
| CAS number                                 | : Not applicable.   |  |
| Date of issue/Date of revision             | : 1/21/2016 1/9   |  |

Full SDS: http://docs.mystiklubes.com/msds\_pi/665077002.pdf

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

51601

| Section 1. Identifie                          | cation  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Product name                                  | : KRYLON® ColorMaster™ with Covermax™ Technology Paint + Primer<br>Gloss Black  |  |  |
| Product code                                  | : 51601   |  |  |
| Other means of                                | : Not available.  |  |  |
| identification                                |   |  |  |
| Product type                                  | : Aerosol.  |  |  |
| Relevant identified uses of t                 | he substance or mixture and uses advised against  |  |  |
| Paint or paint related material.              |   |  |  |
| Manufacturer                                  | : Krylon Products Group<br>101 W. Prospect Avenue<br>Cleveland, OH 44115  |  |  |
| Emergency telephone<br>number of the company  | : US / Canada: (216) 566-2917<br>Mexico: SETIQ 01-800-00-214-00 / (52) 55-5559-1588 24 hours / 365 days a year  |  |  |
| Product Information<br>Telephone Number       | : US / Canada: (800) 457-9566<br>Mexico: Not Available  |  |  |
| Regulatory Information<br>Telephone Number    | : US / Canada: (216) 566-2902<br>Mexico: Not Available  |  |  |
| Transportation Emergency<br>Telephone Number  | : US / Canada: (216) 566-2917<br>Mexico: SETIQ 01-800-00-214-00 / (52) 55-5559-1588 24 hours / 365 days a year  |  |  |
| Section 2. Hazard                             | s identification  |  |  |
| OSHA/HCS status                               | <ul> <li>This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard<br/>(29 CFR 1910.1200).</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Classification of the<br>substance or mixture | : FLAMMABLE AEROSOLS - Category 1<br>GASES UNDER PRESSURE - Compressed gas<br>SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION - Category 2<br>SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/ EYE IRRITATION - Category 2A<br>CARCINOGENICITY - Category 2<br>SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY (SINGLE EXPOSURE) (Respiratory tract<br>irritation) - Category 3<br>SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY (SINGLE EXPOSURE) (Narcotic effects) -<br>Category 3<br>SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY (REPEATED EXPOSURE) - Category 2<br>ASPIRATION HAZARD - Category 1<br>Percentage of the mixture consisting of ingredient(s) of unknown oral toxicity: 39.3%<br>Percentage of the mixture consisting of ingredient(s) of unknown inhalation toxicity: 72.<br>2% |  |  |
| GHS label elements                            |   |  |  |
| Hazard pictograms                             |   |  |  |
| Signal word                                   | : Danger  |  |  |
| Date of issue/Date of revision                | : 10/26/2018 Date of previous issue : 10/12/2018 Version : 11 1/17  |  |  |
| 51601 KRYLON® ColorMa<br>Gloss Black          | aster™ with Covermax™ Technology Paint + Primer SHW-85-NA-GHS-US  |  |  |

Full SDS: https://www.krylon.com/document/SDS/en/US/724504016014

## Talcum Powder Resin SDS

|  | IALC         Safety Data Sheet         according to Federal Register / Vol. 77, No. 58 / Monday, March 26, 2012 / Rules and Regulations         Date of issue: 09/11/2012       Revision date: 05/09/2016       Supersedes: 02/06/2015       Version: 2.1  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| SECTION 1: Identification  |  |  |  |
| 1.1. Identification  |  |  |  |
| Product form   | Mixture  |  |  |
| Product name   | : TALC   |  |  |
| Product code   | : C-MS-AT-2042STDTALC  |  |  |
|  | A-0005 FILLER, ABT© 1000, ABT© 2500, ABT© 2501, CERCRON© MB 2900, CERCRON©<br>MB 3900, CERCRON© MB 50-60, CERCRON© MB 93-37, CERCRON© MB 96-67,<br>CERCRON© MP 99-48, MICROTALC© BP-210, MICROTALC© DM 12-50, MICROTALC©<br>MP 10-52, MICROTALC® MP 11-51, MICROTALC© MP 12-50, 399 TALC, MICROTALC©<br>MP 10-52, MICROTALC® MP 11-51, MICROTALC© MP 12-50, 399 TALC, MICROTALC©<br>MP 10-52, MICROTALC® MP 12-52, MICROTALC© MP 12-50, MICROTALC© MP 20-40,<br>MICROTALC© MP 25-38, MICROTALC© MP 30-36, MICROTALC© MP 50-26, MICROTALC©<br>MP 70-22, MICROTALC® MP 98-286C, MICROTALC© MP 45-26 BC, MICROTALC© MP D<br>2500, MICROTALC® MP 98-286C, MICROTALC® MP 45-26 BC, MICROTALC® MP 12-50,<br>MICROTALC© MP 15-38, TALCRON© MP 25-38, TALCRON© MP 10-52, TALCRON© MP<br>12-50, TALCRON© MP 15-38, TALCRON© MP 25-38, TALCRON© MP 30-36, TALCRON©<br>MP 40-27, TALCRON© MP 44-26, TALCRON© 45-26, ULTRATALC©<br>609D, 9910 TaIC, TALCRON 25 IOA, TALCRON® MP 30-36, TALCRON 45<br>LOA, TALCRON 30 LOA, FLEXTALC 405D, FORTI-TALC™ 609LC TALC, FORTI-TALC™<br>609HC TALC, FORTI-TALC™ MP1538LC TALC, FORTI-TALC™ MP1538HC<br>TALC, TALCRON MP 2400, PC 2000, ICMP 4426, FORTI-TALC™ AG111 LC TALC, FORTI-<br>TALC™ AG111 HC TALC   |  |  |
| 1.2. Relevant identified uses of   | the substance or mixture and uses advised against  |  |  |
| ose or the substance/mixture   |  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon MT 59725   |  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon,. MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323   |  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon,, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b> l  | ber  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon,. MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number   | ber<br>; +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)  |  |  |
| 3625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi  | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code; 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication   |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon,. MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa  | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code; 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification  | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture  |  |  |
| 3625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350   | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture  |  |  |
| 3625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1   | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>ince or mixture<br>16  |  |  |
| 3625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements   | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone num</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling   | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture<br>16  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)  | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>Ince or mixture<br>16  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)  | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>Ince or mixture<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)<br>Signal word (GHS-US)<br>Hazard statements (GHS-US)                                      | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>ince or mixture<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)<br>Signal word (GHS-US)<br>Hazard statements (GHS-US)<br>Precautionary statements (GHS-US) | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>Ince or mixture<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification of the substa<br>GHS-US classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)<br>Signal word (GHS-US)<br>Hazard statements (GHS-US)<br>Precautionary statements (GHS-US) | ber<br>: +1 760 476 3962<br>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name<br>and company name-you don't need the access code)<br>ication<br>unce or mixture<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>16  |  |  |
| 8625 Highway 91 South<br>Dillon,, MT 59725<br>USA<br>Tel. 406-683-3323<br><b>1.4. Emergency telephone numl</b><br>Emergency number<br>SECTION 2: Hazard(s) identifi<br>2.1. Classification<br>Carcinogenicity Category 1A H350<br>Full text of H statements : see section 1<br>2.2. Label elements<br>GHS-US labeling<br>Hazard pictograms (GHS-US)<br>Signal word (GHS-US)<br>Hazard statements (GHS-US)<br>Precautionary statements (GHS-US)                                       | <ul> <li>ber <ul> <li>÷ +1 760 476 3962</li> <li>3E Global Emergency Response Services. Access code: 333336 (if you mention SDS name and company name-you don't need the access code)</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication</li> </ul> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> <li>ication</li> </ul> </li> <li>ication <ul> <li>ication</li> <li>ication&lt;</li></ul></li> |  |  |

## Full SDS:

https://www.mineralstech.com/docs/defaultsource/company/talc.pdf?sfvrsn=47ea573b\_2

## Acetone SDS

## SAFETY DATA SHEET

Page: 1

**Klean-Strip** Acetone

Revision: 05/24/2017 Supersedes Revision: 04/15/2015

| Product Name:      | Klean-Strip Acetone                      |                                  |
|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Company Name:      | W. M. Barr                               | Phone Number:                    |
|                    | 2105 Channel Avenue<br>Memphis, TN 38113 | (901)775-0100                    |
| Web site address:  | www.wmbarr.com                           |                                  |
| Emergency Contact: | 3E 24 Hour Emergency Contact             | (800)451-8346                    |
| Information:       | W.M. Barr Customer Service               | (800)398-3892                    |
| Intended Use:      | Paint, stain, and varnish thinning.      |                                  |
| Product Code:      | CAC18, DAC18, GAC18, GAC182, QAC         | C18, QAC184, PA12270, GAC18HDQP, |
|                    | GACT8HDWS, GACT8P, PACT81                |                                  |

Flammable Liquids, Category 2 Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation, Category 2 Specific Target Organ Toxicity (single exposure), Category 3

| GHS Signal Word:<br>GHS Hazard Phrases: | Danger<br>H225: Highly flammable liquid and vapor.<br>H319: Causes serious eye irritation.<br>H335: May cause respiratory irritation.<br>H336: May cause drowsiness or dizziness.   |
|---|---|
| GHS Precaution Phrases:                 | <ul> <li>P233: Keep container tightly closed.</li> <li>P210: Keep away from heat/sparks/open flames/hot surfaces No smoking.</li> <li>P280: Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.</li> <li>P240: Ground/bond container and receiving equipment.</li> <li>P241: Use explosion-proof electrical/ventilating/lighting equipment.</li> <li>P243: Take precautionary measures against static discharge.</li> <li>P242: Use only non-sparking tools.</li> <li>P264: Wash hands thoroughly after handling.</li> <li>P261: Avoid breathing gas/mist/vapours/spray.</li> <li>P271: Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.</li> </ul> |
| GHS Response Phrases:                   | <ul> <li>P370+378: In case of fire, use dry chemical to extinguish.</li> <li>P303+361+353: IF ON SKIN (or hair): Remove/take off immediately all contaminated clothing. Rinse skin with water/shower.</li> <li>P305+351+338: IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.</li> <li>P337+313: If eye irritation persists, get medical advice/attention.</li> <li>P304+340: IF INHALED: Remove victim to fresh air and keep at rest in a position comfortable for breathing.</li> <li>P312: Call a POISON CENTER/doctor if you feel unwell.</li> </ul>                                  |
| GHS Storage and Disposal<br>Phrases:    | P403+235: Store in cool/well-ventilated place.<br>P501: Dispose of contents/container according to local, state and federal regulations.<br>P403+233: Store container tightly closed in well-ventilated place - if product is as volatile<br>as to generate hazardous atmosphere.<br>P405: Store locked up.   |

### Licensed to W.M. Barr and Company

GHS format

Full SDS: http://www.kleanstrip.com/uploads/documents/GAC18\_SDS-LL34.pdf

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

2411-

| Section 1. Identification                     |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Product name                                  | : THOMPSON'S WATER SEAL® Clear Multi-Surface Waterproofer  |  |  |
| Product code                                  | : 2411-  |  |  |
| Other means of<br>identification              | : Not available.   |  |  |
| Product type<br>Relevant identified uses of t | : Liquid.<br>he substance or mixture and uses advised against  |  |  |
| Not applicable.                               |  |  |  |
| Manufacturer                                  | : THE THOMPSON'S COMPANY<br>101 Prospect Ave. N.W.<br>Cleveland, OH 44115  |  |  |
| Emergency telephone<br>number of the company  | : (216) 566-2917   |  |  |
| Product Information<br>Telephone Number       | : (800) 367-6297   |  |  |
| Regulatory Information<br>Telephone Number    | : (216) 566-2902   |  |  |
| Transportation Emergency<br>Telephone Number  | : (800) 424-9300   |  |  |
| Section 2. Hazards identification             |  |  |  |
| OSHA/HCS status                               | : This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200).  |  |  |
| Classification of the substance or mixture    | : CARCINOGENICITY - Category 2<br>SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY (REPEATED EXPOSURE) - Category 2<br>Percentage of the mixture consisting of ingredient(s) of unknown toxicity: 15.4%    |  |  |
| GHS label elements                            | 5  |  |  |
| Hazard pictograms                             |  |  |  |
| Signal word                                   | : Warning  |  |  |
| Hazard statements                             | : Suspected of causing cancer.<br>May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure.   |  |  |
| Precautionary statements                      |  |  |  |
| General                                       | : Read label before use. Keep out of reach of children. If medical advice is needed, have product container or label at hand.  |  |  |
| Prevention                                    | : Obtain special instructions before use. Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read and understood. Use personal protective equipment as required. Do not breathe vapor. |  |  |
| Response                                      | : Get medical attention if you feel unwell. IF exposed or concerned: Get medical attention.  |  |  |
| Storage                                       | : Store locked up.   |  |  |
| Disposal                                      | Dispose of contents and container in accordance with all local, regional, national and<br>international regulations.   |  |  |
| Date of issue/Date of revision                | : 4/7/2015. Date of previous issue : No previous validation. Version : 1 1/10  |  |  |

Full SDS: http://archpdfs.lps.org/Chemicals/Thompsons-Water-Seal.pdf

## Paint Thinner SDS

## SAFETY DATA SHEET

**Klean Strip Paint Thinner** 

Page: 1

Revision: 05/24/2017 Supersedes Revision: 11/16/2015

| 1. PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION |  |   |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Product Name:                         | Klean Strip Paint Thinner  |   |
| Company Name:                         | W. M. Barr   | Phone Number:   |
|                                       | Memphis, TN 38113  | (901)775-0100   |
| Web site address:                     | www.wmbarr.com   |   |
| Emergency Contact:                    | 3E 24 Hour Emergency Contact   | (800)451-8346   |
| Information:                          | W.M. Barr Customer Service   | (800)398-3892   |
| Intended Use:                         | Paint, stain, and ∨arnish thinning.  |   |
| Product Code:                         | CKPT94402, GKPT94002B, DKPT9440<br>GKPT94002T, GKPT94400, PA12779,<br>GKPT94002PT, PKPT94004 | 03CA, EKPT94401, GKPT94002, GKPT94002P,<br>QKPT94003, QKPT94203, GKPT94002HDWS, |

## 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Flammable Liquids, Category 3 Acute Toxicity: Inhalation, Category 4 Skin Corrosion/Irritation, Category 2 Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation, Category 2B Germ Cell Mutagenicity, Category 1B **Toxic To Reproduction, Category 2** Specific Target Organ Toxicity (single exposure), Category 3 Specific Target Organ Toxicity (repeated exposure), Category 2 Aspiration Toxicity, Category 1





| GHS Signal Word:                  | Danger   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| GHS Hazard Phrases:               | H226: Flammable liquid and vapor.  |
|                                   | H304: May be fatal if swallowed and enters airways.                              |
|                                   | H315: Causes skin irritation.  |
|                                   | H320: Causes eye irritation.   |
|                                   | H332: Harmful if inhaled.  |
|                                   | H336: May cause drowsiness or dizziness.   |
|                                   | H340: May cause genetic defects.   |
|                                   | H361: Suspected of damaging fertility or the unborn child.                       |
|                                   | H373: May cause damage to Central Nervous System (CNS) through prolonged or      |
|                                   | repeated exposure.   |
| GHS Precaution Phrases:           | P201: Obtain special instructions before use.                                    |
|                                   | P202: Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read and understood.  |
|                                   | P210: Keep away from heat/sparks/open flames/hot surfaces No smoking.            |
|                                   | P233: Keep container tightly closed.   |
|                                   | P240: Ground/bond container and receiving equipment.                             |
|                                   | P241: Use explosion-proof electrical/ventilating/lighting equipment.             |
|                                   | P242: Use only non-sparking tools.   |
|                                   | P243: Take precautionary measures against static discharge.                      |
|                                   | P260: Do not breathe gas/mist/vapors/spray.                                      |
|                                   | P264: Wash hands thoroughly after handling.                                      |
|                                   | P271: Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.                            |
|                                   | P280: Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection. |
|                                   | P281: Use personal protective equipment as required.                             |
| Licensed to W.M. Barr and Company | GHS forn   |

## Licensed to W.M. Barr and Company

Full SDS: http://www.kleanstrip.com/uploads/documents/GKPT94002\_SDS-GL42E.pdf

Bondo® Fiberglass Resin Kit, P.N. 401, 401C, 402, 402M, 402C, 402ES, 402T, 402Z, 404, 404C, 404Z

01/12/18



### Safety Data Sheet

Copyright,2018,3M Company.

All rights reserved. Copying and/or downloading of this information for the purpose of properly utilizing 3M products is allowed provided that: (1) the inform ation is copied in full with no changes unless prior written agreem ent is obtained from 3M, and (2) neither the copy nor the original is resold or otherwise distributed with the intention of earning a profit thereon.

| Document Group : | 24-2437-2 | Version Number:    | 7.01     |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|
| Issue Date:      | 01/12/18  | Sup erced es Date: | 09/04/15 |

#### Product identifier

Bondo® Fiberglass Resin Kit, P.N. 401, 401C, 402, 402M, 402C, 402ES, 402T, 402Z, 404, 404C, 404Z

#### ID Number(s):

60-4550-4826-8, 60-4550-5662-6, 60-4550-5663-4, 60-4550-5664-2, 60-4550-5665-9, 60-4550-5666-7, 60-4550-5667-5, 60-4550-6602-1, 60-4550-6603-9, 60-4550-6605-4, 60-4550-6742-5, 60-4550-7373-8, 60-4550-7374-6, 60-4550-7375-3, 60-4550-7376-1, 60-4550-7377-9, 60-4550-8102-0, 60-4550-8287-9, 60-4550-8288-7, 60-4550-8297-8, 60-4550-8298-7, 60-450-8208-7, 60-450-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 60-4500-8208-7, 6, 60-4550-8299-4, 60-4550-8325-7, 60-4550-8326-5, 60-4550-8327-3, 70-0080-0014-6, 70-0080-0015-3, 70-0080-0016-1, 70-0080-0148-2, 70-0080-0149-0, 70-0080-0150-8, 70-0080-0151-6, 70-0080-0152-4, 70-0080-0153-2

#### Recommended use

Automotive, Repairing Auto Body

#### Supplier's details

| MANUFACTURER: | 3M<br>Automotive Aftermarket |                |
|---------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| ADDRESS:      | 3M Center St Paul MN         | 55144-1000 USA |
| Telephone:    | 1-888-3M HELPS (1-888        | -364-3577)     |

Emergency telephone number

1-800-364-3577 or (651) 737-6501 (24 hours)

This product is a kit or a multipart product which consists of multiple, independently packaged components. A Safety Data Sheet (SD S), Article Information Sheet (AIS), or Article Information Letter (AIL) for each of these components is included . Please do not separate the component documents from this cover page. The document numbers for components of this product are:

24-2429-9, 24-2440-6

DISCLAIMER: The information in this Safety Data Sheet (SDS) is believed to be correct as of the date issued 3MMAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR USAGE OF TRADE. User is responsible for determining whether the 3 Mproduct is fit for a particular purpose and suitable for user's method of use or application. Given the variety of factors that can affect the use and application of a3Mproduct, some of which are uniquely within the user's knowledge and control, it is essential that the user evaluate the 3 Mproduct to determine whether it is fit for a particular purpose and suitable for user's method of use or application.

> 2 Page 1 of

## Full SDS:

https://multimedia.3m.com/mws/mediawebserver?mwsId=SSSSSuUn\_zu8100xM8tvNxm1Mv70 k17zHvu9lxtD7SSSSSS--

## Pyro-Paint SDS

## SAFETY DATA SHEET

| Product: 634-Z0<br>Revision Date: 6/01/2  | <b>D</b><br>D15  |
|---|--|
| 1. MATERIAL IDEN  | TIFICATION   |
| Product Name:   | Pyro-Paint 634-ZO  |
| Product Description:<br>Product Use:  | Off-White, Odorless Liquid<br>High Temperature Coating   |
| Manufacturer:   | Aremco Products, Inc.<br>707-B Executive Blvd.<br>Valley Cottage, NY 10989   |
| Telephone:<br>Emergency Phone:  | 845-268-0039<br>845-268-0039 or Infotrac (24/7) 800-535-5053   |
| 2. HAZARDS IDENT  | IFICATION  |
| <b>GHS Classification:</b><br>Eye Irritation<br>Skin Irritation                             | Category 2A<br>Category 2  |
| GHS Symbol:   |  |
| <b>GHS Signal Word:</b><br>Warning  |  |
| GHS Hazard Determining<br>Silicate Solution<br>Zirconium Oxide<br>Alumino-Silicate          | Components:  |
| <b>GHS Hazard Statements</b><br>H303<br>H315<br>H319  | <b>for Health Hazards:</b><br>Harmful if swallowed.<br>Causes skin irritation.<br>Causes serious eye irritation.   |
| <b>GHS Precautionary State</b><br>P264<br>P280  | <i>ments - Prevention:</i><br>Wash hands thoroughly after handling.<br>Wear protective gloves. Wear eye protection.  |
| GHS Precautionary State<br>P302 + P352<br>P332 + P313<br>P305 + P351 + P338<br>P312<br>P362 | ments – Response:<br>IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water.<br>If skin initiation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.<br>IF INE YES: Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes.<br>IF SWALLOWED: Call a poison center or doctor if you feel unwell<br>Take off contaminated clothing and wash before reuse. |
| <b>GHS Storage/Disposal:</b><br>P501  | Dispose in accordance with local, regional, national or international regulations  |

1

Full SDS: <u>https://www.audec.co.jp/products/pdf/msds\_bond107.pdf</u>

## WD-40 SDS



| e eompositionsmormation on ingreatents |                     |   |  |
|--|---------------------|---|--|
| CAS #                                  | Weight Percent      | US Hazcom 2012/ GHS<br>Classification                           |  |
| 64742-47-8                             | 45-50               | Flammable Liquid Category 3                                     |  |
|  | CAS #<br>64742-47-8 | CAS #         Weight Percent           64742-47-8         45-50 |  |

Page 1 of 5

Full SDS: <u>https://www.wd40company.com/files/pdf/sds/mup/wd-40-multi-use-product-aerosol-sds-us-ghs-7-20-14.pdf</u>

## Great Stuff Gaps and Cracks SDS



GREAT STUFP<sup>4</sup> Gapi and Cracki GMID 277055

## MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

### 1) PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY Midland Michigan 48674 USA

24-Hour Emergency Phone Number: 989-636-4400 Customer Service: 800-366-4740 PRODUCT NAME : GREAT STUFF\* Gaps and Cracks MATERIAL TYPE : One component system ISSUE DATE : 04/26/2007 REVISION DATE : 01/25/2007

### 2) COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

| Ingredient                           | CAS Number | %               |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Prepolymer of MD I and               | mixture    | 40-70, 60-100 % |
| Polyether polyol                     |            |                 |
| Polymethylene polyphenyl Isocyanate  | 9016-87-9  | 5-10,10-30%     |
| containing approx. 40-50% MDI        |            |                 |
| (4,4 methylene bisphenyl isocyanate) |            |                 |
| CAS# 101-68-8                        |            |                 |
| Liquified Petroleum Mixture          | mixture    | 10-30%          |
| containing Isobutane (CAS#75-28-5),  |            |                 |
| propane (CAS# 74-98-6) and           |            |                 |
| dimethyl ether (CAS# 115-10-6)       |            |                 |
|                                      |            |                 |

### 3) HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

#### EMERGENCY OVER VIEW

Sprayed or heated material harmful if inhaled. May cause allergic skin reaction. May cause allergic respiratory reaction and lung injury. Avoid temperatures above 105F (41C). Toxic flammable gases and heat are released under decomposition conditions. Toxic fumes may be released in fire situations. Reacts slowly with water, releasing carbon dioxide, which can cause pressure buildup and rupture of closed containers. Elevated temperatures accelerate this process.

May cause moderate eye irritation. May cause very slight transient (temporary) corneal injury.

Prolonged or repeated exposure may cause slight skin irritation. May cause allergic skin reaction in susceptible individuals. Animal studies have shown that skin contact with isocyanates may play a role in respiratory sensitization. May stain skin. A single prolonged exposure is not likely to result in the material being absorbed in harmful amounts.

#### INGESTION

Single dose oral toxicity is considered to be low. No hazards anticipated from swallowing small amounts incidental to normal handling operations.

#### INHAL ATION

At room temperature, vapors are minimal due to low vapor pressure. However, certain operations may generate vapor or aerosol concentrations sufficient to cause irritation or other adverse effects. Such operations include those in which the material is heated, sprayed or otherwise mechanically dispersed such as drumming, venting or

"" or (R) Indicates a Trademark of The Dow Chemical Company

Page 1 of 8

## Full SDS:

https://www.vercounty.org/MSDS/EMA/34Dow%20Great%20Stuff%20Spray%20Foam.pdf

EYE

SKIN

## **Gloss Protective Enamel SDS**

Date Printed: 5/9/2017

Page 1/6

RUST-OLEUM

 Trusted Quality Since 1921 \* www.rustoleum.com

## 1. Identification

| 1. Idonanoution      |  |                  |  |
|----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Product Name:        | STRUST +SSPR 6PK GLOSS NAVY BLUE   | Revision Date:   | 5/9/2017   |
| Product Identifier:  | 7723830  | Supercedes Date: | 3/8/2017   |
| Product Use/Class:   | Topcoat/Aerosols   |                  |  |
| Supplier:            | Rust-Oleum Corporation<br>11 Hawthorn Parkway<br>Vernon Hills, IL 60061<br>USA | Manufacturər:    | Rust-Oleum Corporation<br>11 Hawthorn Parkway<br>Vernon Hills, IL 60061<br>USA |
| Preparer:            | Regulatory Department  |                  |  |
| Emergency Telephone: | 24 Hour Hotline: 847-367-7700  |                  |  |

### 2. Hazard Identification

Classification Symbol(s) of Product



Signal Word Danger

## Possible Hazards

32% of the mixture consists of ingredient(s) of unknown acute toxicity.

Safety Data Sheet

#### GHS HAZARD STATEMENTS Carcinogenicity, category 2 H351 Suspected of causing cancer. Compressed Gas H280 Contains gas under pressure; may explode if heated. H319 Eye Irritation, category 2 Causes serious eye irritation. Flammable Aerosol, category 1 H222 Extremely flammable aerosol. H373 STOT, repeated exposure, category 2 May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure. STOT, single exposure, category 3, NE H336 May cause drowsiness or dizziness. **GHS LABEL PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENTS** P201 Obtain special instructions before use. P210 Keep away from heat, hot surfaces, sparks, open flames and other ignition sources. No smoking. P211 Do not spray on an open flame or other ignition source. P251 Do not pierce or burn, even after use. P260 Do not breathe dust/fume/gas/mist/vapors/spray. P264 Wash hands thoroughly after handling. P271 Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area. P280 Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection. P304+P340 IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing. P305+P351+P338 IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.

Full SDS: https://www.rustoleum.com/MSDS/ENGLISH/7723830.pdf

## Cleaner Degreaser Disinfectant SDS

| THE CLOROX COMPANY  | ę  | SAFETY DATA SHEET                   |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Issuing Date January 5, 2015  | Revision Date New  | <b>Revision Number</b> 0            |
| 1. IDENTIFICATION OF TH   | E SUBSTANCE/PREPARATION AN   | D OF THE COMPANY/UNDERTAKING        |
| Product identifier  |  |                                     |
| Product Name  | Clorox Commercial Solutions® Formula   | 409® Cleaner Degreaser Disinfectant |
| Other means of identification   |  |                                     |
| EPA Registration Number   | 67619-10   |                                     |
| Recommended use of the chemical   | and restrictions on use  |                                     |
| Recommended Use   | General purpose cleaner, degreaser, and d  | lisinfectant                        |
| Uses advised against  | No information available   |                                     |
| Details of the supplier of the safety   | data sheet   |                                     |
| Supplier Address<br>Clorox Professional Products Compar<br>1221 Broadway<br>Oakland, CA 94612 | ıy   |                                     |
| Phone: 1-510-271-7000   |  |                                     |
| Emergency telephone number  |  |                                     |
| Emergency Phone Numbers   | For Medical Emergencies call: 1-800-446<br>For Transportation Emergencies, call Chen | 1014<br>htrec: 1-800-424-9300       |

Page 1/9

Full SDS: https://www.parish-supply.com/documents/CLO35296-01.pdf

Page 1 of 6

## MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

**Finished Product** 



Date Issued: 01/18/2003 MSDS No: 3500-A Date Revised: 02/01/2012 Revision No: 7

### Heavy Duty Adhesive Spray

### 1. PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

PRODUCT NAME: Heavy Duty Adhesive Spray PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: Contact Adhesive PRODUCT CODE: 3500-11S

#### MANUFACTURER

Techspray, L.P. 1001 N.W. 1st Street P.O. Box 949 Amarillo, TX 79107 Emergency Contact: Chemtrec Emergency Phone: 1-800-858-4043 Service Number: 1-800-858-4043 24 HR. EMERGENCY TELEPHONE NUMBERS

CHEMTREC CCN#21858 (US Transportation) :(800) 424 - 9300 CANUTEC (Canadian Transportation) :(613) 996 - 6666 Emergency Phone :(800) 858 - 4043

#### 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

### EMERGENCY OVERVIEW

**PHYSICAL APPEARANCE:** Clear to amber, sticky resin.

### **POTENTIAL HEALTH EFFECTS**

EYES: Liquid contact can cause irritation, which may be severe.

SKIN: Prolonged or repeated contact may cause skin irritation.

**INGESTION:** Harmful if swallowed.

**INHALATION:** Prolonged or excessive inhalation may cause respiratory tract irritation.

### SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF OVEREXPOSURE

EYES: Symptoms of overexposure include: stinging, tearing, redness and pain.

SKIN: May cause slight irritation.

**INGESTION:** Not a likely route of exposure.

### 3. COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

| Chemical Name         | WL%     | CAS        | EINECS    |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Hexane                | 10 - 50 | 110-54-3   | 203-777-6 |
| L.P.G.                | 10 - 25 | 68476-85-7 |           |
| Acetone               | 10 - 30 | 67-64-1    | 200-662-2 |
| Petroleum Distillates | 0       | 64742-89-8 |           |
| N-Butane              | < 5     | 106-97-8   |           |

## 4. FIRST AID MEASURES

**EYES:** Immediately flush eyes with plenty of water for at least 15 minutes. Get immediate medical attention. **SKIN:** Wash with soap and water. Get medical attention if irritation develops or persists.

Full SDS: https://www.techspray.com/content/msds/3500\_US\_ENG\_SDS.pdf

#### Bondo® All-Purpose Putty, 20052, 20054, 30054, 31252, 31254 03/19/15



#### Safety Data Sheet

Copyright,2015,3M Company.

All rights reserved. Copying and/or downloading of this information for the purpose of properly utilizing 3M products is allowed provided that: (1) the information is copied in full with no changes unless prior written agreement is obtained from 3M, and (2) neither the copy nor the original is resold or otherwise distributed with the intention of earning a profit thereon.

| Document Group: | 30-8055-3 | Version Number:  | 2.00     |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| Issue Date:     | 03/19/15  | Supercedes Date: | 06/22/12 |

#### Product identifier

Bondo® All-Purpose Putty, 20052, 20054, 30054, 31252, 31254

#### ID Number(s):

41-0003-7991-1, 41-0003-7992-9, 60-4550-6801-9, 60-4550-6802-7, 60-4550-6829-0, 60-4550-8112-9, 60-4550-8113-7

#### Recommended use

Putty/Filler used for hom e repairs.

#### Supp lier's details

| MANUFACTURER: | 3M                                      |
|---------------|---|
| DIVISION:     | Automotive Aftermarket                  |
| ADDRESS:      | 3M Center, St. Paul, MN 55144-1000, USA |

 Telephone:
 1-888-3M HELPS (1-888-364-3577)

Emergency telephone number

1-800-364-3577 or (651) 737-6501 (24 hours)

This product is a kit or a multipart product which consists of multiple, independently packaged components. A Safety Data Sheet (SD S), Article Information Sheet (AIS), or Article Information Letter (AIL) for each of these components is included. Please do not separate the component documents from this cover page. The document numbers for components of this product are:

30-8057-9, 29-5993-0

DISCLAIMER: The information in this Safety Data Sheet (SDS) is believed to be correct as of the date issued 3M MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR USAGE OF TRADE. User is responsible for determining whether the 3M product is fit for a particular purpose and suitable for user's method of use or application. Given the variety of factors that can affect the use and application of a 3M product, some of which are uniquely within the user's knowledge and control, it is essential that the user evaluate the 3M product to determine whether it is fit for a particular purpose and suitable for user's method of use or application

3M provides information in electronic form as a service to its customers. Due to the remote possibility that electronic transfer may have resulted in errors, omissions or alterations in this information, 3M makes no representations as to its completeness or accuracy. In addition, information obtained from a database may not be as current as the information in the SDS available directly from 3M

Page 1 of 2

## Full SDS:

https://multimedia.3m.com/mws/mediawebserver?mwsId=SSSSSuUn\_zu8100xmxtel8\_9mv70k1 7zHvu9lxtD7SSSSSS--

# 8. Appendix B: Recovery Decent Profile Calculator

- % RECOVERY DESCENT PROFILE CALCULATOR (RDPC)
- % WRITTEN BY EVAN KERR
- % PENN STATE LION TECH ROCKET LABS
- % AVIONICS AND RECOVERY LEAD
- % LATEST UPDATE: 4/20/2017

## Calculate necessary area of Parachute to meet certain KE on landing

clc, clear, close all %Gravitational acceleration, units: m/s^2 g = 9.81; %Density in kg/m^3 rho = 1.225; %Kinetic Energy Limit in ft-lbs keMax = 75;

```
maxMass = max(mass);
totMass = sum(mass);
```

radiusMainM = ones(1,10); keMatFtLbs = (30:1:75); keMatJoule = keMatFtLbs\*1.3358;

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & for \ i=1: length(keMatJoule) \\ & radiusMainM(i)=sqrt((maxMass*totMass*g)/(Cdm*keMatJoule(i)*rho*pi)); \end{split}$$

end

radiusMainFt = 3.281\*radiusMainM; radiusMainIn = radiusMainFt \* 12; figure(1); plot(keMatFtLbs,radiusMainIn,'--o') title('Kinetic Energy at Landing vs. Necessary Parachute Radius'); xlabel('Desired Maximum Kinetic Energy at Landing (ft\*lbs)'); ylabel('Radius of Main Parachute Required (in)'); grid on;



## **Calculating Force based results**

Rd\_in = 6; %radius of drogue[in]

Rm\_in = 42; %radius of main[in]

Rr\_in = 7.5; % simulated radius of "tumbling" rocket parachute[in]

apogeeft = 5280; %apogee altitude above ground level [ft]
altDrogueft = apogeeft-1; %altitude above ground level of drogue deployment[ft]
altMainft = 600; %altitude above ground level of main parachute deployment[ft]

Rd = 0.0254\*Rd\_in; %radius of drogue[m]

 $Rm = 0.0254*Rm_in; \%$ radius of main[m]

 $Rr = 0.0254*Rr_{in}$ ; % simulated radius of "tumbling" rocket parachute[m]

apogee = 0.3048\*apogeeft;

altDrogue = 0.3048\*altDrogueft; altMain = 0.3048\*altMainft;

#### % Declare Constants

h = apogee+altLaunchSite; % Initial altitude of the rocket above sea level h\_matrix(1) = h; time(1) = 0; dt = 0.01; v(1) = 0; a(1) = g; i = 1; % Counter variable Temp = 2; % Temperature in Celcius at ground level. Weight = totMass\*g;

% Deployment time and counter initialization for the main and drogue

#### % parachutes

Kd\_dep = 0; % Drogue deployment factor, or how many iterations have run since the drogue was deployed.
Td\_dep = 0.25; % Drogue deployment time (how long it takes) in seconds
Td\_dep\_elapsed = 0; % Time elapsed since drogue deployment
Km\_dep = 0; % Main deployment factor, or how many iterations have run since the main was deployed
Tm\_dep = 2;
Tm\_dep\_elapsed = 0;

#### %Drag Calculation

while(h >= altLaunchSite) % Although we are integrating over time, the check is whether the height is still above ground level.
rho\_new = rhocalcestSI(h,Temp); % Calculate the density at the given altitude and temperature
Dragr(i) = .5\*Cdr\*rho\_new\*v(i)^2\*pi\*Rr^2; % Drag of the rocket body
Dragd(i) = .5\*Cdd\*rho\_new\*v(i)^2\*pi\*Rd^2; % Drag of the drogue parachute
Dragm(i) = .5\*Cdm\*rho\_new\*v(i)^2\*pi\*Rm^2; % Drag of the main parachute
if h > (altDrogue + altLaunchSite)% Determines which state of descent the rocket is in and adjusts accordingly by adding the drags

Drag = Dragr(i); % If the drogue has yet to deploy, the drag of the rocket is the only factor elseif h > (altMain + altLaunchSite)

Kd\_dep = Kd\_dep + 1; % Increment drogue deployment factor

Td\_dep\_elapsed = Kd\_dep\*dt; % Use the drogue deployment factor to calculate time since drogue deployed

Drag = Dragr(i) + Dragd(i); % Calculate drage when drogue fully deployed

% This loop only runs right after chute deployment and models

```
% the chute as opening in a linear matter

if Td_dep_elapsed < Td_dep

Drag = Dragr(i) + (Td_dep_elapsed/Td_dep)*Dragd(i);

end

else

Km_dep = Km_dep + 1;

Tm_dep_elapsed = Km_dep*dt;

Drag = Dragr(i) + Dragd(i) + Dragm(i);
```

```
if \ Tm\_dep\_elapsed < Tm\_dep
          Drag = Dragr(i) + Dragd(i) + (Tm\_dep\_elapsed/Tm\_dep)*Dragm(i);
       end
     end
  i = i + 1; % Increment i, the current index value
  a(i) = (-Drag+Weight)/totMass;
  v(i) = v(i-1)+a(i)*dt;
  delh(i) = v(i)*dt;
  h = h-delh(i);
  h_matrix(i) = h;
  time(i) = time(i-1) + dt;
end
figure(2);
ax11 = subplot(2,1,1);
title('Descent Profile In SI Units');
plot(time,h_matrix-altLaunchSite,'LineWidth',2)
ylabel('Altitude (meters)');
xlabel('Time (seconds)');
grid on;
grid minor;
axis([0 max(time) 0 max(h_matrix-altLaunchSite)*1.2]);
ax21 = subplot(2,1,2);
plot(time,v,'LineWidth',2);
ylabel('Velocity (meters/second)');
xlabel('Time (seconds)');
grid on;
grid minor;
axis([0 max(time) 0 max(v)*1.2]);
linkaxes([ax11 ax21],'x');
figure(3)
ax12 = subplot(2,1,1);
title('Descent Profile in English Units');
plot(time,(h_matrix-altLaunchSite)*3.281,'LineWidth',2);
ylabel('Altitude (ft)');
xlabel('Time (s)');
grid on;
grid minor;
axis([0 max(time) 0 max(h_matrix-altLaunchSite)*3.281*1.2]);
```

ax22 = subplot(2,1,2); plot(time,v\*3.281,'LineWidth',2); ylabel('Velocity (ft/s)'); xlabel('Time (s)'); grid on; grid minor; axis([0 max(time) 0 max(v)\*3.281\*1.2]); linkaxes([ax12 ax22],'x');

figure(4) title('G Forces vs Time'); plot(time,abs(a/g),'LineWidth',2); ylabel('G Force'); xlabel('Time (s)'); grid on; grid on; grid minor; axis([0 max(time) 0 max(abs(a/g))\*1.2]);





## **Calculate Drift Distance**

Windmph = 0:1:25; % Velocity of wind[mph] Windfps = 1.467\*Windmph; Windmps = Windfps\*0.3048;

# % Calculate drift distance in metric and standard descentTime = max(time);

driftDistM = Windmps\*descentTime; driftDistFt = Windfps\*descentTime;

% Plot drift distance figure(5) plot(Windmph,driftDistFt,'LineWidth', 2); ylabel('Drift Distance (ft)'); xlabel('Wind Velocity (mph)'); grid on; grid minor; title('Drift During Descent'); legend('Drift Distance (ft)');

% Output max drift distance fprintf("The drift distance at a wind velocity of 25 mph is %6.1f ft\n\n', max(driftDistFt));

The drift distance at a wind velocity of 25 mph is 2894.0 ft





$$\begin{split} & \text{KEforeSI}_\text{mat} = (1/2) * v.^2 * \text{mass}(1); \\ & \text{KEavSI}_\text{mat} = (1/2) * v.^2 * \text{mass}(2); \\ & \text{KEboostSI}_\text{mat} = (1/2) * v.^2 * \text{mass}(3); \end{split}$$

maxKE\_SI = max([max(KEforeSI\_mat),max(KEavSI\_mat),max(KEboostSI\_mat)]);
KEforeST\_mat = KEforeSI\_mat\*0.7376; KEavST\_mat = KEavSI\_mat\*0.7376; KEboostST\_mat = KEboostSI\_mat\*0.7376;

maxKE\_ST = max([max(KEforeST\_mat),max(KEavST\_mat),max(KEboostST\_mat)]);

% Calculate the KE of each component in Joules at landing KEforeSI = KEforeSI\_mat(end); KEavSI = KEavSI\_mat(end); KEboostSI = KEboostSI\_mat(end);

 $maxLandingKE\_SI = max([KEforeSI,KEavSI,KEboostSI]);$ 

% Calculate the KE of each component in Ft-lbs at landing KEforeST = KEforeST\_mat(end); KEavST = KEavST\_mat(end); KEboostST = KEboostST\_mat(end);

 $maxLandingKE\_ST = max([KEforeST,KEavST,KEboostST]);$ 

figure(6)
ax13 = subplot(3,1,1);
title('Kinetic Energy of Each Component vs. Altitude');

plot(time,KEforeST\_mat,'LineWidth',2); ylabel('KE of Fore(ft-lbs)'); xlabel('Time (s)'); grid on; grid minor; axis([0 max(time) 0 maxKE\_ST\*1.2]);

ax23 = subplot(3,1,2); plot(time,KEavST\_mat,'LineWidth',2); ylabel('KE of Middle(ft-lbs)'); xlabel('Time (s)'); grid on; grid minor; linkaxes([ax13 ax23],'x');

ax33 = subplot(3,1,3); plot(time,KEboostST\_mat,'LineWidth',2); ylabel('KE of Booster(ft-lbs)'); xlabel('Time (s)'); grid on; grid on; grid minor; linkaxes([ax23 ax33],'x'); vf = v(end); % Find final landing velocity

#### % Print Results

fprintf("The kinetic energy of the nosecone section is %4.2f ft\*lbs\n', KEforeST); fprintf("The kinetic energy of the avionics bay section is %4.2f ft\*lbs\n', KEavST); fprintf("The kinetic energy of the booster section is %4.2f ft\*lbs\n\n', KEboostST);

fprintf('The velocity at landing is %4.2f m/s or %4.2f ft/s \n', v(end),v(end) \* 3.281);

The kinetic energy of the nosecone section is 38.96 ft\*lbs The kinetic energy of the avionics bay section is 33.63 ft\*lbs The kinetic energy of the booster section is 45.05 ft\*lbs

The velocity at landing is 5.12 m/s or 16.80 ft/s



Published with MATLAB® R2016a

# 9. Appendix C: Verification of OpenRocket Flight Calculations

## clc

clear

#### %CONSTANTS -----

#### %Center of Pressure

| Ln = 0.5499:  | % length of nosecone [m]                                       |
|---------------|--|
| Com 2:        |  |
| Cnn = 2;      | % coefficient of drag for hosecone                             |
| Xb = $2.616;$ | %length from tip to fin root chord [m]                         |
| Xr = 0.127;   | %length from fin root leading edge to fin tip leading edge [m] |
| Cr = 0.2032;  | % fin root chord length [m]                                    |
| Ct = 0.102;   | % fin tip chord length [m]                                     |
| S = 0.1778;   | %fin semispan [m]  |
| N = 3;        | %number of fins  |
| Lf = 0.19356; | %length of the fin mid-chord line [m]                          |
|               |  |

#### %Center of Gravity

| dn = 0.4258;   | % distance of the nose CG to nose tip [m]       |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| mn = 1.607;  | %mass of the nose [kg]                          |  |  |
| dp = 0.8766;   | % distance of the payload CG to nose tip [m]    |  |  |
| mpayload = 2.379; % mass of payload [kg]                                 |   |  |  |
| dm = 1.5316;   | % distance of the main CG to nose tip [m]       |  |  |
| mm = 4.848;  | %mass of main [kg]                              |  |  |
| dd = 1.9379;   | % distance of the drogue CG to the nose top [m] |  |  |
| md = 0.907;  | %mass of drogue [kg]                            |  |  |
| db = 2.563;  | % distance of the booster CG to nose tip [m]    |  |  |
| mb = 6.065;  | %mass of the booster (with motor) [kg]          |  |  |
| M = mn + mpayload + mm + md + mb; % mass of the rocket (with motor) [kg] |   |  |  |

### %Apogee

| mr = 11.964;   | % mass of rocket (no motor) [kg] |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| me $= 3.5635;$ | % mass of motor [kg]             |
| mprop = 1.582  | 2; %mass of propellant [kg]      |
| rho = 1.225;   | % density of air [kg/m^3]        |
| Cd = 0.55;     | %drag coefficient                |
| D = 0.1397;    | %diameter of body tube [m]       |
| R = D/2;       | %radius of body tube [m]         |
| g = 9.81;      | %gravity constant [m/s^2]        |
| T = 1405;      | %average thrust of motor [N]     |
| t = 3.63;      | %motor burnout time [s]          |
|                |                                  |

%CALCULATIONS -----

#### %Center of Pressure

$$\begin{split} &Xn = 0.466 * Ln; \quad \% CP \text{ location for fins, from tip } [m] \\ &Xf = Xb + ((Xr*(Cr + 2*Ct))/(3*(Cr + Ct))) + (1/6)*((Cr + Ct) - ((Cr*Ct)/(Cr+Ct))); \quad \% CP \text{ location of fins, from tip } [m] \\ &Cnf = (1+R/(S+R))*(4*N*(S/D)^2/(1+sqrt(1+(2*Lf/(Cr+Ct))^2))); \quad \% CP \text{ of fins, from tip } [m] \\ &X = ((Cnn*Xn + Cnf*Xf)/(Cnn+Cnf)); \quad \% CP \text{ location of rocket from tip } [m] \end{split}$$

#### %Center of Gravity

cg = (dn\*mn + dp\*mpayload + dm\*mm + dd\*md + db\*mb)/M; %CG location of rocket from tip [m]

#### %Static Stability Calculation

stab = (X - cg) / D; %static stability margin [calibers]

#### %Apogee

#### %Burn Calculations

#### %Coast Calculation

#### %Total Calculation

PA = y1 + abs(yc); %apogee [m]

#### %PRINT VALUES

fprintf('Center of Pressure: %2.4f inches \n', X\*39.37); %print CP [in] fprintf('Center of Gravity: %2.4f inches \n', cg\*39.37); %print CG [in] fprintf('Static Stability Margin: %2.4f calibers \n', stab); %print static stability margin [calibers] fprintf('Apogee: %2.4f feet \n', PA\*3.281); %print aprogee [ft]

Attempt to execute SCRIPT fullscale\_simulations as a function: C:\Users\Evan\Downloads\fullscale\_simulations.m

Published with MATLAB® R2016a

# 10. Appendix D: Tensile Test Procedure

### Carbon Fiber Airframe Testing Procedure

## **Objective:**

Determine the tensile load that can be applied to the tube made from 6 layers and 7 layers of carbon fiber. Using these results and predicted loads experience during flight, a safety factor can be obtained to validate the design choice.

### **Necessary Equipment:**

- Sample of 2, 6-inch diameter tubes made from 6 layers and 7 layers of carbon fiber
- 2 Aluminum blocks machined to fit the interior of each body tube and contains 4 threaded holes for machined screws
- 2 Aluminum rods machined to fit through the blocks. These rods are used as attachment points for the tensile equipment
- Minimum of 8 machine screws
- Tensile loading equipment (to be determined by equipment faculty/provider)
- Carbon Fiber Airframe Testing Procedure Document

### Assembly

For reliable results, proper assembly of testing equipment is imperative. The assembly procedure shall go as follows:

- 1. Prepare each tube section for testing; this includes cutting the tube to necessary length (as required by testing equipment or faculty), drilling 4 holes on each end of the tube and ensure alignment of those holes with the aluminum block
- 2. Align one end of the tube with an aluminum block and secure it using 4 machined screws.
- 3. (**Important**) Check that the aluminum is aligned perpendicularly to the tube. \*Misalignment will disturb testing results as the load will no longer be purely tensile.
- 4. Feed aluminum rod through the aluminum block with the stop on the interior of the tube; clamp the block once fed through so it cannot fall into the tube.
- 5. Feed second aluminum rod into second aluminum rod, again with the stop on the side of the block to the interior of the tube. Again clamp the rod so it won't slide out of the block.
- 6. Align the other end of the tube with the second block-and-rod assembly.
- 7. (**Important**) Check that the aluminum is aligned perpendicularly to the tube. \*Misalignment will disturb testing results as the load will no longer be purely tensile.
- 8. If alignment is true, this assembly is ready to load into the testing equipment. Load the assembly into the testing equipment by attaching each end of the rod to the testing grips (or similar mechanism depending on tensile equipment).
- 9. Run the experiment
- 10. Record the load at failure in the table below.
- 11. Repeat steps 1-10 for the remaining configurations

### **Results:**

| Configuration            | Load at failure |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 6 layers of carbon fiber |                 |
| 7 layers of carbon fiber |                 |

### Success of results:

The test can be deemed successful if all of the following are true:

- a. Results are realistic
- b. A trend can be examined (i.e. load at failure for 7 layers is higher than 6 layers)
- c. Failure is at the screw holes as expected

## Validation of design

Determine the safety factor of fullscale design using the failure strength and expected maximum load experienced during flight.